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Abstract
The spiritual domain is an important determinant of subjective well-being, and thus, of quality of life and health-care outcomes. While medicine has a shared vocabulary for the discussion of clinical and research issues relating to body and mind, the language of spirituality is often an obstacle to communication rather than an aid to understanding. This barrier impedes the integration of the spiritual domain in clinical assessments, therapeutics, research, and teaching. The objective of this collaborative effort was to write clear, succinct statements concerning basic concepts relevant to spirituality and health, that are respectful of divergent world views, and useful in discussing clinical, research, and teaching initiatives. They are offered as a point of departure to be used as a catalyst for dialogue and clarification. The authors suggest that religious adherence is an uncertain indicator of personal spirituality, and thus, they distinguish between spirituality and religion. This article has been peer-reviewed.

Résumé
La spiritualité est un facteur important du bien-être et, par conséquent, de la qualité de la vie et des problèmes de santé. Alors que la médecine dispose d’un vocabulaire qui permet de discuter des questions cliniques et scientifiques mettant en cause le corps et l’esprit, la langue de la spiritualité constitue souvent un obstacle à la communication plutôt qu’un facteur de compréhension. Il s’agit là d’une barrière qui empêche la prise en compte des questions spirituelles par le jugement clinique, la thérapeutique, la recherche et l’enseignement. L’objectif de ce travail de collaboration a été de proposer des définitions claires et succinctes des notions fondamentales qu’on utilise lorsqu’on parle de spiritualité et de santé, tout en respectant les divergences d’opinion. Les auteurs les proposent comme point de départ dans un but de clarification et de dialogue. Ils estiment que l’adhésion à une religion constitue un indicateur incertain de la spiritualité personnelle, ce qui leur permet de distinguer la spiritualité de la religion. Cet article a fait l’objet d’une évaluation externe.

Clinical Encounters
How often the patient’s clinical course is coloured by enigmatic features due to factors that we cannot measure.

C.D. had been an elite athlete and business executive in a life filled with conspicuous achievement. Days before dying with metastatic cancer at the age of 30 years, he said goodbye to his doctor, commenting, "This last year has been the best year of my life." When asked about how a year filled with such apparent agony could be considered "the best year," C.D. confided that he had experienced a new awareness of the spiritual dimension of human existence.1

Mrs. C., a widow in her 70s, had pain due to breast carcinoma metastatic to bone. It had failed to respond to treatment as expected, and escalating morphine doses produced sedation without pain relief. A conversation with her puzzled physician shed light on her plight. "When were you last well?" "Do you mean physically?" "No, I mean in yourself." "Doctor, I’ve never been well a day in my life." "Really! Well, if we are body, mind, and spirit, where do you think the problem has been?" "I’ve been sick in mind and spirit every day of my life." Her anguish persisted until death.1

C.H. was a professional musician in his late 40s, who became bedridden with osteomyelitis of the spine. Although in physical distress, her attitude stayed positive. Her physician was intrigued. On her medical record was the designation "no religion." She felt estranged from orga-

