FACULTY OF SCIENCE ACADEMIC COMMITTEE Report to Faculty of Science Meeting

The Academic Committee approved the following on Tuesday, 28 April 2015:

(1)	McGILL SCHOOL New Course:	IcGILL SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENT Iew Course:						
	ENVR 421	Mtl Envr Hist & Sustainability 3 credits	AC-14-75					
	<i>New Course:</i> ENVR 422	Mtl Urban Sustainability Anal 3 credits	AC-14-76					
	Courses Added to ENVR 421/ENVR 4	_	AC-14-77					
(2)	GEOGRAPHY New Course:							
	GEOG 384	Principles of Geospatial Web 3 credits	AC-14-78					
(3)	CHEMISTRY							
	B.A. & Sc. Major Co	.Sc. Program Revisions: oncentration in Chemistry e Science Component Chemistry	AC-14-81					
	Biological	The state of the s	AC-14-82					
	– GeneralB.Sc. Minor in Che	·	AC-14-83 AC-14-84					
	- B.Sc. Program R		7.0					
	– Physical	e Science Component Chemistry	AC-14-85					
(4)	EARTH & PLANET		10 44 70					
	EPSC 549	Hydrogeology Change in course activities 3 credits	AC-14-79					
(5)	BIOLOGY	Vert Fred Dineser on 9 Memorals	10 44 00					
	BIOL 352	Vert Evol: Dinosaurs & Mammals Changes: title, description, prerequisites 3 credits	AC-14-80					
(6)	BIOCHEMISTRY	Disably sized Mathada in Disables	AC 44 0C					
	BIOC 404	Biophysical Methods in Biochem Changes: title, description, restriction 3 credits	AC-14-86					

Proposal Reference Number : 9118 PRN Alias : 14-15#341

Version No : 4

Submitted By : Dr Julia Freeman Edited By : Dr Julia Freeman

	New Data		
Program Affected?	N		
Program Change Form Submitted?			
Subject/Course/Term	ENVR 421		
	one term		
Credit Weight or CEU's	3 credits		
Course Activities	Schedule Type		Hours per week
	E - Research Course		10
	Total Hours per Week Total Number of Week		
Course Title	Official Course Title :	Mtl Envr Hist	& Sustainability
	Course Title in Montreal: Environmental History and Sustainability		
Rationale	Unearthing Montreal focuses on the study of urban sustainability through the reconstruction of historic, ecological and social landscapes, an approach that has not previously been offered by the School of Environment. It also meets the growing demand for applied research and experience-based learning opportunities at McGill. The course is at the core of MUSE (Montreal Urban Sustainability Experience) as it is being developed and vetted for approval. Moreover, being set in Montreal, this course complements the current field courses that require travel abroad.		
Responsible Instructor	Sylvie de Blois		
Course Description	This course will focus on the role of place and history in the cities in which we live and in our understanding of sustainability. Each year, students will work to develop a historical reconstruction of the natural environment of Montreal and of its links to the cultural landscape, building on the work of previous cohorts of students.		
Teaching Dept.	0408 : McGill School of Environment		
Administering Faculty/Unit	SC : Faculty of Science		
Prerequisites	ENVR 301 or equivalent, or permission from the instructor. Web Registration Blocked? : N		
Corequisites	ENVR 422 Montreal U Web Registration Bloc		bility Analysis

Restrictions	
Supplementary Calendar Info	Each year focuses on making a specific and unique contribution to The Hochelaga Project; topics vary as required.
Additional Course Charges	
Campus	Downtown
Projected Enrollment	20
Requires Resources Not Currently Available	N
Explanation for Required Resources	
Required Text/Resources Sent To Library?	Υ
Library Consulted About Availability of Resources?	Υ
Consultation Reports Attached?	Υ
	Consultation - ANTH - Complete.pdf
	Consultation - GEOG - Complete.pdf
	Consultation - HIST - Complete.pdf
	Consultation - URBP - Complete.pdf
Effective Term of Implementation	201505
File Attachments	ENVR 421 Syllabus Montreal ENV HIST 2015.docx
To be completed by the Faculty	
For Continuing Studies Use	

Approvals Summary

Show all comments

<u>311044</u>	Show all confinents							
Version No.	Departmental Curriculum Committee	Departmental Meeting	Departmental Chair	Other Faculty	Curric/Academic Committee	Faculty	SCTP	Version Status
4					Approved Geralda Bacaj Meeting Date: Apr 28 2015 Approval Date: Apr 30 2015			Approved by Curric/Academic Committee Edited by: Julia Freeman on: Apr 29 2015

			View Comments	
3				Approved by Departmental Chair Edited by: Josie D'Amico on: Apr 9 2015
2				Approved by Departmental Chair Edited by: Julia Freeman on: Apr 5 2015
1	Approved George McCourt Meeting Date: Jan 23 2015 Approval Date: Jan 26 2015 View Comments	Approved Sylvie de Blois Meeting Date: Feb 23 2015 Approval Date: Feb 11 2015 View Comments		Approved by Departmental Chair Created on: Jan 26 2015

ENVR 480 (421)

Unearthing Montreal: Reconstructing the Ecological and Social Landscapes of the City Burnside 308 (AM), Burnside 511 (PM) 10am – 3pm

Elena Bennett Sylvie de Blois
Elena.bennett@mcgill.ca Sylvie.deblois@mcgill.ca
Office hours: by appointment Office hours: by appointment

Course Description:

This course will focus on the role of place and history in the creation of the environment in which we currently live. Students will develop a strong understanding of the repercussions of human activity on the environment and how the environment affects our own activities. Montreal's history, and the natural history of the Island, will be heavily emphasized as the case study for the students' research project. Students will become versed in Montreal's history and that of the aboriginal people who were on the island before European settlers; they will develop a strong understanding of how Montreal came to be as they know it.

Each year, students will work to develop a historical reconstruction of Montreal; this will be part of a project to be completed over the course of several years. Students will achieve their projects through the exploration of different methods and approaches for reconstructing past environments and tracing environmental, cultural, and geographical changes. Presentation, critique and group organization skills will be developed as well as an understanding of the benefits of skill and knowledge sharing amongst fellow peers. They will develop an appreciation for mapping both history and place in order to inform their present and future.

Learning Objectives:

By the end of this course, students will be able to:

- 1. Understand and appreciate the interconnectivity between history, place, and its social, political, and natural components and processes.
- 2. Be able to identify and use creative methods of obtaining and sharing information, drawing from diverse perspectives in order to attain a more comprehensive/diverse base of knowledge.
- 3. Be aware of the underlying historical processes that have led to current situations and circumstances in Montreal; both our activities and constructs influencing nature, and nature influencing us.
- 4. Learn through undertaking a substantial project about the historical ecology of Montreal.

Evaluation and Grading:

This course is designed to be an intensely participatory, student led experience. Your professors are here to guide you, but not to 'teach' in the sense of standing in front of you

telling you facts. You will learn in proportion to the energy and attention you put into the class.

10% Field journal
15% In-class assignments and presentations
25% Final project presentation
30% Final project write-up
10% Road map for future class participants
10% Class participation

There will not be a final examination in this course, but strict adherence to submission deadlines will be enforced. Late assignments will not be accepted and will receive a grade of zero. Unless stated otherwise, assignments are due at the start of class (10am) on the day that they are due.

Required readings/books

Eric Sanderson. Mannahatta.

Tentative Schedule (Subject to Change)

Date	Activity	Readings - comments	Assignments			
Week 1	At the end of week 1, students should: be familiar with the Mannahatta project; be familiar with the natural history of New York, know about sources of information for historical reconstruction; be familiar with the evolution of the Hochelaga project and begin to develop ideas about a long-term Mannahatta-like project in Montreal, along with key resources for such a project.					
4	Introduction to MUSE	Sanderson, "Conservation City", 2011				
5	Mountain to River Walk with Les Amis de la Montagne		Come prepared for a long walk			
6	AM: Mannahatta: Introduction, objectives, outcomes; challenges and opportunities http://blog.ted.com/2009/10/12/ne w_york_citys/ PM: Lost Rivers movie (and app)	Mannahatta: Chapters 1, 2, 3	Students reports on their readings			
7	1 W. Lost Mivers movie (and app)					

8	AM: Mannahatta: Approach to environmental history; inspiration. Identifying and accessing resources about historical landscapes, a case study near Montreal PM: Towards Hochelaga: Getting familiar with past projects, discuss what we've done and key gaps remaining.	Mannahatta: Chapters 4, 5, 6 Bouchard 1989, Bouchard 1996.	Students report on their readings Review past projects, in pairs/small groups, prepare a presentation synthesizing key findings Field Journal due
Week 2	At the end of week 2, students should: Montreal; develop short-term objective resources for completing the project	•	is class; identify key
	AM: Historical timeline of Montreal		Identify ~10 events or moments to mark on our historical timeline of Montreal
11	PM: Towards 'Hochelaga': Coming up with a vision for Montreal (Concept map of our long-term project; identify components/structure of this project; plan for this year)		Bring the citation for at least one source of info about Montreal's history
13	AM: Developing your project plan		Prepare a brief, informal presentation about your project ideas
	PM: In class activities (Presentations from all groups)		Field journal due
15	Bioblitz with the Redpath Museum		Come prepared for a day outside
Week 3	At the end of week 3, students should: projects, and be underway with the fin		ation for their
18	Holiday: Journée nationale des patriotes		
			Prepare a brief presentation about your progress
20	AM-PM: In class activities		

			Field Journal due
	At the end of week 4, students should:	have completed their final proj	ect; be able to explain
	how their project will be of use to the l	ong-term Hochelaga project; b	e able to explain the
Week 4	role of history in current urban sustain	ability.	
			Prepare a brief
			presentation about
			your progress
25	AM-PM: In class activities		
			Road map for future
27	AM-PM: In class activities		classes
21	Alvi-i Wi. III class activities		
			Field journal due
			Final presentations
29	Final presentation symposium		

Other Readings

On Montreal

- Bryan Demchinsky and Elaine Kalman Naves. Storied Streets: Montreal in the Literary Imagination.
- Bryan Demchinsky. Montreal: Then and Now: the photographic record of a changing city
- Simon, Sherry. Translating Montreal, MQUP, 2006.
- Sherry Olson. Peopling the North American City, 2012.
- Stéphane Castonguay and Michèle Dagenais. Environmental Histories of Montreal.

