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## 2010-2011 ANNUAL REPORT: POLICY ON HARASSMENT, SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND DISCRIMINATION PROHIBITED BY LAW

## Introduction

The Policy on Harassment, Sexual Harassment and Discrimination Prohibited by Law (henceforth, 'the Policy’) came into force in April 2006. It calls for the Provost to report annually to Senate on the application of the Policy. The 2010-2011 report covers the fifth year in which the Policy has been in effect.

## Background

The Policy provides for a confidential and expeditious process of investigation of complaints based on the following grounds (singly or in combination): harassment, sexual harassment and discrimination prohibited by law. There are four possible steps in the handling of complaints; informal resolution is encouraged.

1) informal enquiry/consultation with an Assessor by a potential complainant prior to initiating a complaint;
2) informal resolution once a complaint has been initiated but prior to its investigation;
3) informal resolution of a complaint following an investigation;
4) formal resolution of a complaint.

The tables provide statistical information for the five years since the Policy has been in operation. ${ }^{1}$

## Grounds of Enquiry/Complaint

In 2010-2011, there were 43 enquiries/complaints. Table 1 shows that, as in previous years, the largest number of enquiries and complaints concerned harassment. This year shows an increase in proportion of 'mixed' enquiries/complaints over previous years, and a drop in sexual harassment enquiries/complaints.

Table 1: Grounds of Enquiry/Complaint

| Grounds | 10/11 | 09/10 | 08/09 | 07/08 | 06/07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discrimination | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (12 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (17 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (14 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Harassment | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (46.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (61 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (58 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (41 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39 \\ (60 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Sexual Harassment | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (19.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (38 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (27 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (28 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mixed | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (32.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (5.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ (18 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (11 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ (100 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ (100 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (100 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ (100 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ (100 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

## The Parties

The parties involved as potential complainants and respondents are shown in Tables 2 to 4, broken down by status (Table 2), party (Table 3) and gender (Table 4). It can be seen that administrative staff form the majority (one-half) of complainants, and that complaints from academic staff continue to be low.

[^0]Administrative staff also form the majority of respondents (37\%). The majority of complainants are female, while the majority of respondents are male.

Table 2: Status of the Parties

|  | Complainant |  |  |  |  | Respondent |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Status of <br> Party | $\mathbf{1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 6 / 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 6 / 0 7}$ |
| Undergraduate <br> Student | 8 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 23 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 22 |
| $(18.5 \%)$ | $(11 \%)$ | $(38 \%)$ | $(27 \%)$ | $(35 \%)$ | $(10 \%)$ | $(5 \%)$ | $(19 \%)$ | $(30 \%)$ | $(34 \%)$ |  |
| Graduate <br> Student | 9 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |
| Academic Staff | $3(21 \%)$ | $(22 \%)$ | $(11 \%)$ | $(23 \%)$ | $(5 \%)$ | $(7 \%)$ | $(11 \%)$ | $(8 \%)$ | $(9 \%)$ | - |
|  |  | 4 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 13 | 19 |
| $(10 \%)$ | $(8 \%)$ | $(21 \%)$ | $(29 \%)$ | $(30 \%)$ | $(42 \%)$ | $(46 \%)$ | $(30 \%)$ | $(29 \%)$ |  |  |
| Admin/Support | 21 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 13 | 20 |
| Staff | $(49 \%)$ | $(33 \%)$ | $(35 \%)$ | $(27 \%)$ | $(25 \%)$ | $(37 \%)$ | $(28 \%)$ | $(23 \%)$ | $(30 \%)$ | $(31 \%)$ |
| Other | 2 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
|  | $(4.5 \%)$ | $(25 \%)$ | $(8 \%)$ | $(2 \%)$ | $(6 \%)$ | $(16 \%)$ | $(14 \%)$ | $(4 \%)$ | $(2 \%)$ | $(6 \%)$ |
| Total | 43 | 39 | 26 | 44 | 65 | 43 | 36 | 26 | 44 | 65 |
|  | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ |

Table 3: Party by Party

| Complainant | Respondent | 10/11 | 09/10 | 08/09 | 07/08 | 06/07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UG student | Undergrad student | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 14 |
|  | Grad student | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
|  | Academic staff | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Admin/Support | 1 | - | - | 3 | 4 |
|  | Other | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Grad student | Undergrad student | 1 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Grad student | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |
|  | Academic staff | 5 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
|  | Admin/Support | - | - | - | 1 | - |
|  | Other | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Academic staff | Undergrad student | - | - | - | 6 | 8 |
|  | Grad student | - | - | - | 1 | - |
|  | Academic staff | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | 11 |
|  | Admin/Support | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Other | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Admin/Support Staff | Undergrad student | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Grad student | - | 2 | - | - | - |
|  | Academic staff | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 | - |
|  | Admin/Support | 15 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 16 |
|  | Other | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other | Undergrad student | - | - | - | 1 | 4 |
|  | Grad student | - | 1 | - | - | - |
|  | Academic staff | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | - |
|  | Admin/Support |  | 1 | - | - | - |
|  | Other | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | - |
| Total |  | 43 | 39 | 26 | 44 | 65 |