1Address for reprints: B.M. Mount, McGill Programs in Integrated Whole Person Care, 445 Fine Ave. W., Montreal QC H3W 1S6.
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- "nized religion, and had not attended church since she was 14
  years old. But when asked what spirituality meant to her,
  her response was illuminating. "The spiritual part of my life
  is more important to me than the physical. There is
  some kind of guiding force. I have to use a word such as
  God, Buddha, or Mithra. It is all those things and more. It
  encompasses closeness to the earth and an interconnected-
  ness of all things...it is everywhere, but for me, it is particu-
  larly present in tibetan music superbly performed. That is a
  religious experience....It is a positive force, a healing force."
Background
- Medicine has a shared vocabulary that is used in dis-
  cussions of clinical observations and research relating to
  body and mind. 
  - When factors that may be considered to
  be invasive of the spirit are raised, however, there is no equiva-
  lent shared vocabulary to facilitate communication.
  - A shared language of spirituality would enable two
  ends that are important in improving health care. First, it
would capture dimensions of the patient’s experience of ill-
ness that physicians are missing — those shades of mean-
ing, anguish, or triumph of suffering that influence the
capacity to celebrate what is still possible in life. Second, it
would facilitate discussion with colleagues across socio-cultural and religious boundaries; building on each
other’s work in the interdisciplinary team; writing of con-
structive progress notes in patients’ charts; and the design
of effective care plans.
Goal
- Our goal was to develop a clear, succinct statement of
the basic concepts concerning spirituality, religion, and health
that are respectful of divergent world views, and useful in
discussing clinical, research, and teaching initiatives.
Method
- The McGill Programs in Integrated Whole Person
Care’s working group (Table 1) was formed in September
1990. An initial meeting (Boston, Brooksbank, Cassell,
Lawlor, and Mount) resulted in a draft document that was
circulated to McGill colleagues and international collabora-
tors who were identified because of their clinical experience
and interest in these issues. The disciplines represented in-
cluded medicine, nursing, pastoral care, education, psychol-
ogy, social work, and theology.
- A cyclic process that involved repeated amendment by
work-group members, and consultation with patients, their
families, professional and volunteer caregivers, and other
colleagues (Table 2) followed, resulting in the identification
of common ground.
Results
- The statement of basic concepts is shown in Table 3.
Discussion
- There is an increasing awareness of the need to con-
consider a spiritual dimension of health care. Cassell, 
Byock, knees, 
Kearney, and Kearney and Mount have explored the
multi-dimensional nature of suffering, and the physician’s role
in responding to the patient’s spiritual needs. But
"
TABLE 3

BASIC CONCEPTS

- Spirit, from the Latin "spiritus" or breath, refers to an animating or vital principle in humans. It may be equated to the terms "Spirit," "God," and "the Sacred." The intent being to express a term that brings together both the immanent and the transcendent. Bonhoeffer observed, "God is the 'beyond' in the midst of our life.

- Spirituality refers to spirit, and the human capacity to respond to the sacred in the search for meaning in life.

- Sacred refers to that which is deemed holy, consecrated, esteemed, or special by virtue of an association with ultimate reality, ultimate meaning, or God, however perceived by the individual.

- Soul is often used as a synonym for spirit. For many, soul refers to that aspect of the individual that is thought to be immortal.

- Faith may be understood as confidence, reliance, trust, or belief without need for proof.

- Religion is a system of teachings and practices concerning the living of one's faith, generally in an experience of community with others who honour the same beliefs.

- Religious refers to one's degree of adherence to a religion, in both belief and practice.

- Suffering is a state of anguish or pain. It is subjective and personal. It is experienced by whole persons and is not merely somatic. It may arise in any aspect of the individual. Suffering occurs with a perceived threat to one's sense of personal integrity and ends when the threat passes, or when integrity is restored in another way. Suffering may result from an awareness of a discrepancy between one's expectations of self or life, and present experience.

- Healing entails movement toward integration, integrity, and wholeness. It may or may not involve a return to physical health. It is possible to die "healed," in the sense of having moved toward a previously unattained sense of wholeness.

- Transcendence is a response of the self that enables an individual to rise to challenging and deeper levels of living and endurance. It involves development of new capacities, new forms of living, new insight into living.

- In the transcendent response, the person identifies with something greater and more enduring than the self, resulting in a sense of expanded purpose, meaning, and quality of life. "Transcendence is probably the most powerful way in which one is restored to wholeness after an injury to personhood. The sufferer is not isolated by pain but is brought closer to a transpersonal source of meaning and to the human community that shares that meaning. Such an experience need not involve religion in any formal sense; however, in its transpersonal dimension it is deeply spiritual.

- Spiritual care refers to an interaction that has a capacity to "heal" by virtue of its impact on the sufferer's total lived experience. It does not necessarily involve religious belief or prescription for action. "The way care is given can reach the most hidden places and give space for unexpected development." The quality of presence the caregiver brings may transform the simplest act into spiritual care, whether or not that was the intended outcome. Such an interaction supports a sense of integrity and personal meaning.

is the "spiritual" dimension of care? Parker Palmer writes, "We need to shake off the narrow notion that 'spiritual' questions are always about angels or ethers or must include the word God. Spiritual questions are the kind that (our patients), and we, ask every day of our lives as we yearn to connect with the largeness of life: 'Does my life have meaning and purpose?' 'How can I rise above my fear?' 'How do I deal with suffering?' 'How does one maintain hope?' 'What about death?'