On Environmental/Ecological History

- Eric Sanderson. The Mannahatta Project: a natural history of New York City.
- Dave Egan. The Historical Ecology Handbook
- Tom Wessels . Reading the Forested Landscape: the Natural History of New England
- Oliver Rackham. The Illustrated History of the Countryside
- Gary Paul Nabhan. Cultures of Habitat: On Nature, Culture, and Story
- William Cronon. Nature's metropolis: Chicago and the Great West.
- William Cronon. Changes in the Land.
- D. Foster and J. Aber. 2006. Forests in time: the environmental consequences of 1,000 years of change in New England. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- D Foster, F Swanson, J Aber, I Burke, N Brokaw, D Tilman, and A Knapp. 2003.
 The importance of land-use legacies to ecology and conservation.
 BioScience, 53:77-88, 2003.

In accord with McGill University's Charter of Students' Rights, students in this course have the right to submit in English or in French any written work that is to be graded.

McGill University values academic integrity. Therefore all students must understand the meaning and consequences of cheating, plagiarism and other academic offences under the Code

of Student Conduct and Disciplinary Procedures (see www.mcgill.ca/students/srr/honest/ for more information).

L'université McGill attache une haute importance à l'honnêteté académique. Il incombe par conséquent à tous les étudiants de comprendre ce que l'on entend par tricherie, plagiat et autres infractions académiques, ainsi que les conséquences que peuvent avoir de telles actions, selon le Code de conduite de l'étudiant et des procédures disciplinaires (pour de plus amples renseignements, veuillez consulter le site www.mcgill.ca/students/srr/honest/).

More on Evaluation and Grading:

Field journal – integrating knowledge and experience

During the week, each student will keep a journal documenting their progress, learning experience, findings, ideas, etc. in sufficient detail to reflect their level of engagement with the two courses in the program. This is not meant to be your notes from the course; instead, it should contain your deeper thinking and pondering about the course and reflect your ability to integrate information, to synthesize ideas, to go beyond the course material, to formulate insights about broader issues related to sustainability, etc. We encourage you to think deeply and to write freely. We are not looking for perfect grammar and technical writing or simple reports of events. The journal will be handed in at the end of each week. You will also be required to keep a journal for ENVR490 -- keep them together in a single document/book for easy reference and cross pollination. We hope that this will help you see connections between the two courses and encourage you to reflect on this as you write.

In-class assignments and presentations

During the course, the students will have to identify and share sources of information. These could be maps, historical documents, artwork, books, articles, databases, websites, people, etc. Teams will be given in class assignments and evaluated on their efforts to locate, organize, and interpret these sources. Students will also be asked to present this information in class.

Final project presentation

Teams of 4-5 students are expected to present their overall findings in the last week of class. The presentations will be done in front of a diverse audience at a symposium organised by the MSE. Details about the presentations will be provided in class and the rubric used for assessment of the presentation will be posted to My Courses.

Final project write-up

A final document integrating all the findings will be prepared by the different teams. While the topics investigated by different groups will vary from year to year, the final report should present the objectives, the methodology, and the findings for different components of the project. Additional details will be provided in class.

Road map for future class participants

A very important assignment for this course will be to prepare a road map for future class participants so that the project will build on knowledge from previous years. The students as a group will need to identify ways in which information will be not only collected and organized but also transmitted in the most efficient way possible to future cohorts.

Class participation

Students are expected to be pro-active in this course and learn from each other. Individual participation in all class activities will be assessed by the instructors. In addition, project team members will contribute an assessment of their own and their peers' contributions (this evaluation form will be made available on My Courses)

MUSE Presentation Rubric:						
Presentation Component	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Good	Excellent		
	0-4 Points	5-6 Points	7-8 Points	9-10 Points		
Style: use effective verbal and nonverbal communication skills (e.g., voice volume, inflection, eye contact, etc.)	poor style (long pauses, reading speech, "Umm" and other mannerisms, poor eye contact, monotone, etc.)	Either fluent delivery but reading, or awkward delivery but spontaneous	generally good delivery and spontaneity but could improve	Excellent style involving matching verbal and nonverbal style, good projection with inflection, spontaneous speaking		
Overview: introduction of presenters, topic and background described, agenda described	no introduction or overview, background or agenda	introduction of presenters but awkward, sketchy or unclear overview/agenda and background	confident and fluent introduction; clear overview/agenda and background, but could be more complete or polished	confident introduction of roles and contribution; clear purpose, overview, and agenda; relevant & clear background		
Context: appropriate backgroun for the research	d little or no context for the provided	limited scientific context for the work. May result from incomplete sourcing of other related work	Good context for research, explaining how this study fits into related work	Excellent context for research. Introduces the topic in a way that provides clear new information to those to the topic, while advancing the knowledge who already had that information.		
Vocabulary: appropriate and fluent use of terms and concepts	little or no attempt to include terms, concepts, authors	use of terms but not well related, sporadic, misused or mispronounced	good use of terms but still uses jargon, or is awkward or uncertain of the proper use of terms	confident and clear use of relevant vocabulary, in the context of the course		
Research Design: appropriate and insightful application of procedures	little or no discussion of techniques and their application	inaccurate or incomplete explanation of techniques	Good but missing something that extends our knowledge, for example a contrast with other designs.	clear explanation with good fit, rationale, fluency, and originality. Substantially extends or deepens our understanding of research design. Contrasts the chosen research design with others in the course or with others that may have been possible for the study in question.		
Sampling and data collection	little or no explanation of sampling choices and data collection	correct summary of sampling/data but with little reflection	correct summary of sampling/data with some reflection on choices made	contrast of sampling/data collection choices with other approaches that might have been used. Explanation that deepens our understanding		
Coverage: thorough and balanced in treatment of topic	very incomplete, significant gaps, or biased treatment of topic	either thorough but biased, or incomplete and balanced	generally well balanced and planned, but perhaps incorrect at some point	thorough coverage of topic per assignment with balanced treatment of important facts, approaches, and themes		
Graphics: attractive & balanced layout, legible font	no graphics (may be appropriate in some cases)	graphics present but poor quality (illegible, inconsistent, , etc.)	well done graphics	well-designed and attractive graphics that simplify or summarize key ideas; original graphics rather than fuzzy scans		
Team Roles: team members have equally weighted roles	unclear team roles	clear team roles but unequal contribution	clear roles, equal contribution	clear roles, balanced contribution, practiced transitions between presenters, cross reference each other		
Discussion: team is prepared to facilitate discussion and is receptive to feedback	little or no discussion	discussion but without clear organization or purpose	Prepared discussion questions. Members not fully prepared for necessary depth of discussion.	prepared questions on key areas, and responsive to and elicit participant reaction and questions		

Course Participation Rubric

Adapted from L. Herzl 2009 Class Participation Rubric at Slideshare.com

	VERY GOOD (1 mark each)	ADEQUATE - with room for improvement (0.5)	DEFICIENT - Needs much improvement (0)
LISTENING	Actively and respectfully listens to peers and instructors.	Sometimes displays lack of interest in comments of others	Projects lack of interest or disrespect for others
PREPARATION	Arrives fully prepared with all assignments completed, and notes on reading, observations, or with questions.	Sometimes arrives unprepared or with only superficial preparation.	Exhibits little evidence of having read or thought a bout assigned material.
QUALITY OF CONTRIBUTIONS	Comments are relevant and reflect understanding of assigned text(s); previous remarks of other students and insights about assigned material.	Comments sometimes irrelevant, betray lack of preparation, or indicate lack of attention to previous remarks of other students.	Comments reflect little understanding of either the assignment or previous remarks in class.
IMPACT ON CLASS	Comments frequently help move class discussion forward	Comments sometimes advance the conversation, but sometimes do little to move it forward.	Comments do not advance the conversation or are actively harmful to it
FREQUENCY OF PARTICIPATION	Actively participates at appropriate times	Sometimes participates but at other times is "tuned out".	Seldom participates and is generally not engaged
TOTAL: (out of 5)			

ENVR 421 & 422 GROUP MEMBER EVALUATION FORM (Modified from Felder, R. (2004). Journal of Student-centered Learning, 2(1), p.29-30) and from PARA 410, taught by M. Scott).

Your NAME:		Group:			
The following evaluation of yourself a to identify who did not participate in member's performance, using the gu	the various group activ	•		•	•
1 – never 2 – rarely	3 – sometimes	4 – usually	5 – always		
Insert Student Names across TOP:					
ASSESSMENT OF ACTIVIES:					
Made serious effort at assigned					
work before meeting (1-5)					
Attempted to make contributions					
during meetings (1-5)					
Listens to ideas respectfully (1-5)					
Cooperates with group effort (1-5)					
Overall Rating;				<u> </u>	
Select a word from rubric below					
Few Comments:		•	•	•	•
RUBRIC Excellent: Consistent	tly carried more than h	is/her fair share of	workload		

Very good: Consistently did what he/she was supposed to do; very well prepared and cooperative Satisfactory: Usually did what he/she was supposed to do; acceptably prepared and cooperative

Adequate: Often did what was supposed to do; minimally prepared and cooperative Marginal: Sometimes failed to show up or complete assignments; rarely prepared Deficient: Often failed to show up or complete assignments; rarely prepared

Unsatisfactory: Consistently failed to show up or complete assignments; rarely prepared

Subject: RE: MUSE new course proposal consultation

Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 at 6:27:31 PM GMT-04:00

From: James Savelle, Prof.