Table 4: Gender of Complainants and Respondents

| Gender | 10/11 |  | 09/10 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Complainant | Respondent | Complainant | Respondent |
| Female | 30 | 9 | 30 | 24 |
| Male | 13 | 28 | 9 | 11 |
| Unknown | - | 6 | - | 4 |
| Total | 43 | 43 | 39 | 39 |

## Disposition of Complaints

Disposition of complaints is shown in Tables 5 and 6 (see page 4). Of the total enquiries made, 30 (70\%) did not proceed beyond the enquiry stage - this is slightly higher than in previous years. One case (2\%) was resolved informally, 5 (12\%) went to formal resolution, and 7 cases ( $16 \%$ ) were withdrawn.

## Formal Resolution

Table 7 provides basic information with respect to those cases which were subject to formal resolution. In all cases that have been formally resolved, the assessors' findings have been accepted by the Provost - as have, generally, their recommendations concerning the appropriate disposition of the cases. However, in certain cases, the Provost has recommended measures (disciplinary or other) that went beyond those recommended by an assessor.

Table 7: Formal Resolution

|  | Total Formally Resolved |  |  |  |  | Discipline ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | Other Measures ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10/11 | 9/10 | 8/9 | 7/8 | 6/7 | 10/11 | 9/10 | 8/9 | 7/8 | 6/7 | 10/11 | 9/10 | 8/9 | 7/8 | 6/7 |
| Complaint Founded | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $1^{\text {c }}$ | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Complaint Not Founded | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | $1^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Discipline may be combined with other measures, so sometimes Discipline and Other Measures add up to more than the total number of complaints founded.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Discipline not imposed in one case because of the psychological condition of the Respondent - however, other measures were recommended and implemented.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Discipline not imposed in one case, and other measures were substituted.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Although no violation of the Policy was present, Respondent's conduct was so egregious in the circumstances that it nevertheless warranted disciplinary action.

## Conclusion

In 2010-2011, the Assessors met several times, for general discussion and for the purposes of additional training. The Assessors have identified a number of issues with the current version of the Policy, as have other groups. Section 8 of the Policy provides for review by a working group after 3 years from December 2009, should Senate so determine. This means that it may be appropriate to undertake a review of the Policy in the 2012-2013 academic year.

The Administration would like to take this opportunity, on behalf of all members of the University, to publicly thank all those who have served or are continuing to serve as Assessors pursuant to the Policy. We would particularly like to thank the outgoing Assessors, Heidi Emami, Karl Jarosiewicz and Patricia Tuck (this year's coordinator), who have all served for more than one term. The University community is most appreciative of the dedication that the Assessors bring to this very important role, the integrity with which they fulfill their mission, and the time and energy they devote to ensuring the well-being of all members of the University community and the harmonious resolution of disputes.

Table 5: Disposition by Ground

|  | Enquiry Only |  |  |  |  | Informal Resolution |  |  |  |  | Formal Resolution |  |  |  |  | Withdrawn |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10/11 | 9/10 | 8/9 | 7/8 | 6/7 | 10/11 | 9/10 | 8/9 | 7/8 | 6/7 | 10/11 | 9/10 | 8/9 | 7/8 | 6/7 | 10/11 | 9/10 | 8/9 | 7/8 | 6/7 |
| Discrim | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Harass | 15 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 23 | - | 5 | - | 2 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Sexual har | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 12 | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | - |
| Mixed | 7 | - | - | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Totals | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (70 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (51 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (58 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ (61 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (60 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 10 \\ (28 \%) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (18 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (34 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (12 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (14 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (27 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (12 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (16 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (11 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (15 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (9 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 6: Disposition by Complainant

|  | Enquiry Only |  |  |  |  | Informal Resolution |  |  |  |  | Formal Resolution |  |  |  |  | Withdrawn |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10/11 | 9/10 | 8/9 | 7/8 | 6/7 | 10/11 | 9/10 | 8/9 | 7/8 | 6/7 | 10/11 | 9/10 | 8/9 | 7/8 | 6/7 | 10/11 | 9/10 | 8/9 | 7/8 | 6/7 |
| UG | 8 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 14 | - | - | - | 2 | 8 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Grad | 7 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Acad | - | 2 | 2 | 7 | 15 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Admin | 15 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Other | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Totals | 30 | 20 | 15 | 27 | 38 | 1 | 10 | - | 8 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the purposes of Tables 5 and 6, the second and third steps have been combined, since they both result in an informal resolution of a complaint.