Is the spiritual domain relevant to the physician's mandate as healer? Not from the perspective of the biomedical model, where health is defined as the absence of disease, that is, physiologic integrity. Yet the World Health Organization defines health as not merely the absence of disease or infirmity, but as the presence of physical, mental, and emotional well-being. Recent studies have clarified that emotional well-being, life satisfaction, quality of life, and a sense of being healthy may be experienced even in chronic and life-threatening illness. For example, Kagawa-Singer found that 17 of 50 (33 per cent) cancer patients who were aware of their diagnosis considered themselves "fairly healthy," while the remaining 33 (66 per cent), including 12 who died during the study, consider themselves "very healthy." How are we to understand such a sense of well-being in the presence of advanced illness? Cassell has observed, "Our instincts as persons, our coherence and integrity, come not from inactiveness of the body, but from the whole- ness of the web of relationships with self and others." It is precisely this interconnectedness that is fundamental to the notion of spiritual care.

Patients may indicate that they have "no spiritual needs," by which they may mean that they have no affiliation with a religion or preoccupations that they would iden-
tify as being spiritual or religious. Many of these patients, however, would claim a need for support in the quest for meaningfulness.

In their review of the scientific literature pertaining to spirituality and health,17,21 Larson et al used one core criterion for "spirituality," "religion," and "religiousness": "the feelings, thoughts, or experiences of behaviors that arise from a search for the sacred."22,23 Summarizing their results, Larson et al observe, "There is little consensus among researchers on what constitutes "religion," "spirituality," or "religious commitment," nor to mention the move nebulous concept of spirituality."24

Failure to distinguish between spirituality and religion may lead to the assumption that religious adherence is an indicator of spirituality. In general, the studies reviewed by Larson et al reflect the association between religious adherence, health, and increased quality of life. As the consensus report's title suggests, this is a problematic issue whose cultural and social factors are not unrelated to personal spirituality. Such an association between spirituality and health as these include the expectations of family or other members of the community.

Another difficulty with considering spirituality and religion as synonymous is that many people distinguish between the two concepts, and identify more closely with the former. For example, Hill et al cite Shaftesbury's finding that only 48 per cent of a sample of clinical and counseling psychologists found religion to be very important or fairly important in their lives, whereas 73 per cent rated spirituality as very or fairly important.41 Religion for many may provide the song, dance, ritual, poetry and metaphor needed to experience and express the spiritual. Yet for others, such as the oncoepidemiologist Paul J. Fleiss, and those psychologists, a view of spirituality as a separate entity may modify the experience of illness that can occur outside traditional religion.

King et al have also recognized the need to distinguish between spirituality and religion. A narrow view of the term religious has led to a failure to appreciate the broader, metaphysical understanding of the word spiritual and the presumption that if someone does not profess a recognized religious faith they have no spiritual understanding of the self or need.5 King et al make a further distinction in using the term "Philosophical beliefs" to describe a search for an existential task in a particular life experience, without reference to any external power or being.24

The problem in trying to find language to express the inner life has been described by Kabat-Zinn as "trying to put words to an inward experience which is ultimately beyond language." He says, "Perhaps ultimately, spiritual simply means experiencing wholehearted and interconnectedness directly, a seeing that individuality and the totality are interconnected, that nothing is separate or extraneous. If you see in this way, then everything becomes spiritual in its deepest sense. So is washing the dishes. It is the inner experience that counts. And you have to believe for it All else is mere thinking."25

If inclusive language is so problematic, why not avoid it by focusing on communication skills and psychodynamics, and by viewing medication exclusively as a means of provoking a relaxation response?26 The answers lie in the realization that people have transformative experiences that they regard as spiritual. They experience body, mind, and spirit (or soul) as interdependent, each modifying the other experience of health and of living. Hence, competent health care should demand consideration of these domains. For example, a physician's understanding of the determinants of a cancer patient's pain influences the choice of therapy. Increasing the opiod dose for pain is unsuited because of mental and spiritual suffering will only lead to persistence of pain or somnolence, and reduced quality of life.

More precise in discussing matters of the spirit will lead to enriched discernment in diagnosis and therapeutics. These statements should be elucidated in relation to spirituality, religion, and health are offered as a point of departure, and a catalyst for dialogue and clarification.
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