To: Julia Freeman, Dr.

CC: Daniela Caucci, Ms., John Galaty, Prof.

Dear Julia;

I have read through the course proposals and see no objections from Anthropology. Regards,

James Savelle

From: Julia Freeman, Dr.

Sent: Monday, March 16, 2015 11:53 AM

To: James Savelle, Prof.

Cc: Daniela Caucci, Ms.; John Galaty, Prof.

Subject: Re: MUSE new course proposal consultation

Dear James Savelle,

I am writing to follow up on the Anthropology Department's response to the MUSE course proposal I circulated last Fall. John Galaty informed me at that time that the anthro website information is incorrect, and in fact you're the current Department Chair, so I'd like to ask for you assistance with this. Our course number application is due this Friday, and I need a formal response from your Dept in order to demonstrate that there aren't any possible conflicts with the courses.

I will be in my office this afternoon or available by email, if you have any questions. Otherwise, if you could return the attached forms to me as as soon as possible, it would be much appreciated.

Thanks!

Julia

Julia Freeman

Faculty Lecturer, McGill School of Environment & Montreal Urban Sustainability Experience (MUSE) Coordinator Room 34, 3534 University St. Montreal, Quebec, H3A 2A7 Tel: (514) 398-6997

http://www.mcgill.ca/mse/

From: "<John Galaty>", "Prof." <john.galaty@mcgill.ca>

Date: Sunday, August 31, 2014 at 8:59 PM

To: "James Savelle, Prof." julia Freeman julia.freeman@mcgill.ca

Subject: FW: MUSE new course proposal consultation

This message has been archived. View the original item

Dear Julia,

What a pleasure to hear from you. I'm glad to hear about your further studies at UBC and your post here at McGill. I'll look forward to catching up at some point soon.

I'm copying

Attachments:

new course proposal form ENVR421.pdf

(153 KB)

new course proposal form ENVR422.pdf Consultation with ANTH Report Form.docx (157 KB)

(61 KB)

CONSULTATION REPORT FORM RE COURSE PROPOSALS

DATE: August 31, 2014

TO: Nigel Roulet, Chair, Department of Geography

FROM: Sylvie de Blois, Interim Director, McGill School of Environment

The attached proposal has been submitted to the Curriculum/Academic Committee, and it has been decided that your department should be consulted.

ENVR 421: Unearthing Montreal, 3 credits

ENVR 422: Montreal Urban Sustainability Analysis, 3 credits

Would you be good enough to review these proposals and let me know as soon as possible, on this form, whether or not your department has any objections to, or comments regarding, the proposals. If we do not hear from you by September 22nd, 2014, we will assume that you have no objections. Thank you.

T 7	NIO OD TE CETONIC	COLUE OB IECETORIC
Y		
Λ	NO OBJECTIONS	SOME OBJECTIONS

COMMENTS: I have circulated the proposal for these two courses around the faculty in our department who are involved in our BA in Urban Studies and our Urban Studies minor. As part of these programs we also offer an urban studies field course but the faculty feel the courses are complementary rather than competitive with each other. They are sufficiently different in content, approach, and methods that it would be beneficial to students in the MSE and Geography. One of the individuals who has worked with the principal instructors of the MUSE courses is a joint appointment with Geography and he is also currently teaching our urban field course. This is a good way to keep the courses complementary with little significant overlap. Some of our Urban Studies majors have taken these courses as special topics and it appears to help them with their program.

Signature: Nigel Roulet, Chair Department of Geography

Date: September 7, 2014

CONSULTATION REPORT FORM RE COURSE PROPOSALS

DATE: August	31, 2014	
TO: Elizabeth	Elbourne, Chair, Department of History	•
FROM: Sylvie	de Blois, Interim Director, McGill Scho	ol of Environment
	roposal has been submitted to the Curric d that your department should be consul	
	nearthing Montreal, 3 credits Ontreal Urban Sustainability Analysis, 3	credits
on this form, regarding, the	good enough to review these proposals as whether or not your department has proposals. If we do not hear from you have no objections. Thank you.	any objections to, or comments
x	NO OBJECTIONS	SOME OBJECTIONS
COMMENTS:		
like to request with the Chair the course is up include in the li towards a Histo	pear to be valuable and indeed exciting a that the instructor(s) of ENVR 421 "Un- and Undergraduate Program Director of and running in order to discuss whethe st of non-HCS courses that we allow our ory degree, and we would also like to be udents informed.	earthing Montreal" consult further History and Classical Studies once In this would be a suitable course to Honours program students to count
Signature:	I lizabell Abarra	3 146
Date:	March 27, 2015	

CONSULTATION REPORT FORM RE COURSE PROPOSALS

DATE: Augus	t 31, 2014	
TO: Raphaël F	Fischler, Director, School of Urban Plan	ning
FROM: Sylvie	de Blois, Interim Director, McGill Scho	ool of Environment
_	roposal has been submitted to the Curried that your department should be consu	
	nearthing Montreal, 3 credits ontreal Urban Sustainability Analysis, 3	credits
on this form, regarding, the	good enough to review these proposals a whether or not your department has proposals. If we do not hear from you u have no objections. Thank you.	s any objections to, or comments
X	NO OBJECTIONS	SOME OBJECTIONS
COMMENTS:		
	we will be able to discuss the general ill with our colleagues in Environment a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Signature:	Rafhail Finbles	
Date:	March 19, 2015	

Subject: RE: Montreal Urban Sustainability Analysis course fee \$117

Date: Friday, October 3, 2014 at 1:17:54 PM GMT-04:00

From: Mary Jo McCullogh **To:** Julia Freeman, Dr.

Hello Julia,

Thanks for this. Based on the fact that this is an elective course, the committee was willing to approve the new amount of \$117.

Note that I cannot implement this until the new course number has been approved and set up in the student records system.

Please let me know when the approvals are done so that we can continue the work to set up this new charge.

The description that was originally on your request said "A fee of \$117 supports the costs of field trips for this class and a research symposium that concludes the Montreal Urban Sustainability Experience (MUSE)."

Can you please provide the French version of this in preparation for posting on our website?

The foapal to be credited will be: 151601 00408 500101 1000 009972 000000

The detail code associated with this new fee will be: ENV4 – the short description (we are limited to 30 characters) is presently ENVB 422 MUSE Fee. If you wish to change that, please feel free to suggest, but we should keep the ENVB 422 at the beginning (assuming that the course number doesn't change.

Thank you.

Mary Jo

Mary Jo McCullogh | Director | Student Accounts | McGill University | 3415 McTavish St. McLennan Library Bldg. | mary.mccullogh@mcgill.ca

Tel: (514) 398-2315 | Fax: (514) 398-2656 | http://www.mcgill.ca/student-accounts/

From: Julia Freeman, Dr.

Sent: Friday, October 03, 2014 11:15 AM

To: Mary Jo McCullogh

Subject: Re: Montreal Urban Sustainability Analysis course fee \$117

Proposal Reference Number : 9119 PRN Alias : 14-15#342

Version No : 2

Submitted By : Dr Julia Freeman Edited By : Dr Julia Freeman

	New Data			
Program Affected?	N			
Program Change Form Submitted?				
Subject/Course/Term	ENVR 422			
	one term			
Credit Weight or CEU's	3 credits			
Course Activities	Schedule Type		Hours per week	
	A - Lecture		3	
	M - Seminar		3	
	DF - Field Course (DEPT)	4	
			otal Hours per Week : 10 otal Number of Weeks : 4	
Course Title	Official Course Title :	Mtl Urban S	ustainability Anal	
	Course Title in Calendar :	Montreal Urban Sustainability Analysis		
Rationale	Opportunities for experiential learning in non-traditional settings have been identified as a priority for McGill undergraduates. Specifically, this field study based course offers experiential learning with a focus on urban environment, which has not previously been offered by the MSE. The course is a core component of MUSE (Montreal Urban Sustainability Experience) as it is being developed and vetted for approval. Moreover, being based in Montreal, this course complements the current set of field based courses that require travel abroad.			
Responsible Instructor	Julia Freeman			
Course Description	Applied and experience-based learning opportunities are employed to critically assess Montreal as a sustainable city through research, discussion, and field trips. The urban environment is considered through various specific dimensions, ranging from: waste, energy, urban agriculture, green spaces and design, or transportation.			
Teaching Dept.	0408 : McGill School of Environment			
Administering Faculty/Unit	SC : Faculty of Science			
Prerequisites	ENVR 301 or equivalent, of	or permission	from the instructor.	

	Web Registration Blocked? : N				
Corequisites		ENVR 421 Unearthing Montreal Web Registration Blocked? : Y			
Restrictions					
Supplementary Calendar Info					
Additional Course Charges	Description Amount	Field trips & MUSE symposium \$117			
Campus	Downtown	<u> </u>			
Projected Enrollment	20				
Requires Resources Not Currently Available	N				
Explanation for Required Resources	Please see the attached letter of explanation regarding a new course fee (to cover field trip and some symposium expenses) and the additional costs associated with this course.				
Required Text/Resources Sent To Library?	N				
Library Consulted About Availability of Resources?	Υ				
Consultation Reports Attached?	Υ				
	 Consultation 	on - ANTH - Complete.pdf			
	 Consultation 	on - GEOG - Complete.pdf			
	 Consultation 	on - HIST - Complete.pdf			
	Consultation	on - URBP - Complete.pdf			
Effective Term of Implementation	201505				
File Attachments	• ENVR 422	Course Fee Approval Email copy.pdf			
To be completed by the Faculty					
For Continuing Studies Use					

Approvals Summary

Show all comments

<u> </u>	1 0011111101113							
Version No.	Departmental Curriculum Committee	Departmental Meeting	Departmental Chair	Other Faculty	Curric/Academic Committee	Faculty	SCTP	Version Status
2					Approved Geralda Bacaj Meeting Date: Apr 28			Approved by Curric/Academic Committee

			2015 Approval Date: Apr 30 2015 View Comments	Edited by: Julia Freeman on: Apr 5 2015
1	Approved George McCourt Meeting Date: Jan 23 2015 Approval Date: Jan 26 2015 View Comments	Approved Sylvie de Blois Meeting Date: Jan 23 2015 Approval Date: Feb 11 2015 View Comments		Approved by Departmental Chair Created on: Jan 26 2015

ENVR 490 (422) Montreal Urban Sustainability Analysis May 4th to 29th, 2015 Burnside 308

Dr. Julia Freeman Dr. Kevin Manaugh

Email: julia.freeman@mcgill.ca Email: kevin.manaugh@mcgill.ca Office Hours: by appointment Office Hours: by appointment

Emergency contact – for if you can't find the group during field trips: (514) 578-9398

(Dr. Freeman's mobile)

Course Description

This course focuses on critically assessing Montreal as a sustainable city through research, discussions, and field trips. Topics such as waste, urban agriculture, and transportation will be addressed as part of our assessment of how Montreal is progressing towards its goal of becoming a sustainable city. Local experts and practitioners will share their insights on working towards sustainability, and highlight the ups and downs of initiating sustainable urban projects. Students will gain hands-on experience analyzing urban sustainability and develop skills required for investigating local sustainability challenges in Montreal.

Learning Objectives:

By the end of this course, students will:

- 1. Be able to define and investigate key concepts regarding socio-economic and environmental sustainability. Be adaptive in their definition of urban sustainability.
- 2. Develop foundational knowledge of sustainable initiatives in Montreal (particularly those related to waste management, urban agriculture, and transportation).
- 3. Critically assess the city's efforts towards sustainability, recognizing the complexity behind what leads to (un)successful initiatives.
- 4. Identify and articulate sustainability challenges in Montreal, using written and verbal modes of expression.
- 5. Apply course concepts and generate a clear, coherent research question.

Evaluation and Grading:

A variety of learning assessments will be used to evaluate students, under both collective and independent working conditions. These are described in detail below, under "Course Requirements/Assignment Guidelines". There will not be a final examination for this course, but strict adherence to submission deadlines will be enforced. Late assignments will not be accepted and will receive a grade of zero. Unless stated otherwise, assignments are due at the beginning of class on the day that they are due.

Sustainability Field Journal	20%
Policy Memo	30%
Debate Outline and Annotated Bibliography	20%
Town Hall Debate	20%
Active Course Participation	10%

Required readings/books:

A collection of readings will be made available to students.

Course Requirements/Assignment Guidelines:

Sustainability Field Journal

Each week students will keep a journal documenting their progress, learning experience, findings, ideas, etc. in sufficient detail to reflect their level of engagement with the two MUSE courses. It can contain your free-form thoughts about the class, drawings, maps, collages, what you are learning, and doodles. (Please use titles, dates, etc., so we can understand what we're reading when we review your journal). We encourage you to think deeply and to write freely. We are not looking for perfect grammar and technical writing. Rather, we want to see you reflect on the course and your role in it. You might think about taking 15 minutes at the end of each day for this sort of reflection. The journal will be handed in at the end of each week. You will also be required to keep a journal for ENVR 480 -- keep them together in a single document/book for easy reference and cross pollination. We hope that this will help you see connections between the two courses.

Policy Memo

This is an independent research and writing assignment due halfway through the course. Students will identify one of the organizing topics of the course (waste management, urban agriculture or transportation) as a point of departure to investigate a specific intervention for the city of Montreal. Research will demonstrate the need for such an intervention and argue for this option over others. The assignment will be structured as a policy memo intended to inform and guide municipal decision-making. Additional details will be provided in class.

Debate Outline and Annotated Bibliography

For this assignment students will form small teams organized by the broad topics of their policy memo research (two teams for waste, urban agriculture and transportation, respectively). This assignment is intended to get you working as a group, to get you thinking about the debate, and to have you bring together the relevant literatures required for the debate. The outline and annotated bibliography should accomplish the following:

- The assignment should begin with a concise and clear one page statement that sketches the current context of your debate topic. The associated academic disciplines and/or fields of literature should be noted. Explain the rationale behind your "curation" of the sources that will be included in the bibliography.
- You will provide a paragraph of annotation for each reference. Approximately 4 to 5 sentences that,
 - Explain the content and core argument of the text (1 to 2 sentences max!)

- Describe the evidence and/or methods that are employed.
- Include an evaluative assessment of the text. This might include considering: how
 does the text fit in its field of literature? (e.g. Is it supporting widely held claims?
 Debunking standard assertions, etc?) Is the text likely to be a key resource for the
 project? Why/not? Are you persuaded by the claims made? Why/not?
 In other words, how/will this reference be of use to your debate?

Town Hall Debate

For the debate, you will work in the small teams that formed from the policy memo research and created a debate outline and bibliography together. These teams will present and formally debate their proposed intervention for improving sustainability in Montreal. Successful debaters will not just provide a compelling case for their suggestion, but also acknowledge the limits of their plan, and consider the strengths and weaknesses of competing ideas. Thoughtful and critical scrutiny should be employed, and the debate will be held before our McGill and Montreal communities at the MUSE symposium in Redpath Hall on May 29th, 2015. The rubric used to assess this debate will be posted to My Courses.

Active Course Participation

The success of this course hinges on student participation. This experience will be - quite literally - what you make it. Coming to class on time and well prepared is critical for the richest experience. This means students must do their readings ahead of time, and contribute to class discussion with thoughtful comments. (Quality is more impressive than quantity here!) There are also a number of field trips and other activities planned for this course, and it is equally important that students are prepared for these. Formulating questions for guest speakers or presenters we visit will be expected (and should be recorded in the field journals. In addition, debate team members will contribute an assessment of their own and their peers' contributions (this evaluation form will be made available on My Courses). Lastly, because of the condensed nature of this course, missing more than two days of class can be expected to significantly impact this component of the course grade.

Course Schedule (subject to change):

Date	Activity	Readings	Assignments				
Week 1 Introduction to Urban Sustainability Analysis	At the end of week 1, students should begin defining and thinking about concepts central to urban sustainability analysis, and choose a topic for the policy memo research.						
May 4	Introduction to MUSE						
5	Mountain to River Walk with Les Amis de la Montagne		Come prepared for a long walk				
6							
	AM: Principles of urban sustainability PM: Tools for Analysis	David Maddox - http://www.thenatureofciti es.com/2013/05/08/the- cities-we-want-resilient- sustainable-and-livable/ David Owen, "More Like Manhattan", in Green Metropolis, 2009 "Driving Green Progress using indicators" in the <i>The</i>					
7		Guide to Greening Cities					
8 Week 2 Urban Agriculture and Green Spaces	regarding urban agriculture a	s should be able to identify issu and the protection of green spa aking progress on their policy re	ces for sustainable				
12	AM: Urban agriculture and green spaces – problems and possibilities PM: Lufa Farms excursion	Eric Duchemin, "Montreal's Urban Agriculture" J. Wolch et al., "The forgotten and the future: reclaming back alleys for a sustainable city", 2010. http://urbansustainability.s http://urbansustainability.s http://urbansustainability.s http://urbansustainability.s	Come with a question prepared for Lufa.				

		for-a-Sustainable-City.pdf	
13			
	AM: Montreal, agriculture and food security	Montreal Policy on Food Security	
14	PM: In class activities		
15	Bioblitz! With the Redpath Museum.		Come prepared for a day outside Course Journals Due
Date	Activity	Readings	Assignments
Week 3 Waste Management	management as means to thi	should be able to use the topic nk through sustainability challe padly; and complete and submi	enges in Montreal and
18			
	AM: Urbanism, waste and social capital.	Dale "United We Can: A Street Charity That Makes a Difference" (2012)	Policy Memo Due
19	PM: In class activities		
20			
21	AM: Waste at McGill and in Montreal: from the ground up PM: Guest speaker and Q&A	Montreal Community Sustainable Plan 2010-2015 (http://ville.montreal.qc.ca/pls/portal/docs/PAGE/PES_PUBLICATIONS_EN/PUBLICATIONS/VERSION_SYNTHESE_EN.PDF	
22	Field excursion to the St Michel Environmental Complex and Montreal's sorting center for recyclable materials.		Come with a question prepared for SMEC
Date	Activity	Readings	Assignments
Week 4 Transportation	approach transportation as a challenges; develop a researc research proposal; be able to	s should: have completed their means for thinking through un h question and operationalize i identify and explain concepts f sustainability maps and resear	research proposal; ban sustainability its analysis in a from the course that
25			
26	Transportation and social justice in Montreal	Poitras, C "A City on the Move: The Surprising Consequences of Highways" 2001, in <i>Metropolitain</i>	Debate outline and annotated bibliography due

		Natures: Environmental Histories of Montreal.p 168- 183.	
27			
28	In class activities		
20	III class activities		Course Journals Due
29	MUSE Symposium		Town Hall Debate

Academic Integrity

McGill University values academic integrity. Therefore, all students must understand the meaning and consequences of cheating, plagiarism and other academic offences under the Code of Student Conduct and Disciplinary Procedures, which can be found at http://www.mcgill.ca/integrity/.

Right to Submit in English or French Work That Is to Be Graded

In accord with McGill University's Charter of Student Rights, students in this course have the right to submit in English or French any written work that is to be graded.

Debate Presentation Rubric

Presentation Component	Unacceptable 0-4	Acceptable 5-6	Good 7-8	Excellent 9-10
Style: use effective verbal and nonverbal communication skills (e.g., voice volume, inflection, eye contact, etc.)	Poor style	Either fluent delivery but eading, or awkward delivery but spontaneous	Generally good delivery and spontaneity but could improve	Excellent style involving matching verbal and nonverbal style
Overview: introduction of presenters, topic and background described, agenda described	no introduction or overview,background or agenda	introduction of presenters but awkward, sketchy or unclear overview/agenda and background	Fluent introduction, clear overview, but could be more polished	Confident introduction, clear purpose, agenda, relevant and clear
Context: appropriate background for the research	Little or no context provided	Limited context provided	Good context for the intervention provided, explaining how the work fits in a larger field	Excellent – Introduces the topic in a way that provides clear new and advances knowledge
Vocabulary: appropriate and fluent use of concepts and terms	little or no attempt to include terms, concepts, authors	Terms not well related, sporadic or misused	Good use of terms, but still uses jargon or is awkward/uncertain for some usages	Confident and clear use of relevant vocab drawing from the course.
Policy Intervention: appropriate and insightful application of procedures	Little or no discussion of technique	Inaccurate or incomplete explanation or techniques.	Good but missing something that extends out knowledge	Clear explanation with good fit, rationale, fluency and originality. Substantially extends or deepens our understanding of a policy problem and how to address it
Coverage thorough and balanced in treatment of topic	very incomplete, significant gaps, or biased treatment of topic	Either thorough but biased or incomplete and balanced	Generally well balanced and planned but perhaps incorrect and some point	Thorough coverage of the topic with balanced treatment of key facts, approaches and concerns
Graphics: attractive & balanced layout, legible font	no graphics (may be appropriate in some cases)	graphics present but poor quality (illegible, inconsistent, , etc.)	Well done graphics	Well-designed and attractive graphics that simplify or summarize key ideas, original graphics rather than fuzzy scans
Team Roles: team members have equally weighted roles	unclear team roles	clear team roles but unequal contribution	Clear roles, equal contributions	Clear roles, balanced contributions, practiced transitions between presenters and cross reference each other
Discussion: team is prepared to facilitate discussion and in receptive to feedback	little or no discussion	discussion but without clear organization or purpose	Prepped discussion questions. Members not fully prepared for necessary depth of discussion	Prepared questions or key areas and responsive to and elicit participant reactions and questions.

ENVR 421 & 422 GROUP MEMBER EVALUATION FORM (Modified from Felder, R. (2004). Journal of Student-centered Learning, 2(1), p.29-30) and from PARA 410, taught by M. Scott).

Your NAME:		Group:			
The following evaluation of yourself a to identify who did not participate in member's performance, using the gu	the various group activ	•		•	•
1 – never 2 – rarely	3 – sometimes	4 – usually	5 – always		
Insert Student Names across TOP:					
ASSESSMENT OF ACTIVIES:					
Made serious effort at assigned					
work before meeting (1-5)					
Attempted to make contributions					
during meetings (1-5)					
Listens to ideas respectfully (1-5)					
Cooperates with group effort (1-5)					
Overall Rating;				<u> </u>	
Select a word from rubric below					
Few Comments:		•	•	•	•
RUBRIC Excellent: Consistent	tly carried more than h	is/her fair share of	workload		

Very good: Consistently did what he/she was supposed to do; very well prepared and cooperative Satisfactory: Usually did what he/she was supposed to do; acceptably prepared and cooperative

Adequate: Often did what was supposed to do; minimally prepared and cooperative Marginal: Sometimes failed to show up or complete assignments; rarely prepared Deficient: Often failed to show up or complete assignments; rarely prepared

Unsatisfactory: Consistently failed to show up or complete assignments; rarely prepared

	1	Courses i	n McGill School	of Environment Programs	5	
Degree	Program Name	Program Type	Credit Weigh	ENVR 421 (3 Credits)	ENVR 422 (3 Credits)	Comments
2 36. 3 3			To come as origin	Complementary Course	Complementary Course	
				-	ADD TO THE FOLLOWING GROUPS OF COURSES	
	Major Environment - Atmospheric					
B.Sc.	Environment and Air Quality	Major	60 credits	N/A	Social Science	
	Major Environment - Earth Science & Economics	Major	66 credits	List B	List A	
		-				
	Major Environment - Biodiversity &					
B.Sc. and B.Sc.(Ag.Env.Sc.)	Conservation	Major	63 credits	Social Science	Science, Policy amd Management	
	Major Environment - Ecological Determinants of Health - Cellular	Major	63 credits	N/A	Techniques and Management	
	Major Environment - Ecological					
	Determinants of Health - Population	Major	63 credits	Populations and Place	Techniques and Management	
	Major Environment - Environmetrics	Major	63 credits	List 2	List 2	Can count 3 cr only; other course would be an elective
	Major Environment - Food Production and Environment	Major	63 credits	Social Change and Human Impacts	Environment Management	
	Major Environment - Land Surface Processes and Environmental Change	Major	63 credits	Social Science	Environment and Resource Management	
	Major Environment Renewable Resource Management	Major	63 credits	Social Processes	Ecosystem Components or Management of Ecosystems	
	Major Environment - Water Environments and Ecosystems - Biological	Major	60 credits	Social Science and Policy	Social Science and Policy	Can count 3 cr only; other course would be an elective
	Major Environment - Water Environments and Ecosystems - Physical	Major	63 credits	N/A	N/A	
B.A. & Sc.	Interfaculty Program - Environment	Interfaculty PROGRAM	54 credits	Cultures and People	Environmental Management	

		Courses i	n McGill Schoo	of Environment Programs	3	
Degree	Program Name	Program Type	Credit Weigh		ENVR 422 (3 Credits)	Comments
				Complementary Course	Complementary Course	
					ADD TO THE FOLLOWING GROUPS OF COURSES	
B.A.	Faculty Program Environment - Ecological Determinants of Health in Society	Faculty PROGRAM	54 credits	Development & Ecology	Techniques and Management	
	Faculty Program Environment - Economics and the Earth's Environment	Faculty PROGRAM	54 credits	Advanced Courses	Advanced Courses	Can count 6 credits from Adv. Courses; both could count
	Faculty Program- Environment and Development	Faculty PROGRAM	54 credits	Social Sciences	Social Sciences	Can count 6 credits from Soc Sciences; both could count
Diploma	Diploma - Environment	Diploma	30 credits	Social Sciences and Policy		Offered by: Faculty of Science, the Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences and the Faculty of Arts.
B.Sc.,B.Sc.(Ag.Env.Sc.)	Minor- Environment	Minor	18 credits	Social Sciences and Policy		
B.A.	Minor Concentration - Environment	Minor Concentration	18 Credits			
Approval of MSE courses	ses, ENVR 421 and ENVR 422, will be add by Department: March 13, 2015 tentation: September 2015	ed to the progra	ns above as co	mplementary course (s).		

Proposal Reference Number : 9819

PRN Alias : 14-15#1042

Version No : 5

Submitted By : Prof Renee Sieber Edited By : Ms Josie D'Amico

	New Data					
Program Affected?	Υ					
Program Change Form Submitted?	N (Simple Change) - Please add Principles of Geospatial Web, GEOG 384, in the second group of courses under Complementary courses in the Minor in Geographic Information Systems and Remote Sensing.					
Subject/Course/Term	GEOG 384					
	one term					
Credit Weight or CEU's	3 credits					
Course Activities	Schedule Type	Hours per week				
	A - Lecture	3				
	Total Hours per Week : 3 Total Number of Weeks : 13					
Course Title	Official Course Title :	Principles of Geospatial Web				
	Course Title in Calendar :	Principles of Geospatial Web				
Rationale	Responds to new developments in geospatial field, including big data and new geospatial sampling techniques, cloud based data visualization and application development. Current courses don't have sufficient time to cover these courses. Also relieves student numbers in another 300-level course, which is over-capacity.					
Responsible Instructor						
Course Description	Theory and practice of geospatial web, including Examining spatial data accuracy and uncertainty of user generated content; Understanding motivations of contributors of volunteered geographic information for purposes like citizen science and crisis mapping; Critically evaluating political, societal, economic and legal issues in online mapping applications; Developing geospatial applications and identifying basic problem solving requirements for geospatial apps. For proposed solutions, evaluating and justifying various existing and emergent geospatial technologies and enabling software stacks; Exploring underlying methods of digital earth architectures; Understanding implications to geospatial analysis and visualization of real time streaming data and mobile sensors					
Teaching Dept.	0288 : Geography	Geography				
Administering Faculty/Unit	SC : Faculty of Science					
Prerequisites	GEOG 201 COMP 202 or permission of the instructor					

	Web Registration Blocked? : N
Corequisites	
Restrictions	
Supplementary Calendar Info	
Additional Course Charges	
Campus	Downtown
Projected Enrollment	30
Requires Resources Not Currently Available	N
Explanation for Required Resources	
Required Text/Resources Sent To Library?	
Library Consulted About Availability of Resources?	
Consultation Reports Attached?	Υ
	Geog.384_consultation_UP140109.pdf
Effective Term of Implementation	201601
File Attachments	GEOG 384 Course Descriptionv5.pdf
To be completed by the Faculty	
For Continuing Studies Use	

Approvals Summary

Show all comments

Version No.	Departmental Curriculum Committee	Departmental Meeting	Departmental Chair	Other Faculty	Curric/Academic Committee	Faculty	SCTP	Version Status
5					Approved Geralda Bacaj Meeting Date: Apr 28 2015 Approval Date: Apr 30 2015 View Comments			Approved by Curric/Academic Committee Edited by: Josie D'Amico on: Apr 20 2015
4	Approved Michel F Lapointe Meeting Date: Apr 06 2015							Approved by Departmental Curriculum Committee Edited by: Renee Sieber on: Apr 11 2015

	Approval Date: Apr 12 2015 View Comments				
3					Submitted to Departmental Curriculum Committee for approval Edited by: Renee Sieber on: Apr 10 2015
2					Submitted to Departmental Curriculum Committee for approval Edited by: Renee Sieber on: Apr 3 2015
1					Submitted to Departmental Curriculum Committee for approval Created on: Mar 24 2015

GEOG 384: Principles of the Geospatial Web 2.0

Introduction

The paradigm has shifted. No longer is desktop-bound, single software geographic information systems (GIS) considered the state of the art in technologies for geographic data handling, analysis and data visualization. Now advances in geographic information occur on cloud and mobile platforms and data representations are "mashed up" in web 2.0 applications. The nature of geographic data has changed as well. We now have very large and streaming datasets, called "big data", containing geo-locations, for example from mobile sensors. Much of the new data comes from user generated content, which is generally available on social media and is far more heterogeneous in its characterization of geographic locations. In contrast to traditional geospatial data, which originates from authoritative sources, new possibilities emerge with crowdsourced asserted data, which is location-based sentiments and observations from non-experts (called volunteered geographic information {VGI}). In this course we will cover the principles of this paradigm, called the Geospatial Web 2.0 or Geoweb.

Like GIS, the Geoweb has broad applicability that extend well beyond the discipline of geography. Numerous examples can be seen in health provision and epidemiology, wildlife and natural resources, criminology, and transportation planning. The Geoweb can be used in retail marketing, political mobilization, tourism development, journalism and the humanities. The Geoweb also can be fully linked to social networking platforms.

The course will offer a combination of theory and practice of the Geoweb. In terms of theory, we will cover subjects like VGI and how it changes our ideas about spatial data accuracy. In practical lab sessions students will design and develop Geoweb "apps". An additional goal is not to learn specific skills, but to 'learn how to learn'. Geoweb software can change monthly so students learn of resources and strategies to effectively use emerging technologies and anticipate innovations in geospatial technologies. The course will cover the following topics:

- Exploring the underlying methods of digital earth architectures, including georeferent systems. These architectures underlie most platforms (e.g., Google Maps, Google Earth, Microsoft Bing Maps, OpenLayers, NASA WorldWind)
- Exploring political, economic and legal issues in using VGI
- Critically analyzing the concept of VGI, for example, spatial data accuracy and uncertainty of heterogeneous data sources (spatial data quality)
- Learning about the field of citizen science, including the underlying motivations of citizens (non-experts) to contribute
- Understanding the infrastructure of the Geoweb, including the geospatial software stack and Application Program Interfaces (APIs)
- Comparing and contrasting GIS and the Geoweb (e.g., changes in geocoding)

- Repurposing geographic digital content (secondary data), for example via web scraping
- Learning underlying concepts of server/cloud geospatial applications
- Understanding issues related to real time streaming data (e.g., changes in sampling and geostatistics)
- Working with location based services and mobile platforms
- Identifying basic problem solving requirements for geospatial apps. For proposed solutions, critically evaluating and justifying various existing and emergent geospatial technologies and enabling software stacks.

We also have the opportunity to hear from experts in the field. We anticipate having guest lectures from representatives of Geoweb firms like Mapbox and Stamen Design representatives of traditional GIS firms like ESRI, coordinators of VGI sites like OpenStreetMap, and faculty members working in the Geospatial Web (e.g., researching copyright laws for geospatial data).

GEOG 201 and COMP 202 are required. OR permission of the instructor.

Books and Other Reading Material

During the course, students will be required to read a variety of articles, white papers and other material. These will be posted on the course website. If you require additional help in learning material such as KML, web scraping, or Javascript, you may wish to purchase books (e.g., *Eloquent JavaScript: A Modern Introduction to Programming*).

Evaluation

In-class and online participation 10% (5% in-class and 5% online)
Programming – 15% (5% programming course completion and 10% quiz)
Assignments – 50%
Final Exam – 25%

In-class and online participation: Student preparation and participation as well as performance during class will be assessed for half (5%) of the participation grade. We are looking for quality of contributions over the quantity of contributions. Class participation will be evaluated based on evidence that students have read assigned readings, done exercises and otherwise prepared for class. Students also will be assessed in their ability to thoughtfully and reflectively build on other students' contributions. The other five percent of the participation grade will be based on online contributions on Twitter, using the hashtag #neogeoweb. Like above, quality of contributions in tweets is preferred over number of tweets.

Assignments: Assignments allow the student to apply lecture material and/or programming to real world cases of geospatial representation on the web. There are five assignments in the course. All assignments will be done in groups of three to four students. The goal is to balance levels of computational experience so every group possesses a similar level. All assignments are graded as a group regardless of individual contribution.

Programming: Approximately half of the assignments require the use of a specific coding language, which currently is Javascript. Students are required to learn JavaScript programming via the online course codeacademy. Students must successfully complete the codeacademy course and email a screenshot of the badge of completion by the beginning of Week 6. (Students must complete for loops by end of Week 4.) Five percent of the grade is based on completion of the codeacademy course on Javascript. This is on a pass-fail basis, where pass is completion of the course. Ten percent is on a quiz testing Javascript knowledge given during one of the in-class exercise periods following Week 6.

It is possible to waive out of the code academy requirement if the student knows JS. Contact the instructor for details.

Final Exam: The final exam is comprehensive of all material in the course. It is divided into two equally weighted components—a written exam and a lab practical exam. The latter is essentially a lab version of a test, where you move from computer station to station answering the geoweb questions presented to you.

Obligatory Statements

- 1. McGill University values academic integrity. Therefore, all students must understand the meaning and consequences of cheating, plagiarism and other academic offences under the Code of Student Conduct and Disciplinary Procedures (see www.mcgill.ca/students/srr/honest/ for more information). (approved by Senate on 29 January 2003)
- 2. In accord with McGill University's Charter of Students' Rights, students in this course have the right to submit in English or in French any written work that is to be graded. (approved by Senate on 21 January 2009 see also the section in this document on Assignments and evaluation.)

Syllabus

Introduction

Overview of Geoweb and goals of the course.

In-class exercise: Work with existing Geoweb platform, Mapbox.

Set up Twitter account for online participation

Module 1: Digital Earths, the prime mapping platform in the Geoweb.

Definitions and types of digital earths. Georeferent systems and tiling. Differences between data structuration in GIS compared to the Geoweb. Introduction to concepts of markup languages as used on Digital Earths (e.g., KML). Examples from health geography.

In-class exercise: Create your first kml. In-class exercise: Work with 3D globe APIs (Cesium)

Assignment 1: Create a kmz 'story' with found ArcGIS shape files and attribute data, which should be displayed in an info window and rendered with the Google Charts API

Module 2: Geo- and Data Visualization

Principles of cartographic/ geo-visualization and the emergence of data visualization. Exploratory data visualization vs explanatory data visualization. Visualization as storytelling.

In-class exercise: Explore the "maps" of D3JS. Tell a story with a Geoweb platform.

Module 3: Geographic Data Handling in the Cloud

Introduction to web architectures, software stacks. Principles of web harvesting/scraping. Legal issues in repurposing data, for example, intellectual property and liability. Example from tourism. Structured and unstructured data. Formal introduction to tags, which extend from XML, to KML and HTML.

In-class exercise: Conduct web scraping. Tag content with XML. Create a web page

Assignment 2: Use Google Spreadsheets and XPath to automatically scrape and then map popular classified advertising site

Module 4: Democratization of Data: Volunteered Geographic Information (VGI) and Beyond

Concepts in VGI (e.g., citizen sensors, crowdsourcing, and neogeography). Motivations for volunteers to contribute. Common methods to assessing accuracy of VGI. Legal issues underlying VGI (e.g., copyright and intellectual property of using citizengenerated content). Emergence of citizen science, crisis mapping and open data.

In-class exercise: Create and edit your own VGI on OpenStreetMaps. Write Google Maps

mashup with Javascript (from w3schools)

Assignment 3: Create crowdsourcing application with the Google APIs or Cesium/webgl or Leaflet on the browser with Javascript and utilizing Google Fusion tables as the Internet database

Module 5: Streaming, real time BIG Geospatial Data

Introduction to concepts of big data and data-intensive science. Brief discussion of geosensors, which are a prime source of geolocated data. Challenges to working with big data, like sampling.

In-class exercise: Collect and manipulate Twitter data

Assignment 4: Create a transportation app with bixi bike or streaming bus data

Module 6: Geoweb on Mobile Devices

Concepts of geospatial awareness on devices--location based services (LBS), including location intelligence, vehicle tracking, and RFIDs. Examples from mobile commerce. Social issues in LBS (privacy, surveillance)

In-class exercise: Mobile messaging and mapping of geographic data

Assignment 5: Conduct data journalism using D3JS using heroku or jsfiddle

TBD: Final exam

CONSULTATION REPORT FORM RE: COURSE PROPOSAL

Date: November 25, 2013

TO: Prof. Raphaël Fischler, Director, School of Urban Planning

FROM: Renee Sieber, Geography

The attached proposal has been submitted for your consultation.

Course Title: GEOG 384: The Geospatial Web 2.0

Rafhail Finhles

The School of Urban Planning has no objections to the creation of this course. It welcomes the proposed addition to the curriculum and hopes that its own students will be able to benefit from it.

Signature:

Date: January 9, 2014

From: Bettina Kemme [mailto:kemme@cs.mcgill.ca]

Sent: March-28-14 2:10 PM To: Lea Berrang Ford, Prof.

Subject: Re: consultation: GEOG 384

Dear Lea,

as Chair of the Academic Committee, I will provide you with the consultation report in form of this email.

The department has no objectives in regard to this course. We offer some courses that might cover parts of what is taught in this course but the particular angle this course is taking is very different, so I don't see any significant overlap.

best regards Bettina Kemme

Chair of the Academic Committee

Lea Berrang Ford, Prof. wrote:

Bettina,

We submitted a consultation request to CompSci a while back regarding a proposed course by Renee Sieber (GEOG 384: Principles of the GeoSpatial Web 2.0).

Thanks in advance.

Cheers, Lea

Dr. Lea Berrang Ford Assistant Professor Department of Geography McGill University

805 Sherbrooke Street West, Burnside 705 Montreal, QC, H3A 0B9, Canada

Tel: +1-514-398-4944<a href="mailto:kel:

Email: Lea.BerrangFord@McGill.ca<mailto:Lea.BerrangFord@McGill.ca>



1.0 Degree Title	2.0 Administering Faculty/Unit
Specify the two degrees for concurrent degree programs	Science/Chemistry
,	Offering Faculty/Department
1.1 B.Sc. Liberal Program	Science/Chemistry
1.2 Concentration (Legacy = Concentration/Option) If applicable (30 char. max.) Chemistry - General	3.0 Effective Term of revision or retirement Please give reasons in 5.0 "Rationale" in the case of retirement (Ex. Sept. 2004 = 200409) Retirement
	Term: 201509
1.3 Minor (with Concentration, if applicable) (30 char. max.)	4.0 Existing Credit Weight Proposed Credit Weight
(50 Glai, Illax.)	49 49
1.4 Catagory	
1.4 Category	5.0 Rationale for revised program
Faculty Program (FP) Major Joint Honours Component (HC) Internship/Co-op Thesis (T) Non-Thesis (N) Other	Last year, we removed MATH 315 as a core course from the other Chemistry program, but inadvertently left it in this one. We would like to move MATH 315 to a Complementary course to reflect that changes made to our Majors/Honours programs. Also, under Complementary courses, students will now have more flexibility to pursue chemistry courses of interest to them.
Please specify	
Liberal Program	
1.5 Complete Program Title	_
B.Sc, Liberal Program Core Science Component Chemistry - General	
6.0 Revised Program Description (Maximum 150 words)	

8.0 Consultation with Related Units	☐ Yes	☑ No	Financial Consult	☐ Yes ☑ No
Attach list of consulta	ations			
9. Approvals				
Routing Sequence		Name	Signature	Date
Department	jl	rasad Damha	Cly autho	May 7 142015
Curric/Acad Committee				
Faculty 1				
Faculty 2				
Faculty 3				
SCTP				
GS				
APPC				
Senate				
Submitted by				
Name	Amv S. Blum	1	To be completed by ARR:	
Phone	514-398-623	37	CIP Code	
Email	amv.blum@r	mcaill.ca		
Submission Date	Oct 18. 2013	3		



			(01)200
1.0 Degree Title		2.0 /	Administering Faculty/Unit
Specify the two degrees for concurrent degree programs		ſ	Science/Chemistry
		ı	
B.Sc. Liberal Program		-	Offering Faculty/Department
1.1 B.Sc. Elberal Program			Science/Chemistry
		2.0	Effective Term of revision or retirement
1.2 Concentration (Legacy = Conc	entration/Option)	1	Please give reasons in 5.0 "Rationale" in the case
If applicable (30 char. max.)			of retirement (Ex. Sept. 2004 = 200409)
Chemistry – Physical		,	(Ex. Sept. 2004 – 200409) Retirement X
		•	Term: 201509
1.3 Minor (with Concentration, if a	oplicable)	4.0	Full-time Credit Weight Proposed Credit Weight
(30 char. max.)		4.0	Existing Credit Weight Proposed Credit Weight 47 47 47
1 A Cotoconi	1		47
1.4 Category		5.0	Rationale for revised program
I. D. (FD)			This program is not serving anyone at the University. Since its inception, not a single student has graduated in this program. We
Faculty Program (FP) Major	Honours (HON) Joint Honours		would like to remove it from the books.
Joint Major	Component (HC)		
Major Concentration (CON)	Internship/Co-op		
Minor	Thesis (T)		
Minor Concentration (CON)	Non-Thesis (N)		
	Other Please specify		
	Liberal Program		
1.5 Complete Program Title	Liberari Togram		
B.Sc, Liberal Program Core Science Comp	onent Chemistry - Physical		
6.0 Revised Program Description	(Maximum 150 words)		
alaean constant			

8.0 Consultation with Related Units	☐ Yes	Financial Consult []Yes ☑ No
Attach list of consulta	ations		
9. Approvals			
Routing Sequence	Name	Signature	Date
Department	Musad Damhu	OUL autho	May 7/2015
Curric/Acad Committee			
Faculty 1			
Faculty 2			
Faculty 3			
SCTP			
GS			
APPC			
Senate			
Jenate			
Submitted by			
Name	Amv S. Blum	To be completed by ARR:	
Phone	514-398-6237	CIP Code	
Email	amv.blum@mcaill.ca		
Submission Date	Oct 18. 2013		



1.0 Degree Title	2.0 Administering Faculty/Unit
Specify the two degrees for concurrent degree programs	SCIENCE/Chemistry
<u></u>	Offering Faculty/Department
1.1 Bachelor of Science	Science/Chemistry
1.2 Concentration (Legacy = Concentration/Option) If applicable (30 char. max.)	3.0 Effective Term of revision or retirement Please give reasons in 5.0 "Rationale" in the case of retirement (Ex. Sept. 2004 = 200409) Retirement Term: 201509
4.2 Minum (with Community of applicable)	reim. 201309
1.3 Minor (with Concentration, if applicable) (30 char. max.)	4.0 Existing Credit Weight Proposed Credit Weight
Chemistry	18 18
1.4 Category	5.0 Rationale for revised program
Faculty Program (FP) Major Joint Major Major Concentration (CON) X Minor Minor Concentration (CON) Minor Concentration (CON) Minor Concentration (CON) Minor Concentration (CON) Mon-Thesis (N) Other Please specify	CHEM 203 is a dead-end course, which does not satisfy as a prerequisite for additional chemistry courses. CHEM 204 provides sufficient background in Physical Chemistry to expand the options for students who need to replace Chemistry credits towards their minor with additional Chemistry courses (commonly Organic Chemistry for students from CEGEP or students who need Organic Chemistry for their Major programs).
1.5 Complete Program Title Bachelor of Science; Minor in Chemistry	
6.0 Revised Program Description (Maximum 150 words)	

8.0 Consultation with Related Units	☐ Yes	Financial Consult	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Attach list of consulta	ations		
9. Approvals			
Routing Sequence	Name	Signature	Date
Department	Musad Damha	CUL autho	May 7th 2015
Curric/Acad Committee			
Faculty 1			
Faculty 2			
Faculty 3			
SCTP			
GS			
APPC			
Senate			
Conate			
Submitted by			
Name	Amv S. Blum	To be completed by ARR:	
Phone	514-398-6237	CIP Code	
Email	amv.blum@mcaill.ca		
Submission Date	Oct 18. 2013		



	(01/2004
1.0 Degree Title Specify the two degrees for concurrent degree programs	2.0 Administering Faculty/Unit
	Science/Chemistry
1.1 Bachelor of Arts and Science	Offering Faculty/Department
	Science/Chemistry
1.2 Concentration (Legacy = Concentration/Option) If applicable (30 char. max.) Chemistry	3.0 Effective Term of revision or retirement Please give reasons in 5.0 "Rationale" in the case of retirement (Ex. Sept. 2004 = 200409) Retirement Term: 201509
1.3 Minor (with Concentration, if applicable) (30 char. max.)	4.0 Existing Credit Weight Proposed Credit Weight
(SO CHAI. IIIAX.)	36 36 36
1.4 Category	5.0 Deticable for revised arrange
Faculty Program (FP) Major Joint Honours Component (HC) Internship/Co-op (CON) Minor Minor Minor Concentration (CON)	CHEM 203 is a dead-end course, which does not satisfy as a prerequisite for additional chemistry courses. CHEM 204 is a prerequisite to CHEM 214. The two courses together provide sufficient background in Physical Chemistry to expand the options for students in this program to take additional chemistry courses that require some background in Physical Chemistry. Under Complementary courses, students will have more flexibility by being able to take any 400+ chemistry courses, rather than being limited to specific courses.
1.5 Complete Program Title	
Bachelor of Arts and Science Major Concentration Chemistry	
6.0 Revised Program Description (Maximum 150 words)	

8.0 Consultation with Related Units	☐ Yes	Financial Consult [Yes x No
Attach list of consulta	ations		
9. Approvals			
Routing Sequence	Name	Signature	Date
Department	Masad J Damha	Old autho	May 7 th 2015
Curric/Acad Committee			
Faculty 1			
Faculty 2			
Faculty 3			
SCTP			
GS			
APPC			
Senate			
Submitted by			
Name	Amv S. Blum	To be completed by ARR:	
Phone	514-398-6237	CIP Code	
Email	amv.blum@mcaill.ca		
Submission Date	Oct 18. 2013		



	(07/2002
1.0 Degree Title	2.0 Administering Faculty/Unit
Specify the two degrees for concurrent degree program	Science/Chemistry
	Offering Faculty/Department
1.1 B.Sc. Liberal Program	Science/Chemistry
1.2 Concentration (Legacy = Concentration/Option) If applicable (30 char. max.) Chemistry – Biological	3.0 Effective Term of revision or retirement Please give reasons in 5.0 "Rationale" in the case of retirement (Ex. Sept. 2004 = 200409) Retirement
	Term: 201509
1.3 Minor (with Concentration, if applicable) (30 char. max.)	4.0 Existing Credit Weight Proposed Credit Weight
	49 47
1.4 Category	
	5.0 Rationale for revised program
Faculty Program (FP) Major Joint Major Major Concentration (CON) Minor Minor Concentration (CON) Minor Concentration (CON)	CHEM 352 used to be a requirement for this program. After CHEM 352 was revised to CHEM 552, it was removed from the program, but inadvertently the credits were not properly replaced. Under Complementary courses, students will now have more flexibility to pursue a chemistry course of interest to them.
1.5 Complete Program Title B.Sc, Liberal Program Core Science Component Chemistry - Biological	
6.0 Revised Program Description (Maximum 150 words)	

8.0 Consultation with Related Units	☐Yes	☑ No	Financial Consult	☐ Yes No
Attach list of consulta	ations			
9. Approvals				
Routing Sequence		Name	Signature	Date
Department		autho	Musad Damha	May 7, 2015
Curric/Acad Committee				
Faculty 1				
Faculty 2				
Faculty 3				
SCTP				
GS				
APPC				
Senate				
Submitted by				
Name	Amv S. Blum	1	To be completed by ARR:	
Phone	514-398-623	37	CIP Code	
Email	amv.blum@i	mcaill.ca		
Submission Date	Oct 18. 2013	3		

Revision for EPSC 549

Proposal Reference Number : 9930

PRN Alias : 14-15#1153

Version No : 3

Submitted By : Ms Kristy Thornton Edited By : Ms Josie D'Amico

Summary of Changes Course Activities

	Current Data	New Data
Program Affected?		N
Program Change Form Submitted?		
Subject/Course/Term	one term	
Credit Weight or CEU's	3 credits.	
Course Activities	A - Lecture L - Laboratory	Schedule Type Hours Per Week A - Lecture 3 A schedule type was removed. Total Hours per Week: 3 Total Number of Weeks: 13
Course Title	Course Title on Transcript Hydrogeology Course Title on Calendar Hydrogeology.	
Rationale		For the past several years EPSC 549 has been a lecture- only course and has not included a lab section. The course activities and supplemental calendar information are being revised to reflect the changes.
Responsible Instructor		
Course Description	Introduction to groundwater flow through porous media. Notions of fluid potential and hydraulic head. Darcy flux and Darcy's Law. Physical properties of porous media an their measurement. Equation of groundwater flow. Flow systems. Hydraulics of pumping and recharging wells. Notions of hydrology. Groundwater quality and contamination. Physical processes of contaminant transport.	d
Teaching Dept.	0289 : Earth & Planetary Sciences	
Administering Faculty/Unit	SC : Faculty of Science	
Prerequisites	Prerequisite: permission of the instructor	
Corequisites		

Restrictions		
Supplementary Calendar Info	Winter 3 hours lectures, 1-2 hours laboratory	1. 3 hours lectures
Additional Course Charges		
Campus		
Projected Enrollment		
Requires Resources Not Currently Available		
Explanation for Required Resources		
Consultation Reports Attached?		
Effective Term of Implementation		201509
File Attachments		No attachments have been saved yet.
To be completed by the Faculty		
For Continuing Studies Use		

Approvals Summary

Show all comments

Version No.	Departmental Curriculum Committee	Departmental Meeting	Departmental Chair	Other Faculty	Curric/Academic Committee	Faculty	SCTP	Version Status
3					Approved Geralda Bacaj Meeting Date: Apr 28 2015 Approval Date: May 12 2015 View Comments			Approved by Curric/Academic Committee Edited by: Josie D'Amico on: Apr 22 2015
2								Submitted to Curriculum/Academic Committee for approval Edited by: Kristy Thornton on: Apr 16 2015
1								Submitted to Curriculum/Academic Committee for approval Created on: Apr 10 2015

Revision for BIOL 352

Proposal Reference Number : 9990

PRN Alias : 14-15#1213

Version No : 3

Submitted By : Ms Nancy Nelson Edited By : Ms Josie D'Amico

Summary of Changes | Course Title, Course Description, Prerequisites

			1			
	Current Data		New Data			
Program Affected?			N			
Program Change Form Submitted?						
Subject/Course/Term	BIOL 352					
	• one term					
Credit Weight or CEU's	3 credits.					
Course Activities	A - Lecture L - Laboratory					
Course Title	'	Vertebrate Evolution Vertebrate Evolution.	Course Title on Transcript	Vert Evol:Dinosaurs & Mammals		
	Course Title on Culondal	Vollosidio Evolutorii	Course Title on Calendar	Vertebrate Evolution: Dinosaurs and Mammals		
Rationale			The new title and course description better reflect the additional content of the course. Also, BIOL 305 (Animal Diversity) was added as another choice of prerequisite.			
Responsible Instructor			Virginie Millien			
Course Description	The origin and evolution of t vertebrates. Emphasis is pla embryonic origin of key verte context of living and extinct	aced on the evolutionary and ebrate anatomies within the	diversity and adapta mammalian radiation emphasized with lab	of the origin, evolutionary history, tions of archosaurian and ns. Aspects of their anatomy will be dissections and demonstrations. s and exhibits of the Redpath d.		
Teaching Dept.	0286 : Biology					
Administering Faculty/Unit	SC : Faculty of Science					
Prerequisites	Prerequisites: BIOL 304 or p	permission	Prerequisites: BIOL 304 or BIOL 305 Web Registration Blocked? : N			
Corequisites						
Restrictions						

Supplementary Calendar Info	 Winter 2 hours lecture, 3 hours laboratory 	
Additional Course Charges		
Campus		Downtown
Projected Enrollment		
Requires Resources Not Currently Available		N
Explanation for Required Resources		
Consultation Reports Attached?		
Effective Term of Implementation		201601
File Attachments		No attachments have been saved yet.
To be completed by the Faculty		
For Continuing Studies Use		

Approvals Summary

Show all comments

Version No.	Departmental Curriculum Committee	Departmental Meeting	Departmental Chair	Other Faculty	Curric/Academic Committee	Faculty	SCTP	Version Status
3					Approved Geralda Bacaj Meeting Date: Apr 28 2015 Approval Date: Apr 30 2015 View Comments			Approved by Curric/Academic Committee Edited by: Josie D'Amico on: Apr 22 2015
2								Approved by Departmental Chair Edited by: Josie D'Amico on: Apr 22 2015
1	Approved Nancy Nelson Meeting Date: Mar 16 2015 Approval Date: Apr 17 2015 View Comments		Approved Nancy Nelson Meeting Date: Apr 07 2015 Approval Date: Apr 17 2015 View Comments					Approved by Departmental Chair Created on: Apr 17 2015

Revision for BIOC 404

Proposal Reference Number: 9980

PRN Alias : 14-15#1203

Version No : 4

Submitted By : Mr Thomas Martin Schmeing Edited By : Mr Thomas Martin Schmeing

Summary of Changes Course Title, Course Description, Restrictions

	Current Data		New Data				
Program Affected?			N	N			
Program Change Form Submitted?							
Subject/Course/Term	BIOC 404						
	• one term						
Credit Weight or CEU's	3 credits.						
Course Activities	A - Lecture						
Course Title	Course Title on Transcript	Biophysical Chemistry	Course Title on	Biophysical Methods in			
	Course Title on Calendar	Biophysical Chemistry.	Transcript	Biochem			
			Course Title on Calendar	Biophysical Methods in Biochemistry			
Rationale			the course material for applications of the bilit is appropriate for the addition, CHEM514 in and is not an equival undergraduate course fall broadly in the dorn the two courses deal BIOC 404 has a focumethods. The name Biochemistry" better will continue to prese of important technique research laboratories the utility of these bid (such as electrophor magnetic resonance applied to the essent outstanding research	y entitled "Biophysical Chemistry", yet ocuses in large part on the practical ophysical chemistry phenomena, and ne course name to reflect this. In sentitled "Biophysical Chemistry" ent course, despite being a final year e with the same name. Although both mains of biochemistry & biophysics, with completely different topics, and as much more centered on research "Biophysical Methods in conveys course content. The course ent the fundamental biophysical bases used in modern biochemistry so themical and biophysical techniques esis, sedimentation, spectroscopy, and crystallography) as they are ial molecules of life, to solve a questions. The restriction ("Not is being removed since CHEM 404 no			
Responsible Instructor			Martin Schmeing				

Course Description	Hydrodynamic and electrophoretic methods for separation and characterization of macromolecules. Optical and magnetic resonance spectroscopy of biopolymers, and applications to biological systems.	Applications and fundamental bases of important biophysical techniques used in modern biochemistry research laboratories to isolate, characterize and determine the structure and dynamics of proteins, nucleic acids, small molecules and complexes that underlie life and disease.
Teaching Dept.	0216 : Biochemistry	
Administering Faculty/Unit	SC : Faculty of Science	
Prerequisites	Prerequisites: CHEM 204, CHEM 214 or equivalent	
Corequisites		
Restrictions	Restriction: Not open to students who have taken or are taking CHEM 404.	None
Supplementary Calendar Info	1. Winter	
Additional Course Charges		
Campus		
Projected Enrollment		
Requires Resources Not Currently Available		
Explanation for Required Resources		
Consultation Reports Attached?		
Effective Term of Implementation		201601
File Attachments		No attachments have been saved yet.
To be completed by the Faculty		
For Continuing Studies Use		

Approvals Summary

Show all comments

- 3	onow directificates								
	Version No.	•	Departmental Meeting	•	Other Faculty	Curric/Academic Committee	Faculty	SCTP	Version Status
	4					Approved Geralda Bacaj Meeting Date: Apr 28 2015 Approval Date: May 12			Approved by Curric/Academic Committee Edited by: Thomas Martin Schmeing on: Apr 21 2015

		2015 <u>View Comments</u>	
3			Approved by Departmental Chair Edited by: Josie D'Amico on: Apr 21 2015
2			Approved by Departmental Chair Edited by: Josie D'Amico on: Apr 21 2015
1	Approved Albert Berghuis Meeting Date: Apr 21 2015 Approval Date: Apr 21 2015 View Comments		Approved by Departmental Chair Created on: Apr 16 2015