Philosophy 419: Epistemology

Instructors: Dr Oran Magal & Professor Michael Blome-Tillmann

Office: LEA 941 Ferrier Building
Office Hours: M/W 13:00-14:00 M 10:00-12:00

Email: oran.magal@mcgill.ca michael.blome@mcgill.ca

Course Location and Time: ENGTR 0060, M & W 8:35-9:55

Term and Year: Winter 2019

COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course is a survey of central issues in epistemology and offers a comprehensive overview of both classical and present-day topics in analytic epistemology. It is divided into five substantive units (not including the introductory material covered in the first week):

Unit 1. Plato, Quine, and Rorty

Unit 2. Scepticism, Closure, and Transmission

Unit 3. The Semantics of 'Knowledge'-Attributions

Unit 4. Evidence and Justification

Unit 5. The Analysis of Knowledge

Typically, we will spend 2-3 weeks on any given unit. We will begin with a general introduction to epistemology as a philosophical discipline and consider, in Unit 1, classical writings by Plato (Theaetetus) and more modern approaches by Quine and Rorty. In Unit 2 we discuss sceptical arguments and paradoxes (Do you know that you are reading these lines, given that you might be merely dreaming?). After examining the role of closure and transmission principles in sceptical arguments we then turn our attention, in Unit 3, to recent epistemological issues concerning the semantics of 'knowledge'-attributions. In this unit we will discuss the view that the predicate 'knows *p*' changes its content with the ascriber's context (epistemic contextualism) and the view that knowledge is sensitive to the subject's context (subject-sensitive invariantism). Unit 4 is then concerned with induction and the notions of evidence and justification (and the relationship between the two), while Unit 5 is devoted to the analysis of knowledge and Gettier's famous counterexamples to the view that knowledge is justified true belief.

Unit 1 will be taught by Dr Magal and topics from the remaining units by Prof. Blome-Tillmann.

REQUIREMENTS

Students who take this course must *complete readings on time* and must *participate in class*. Students are expected to have their texts with them at each class, and students may be called upon at random to discuss or explain parts of the week's readings. The course presupposes knowledge of propositional and first order predicate *logic* (Prerequisite: Introduction to Deductive Logic 1). Knowledge of *probability theory* is desirable but not necessary.

FORMAT

There will be two lectures per week, although as much time as possible will be devoted to discussion. Normally, the first class of each week will involve a presentation of the relevant litera-

ture and the second will consist of a mixture of lecturing and discussions. Students are required to *prepare short presentations* for their tutorial groups (see below).

ASSESSMENT

Attendance and Participation: 10%

Midterm Exam: 30% Final Essay: 60%.

Additionally, each student must prepare a short presentation together with a group of fellow students. Failure to participate in a group presentation will result in the deduction of 6% from the final course grade.

Students are asked to read Jim Pryor's excellent guidelines on how to read and write philosophy: http://www.jimpryor.net/teaching/guidelines/writing.html and http://www.jimpryor.net/teaching/guidelines/reading.html

EXTENSIONS

Late papers will be downgraded at a rate of 1/3 of a grade per day (e.g. from A- to B+, C to C-), including weekend days/holidays. Evidence of a consistent lack of preparation (including non-attendance without a medical excuse) results in a deduction of up to 5% from the final course grade. Requests for extensions will be considered (but not automatically granted) only when requested at least 24 hours before the paper is due and substantiated at the time of request by a doctor's note documenting illness. Extensions will not be more than seven days.

MYCOURSES

The webpage for this course is located at https://www.mcgill.ca/mycourses/. It will be used to post the syllabus, readings, and periodic announcements. You must check the course webpage regularly. If you have technical problems with MyCourses, contact ICT customer support at: http://www.mcgill.ca/mycourses/students/help/.

IMPORTANT: papers must be submitted on MyCourses as MS Word documents. Submissions by email or in hard copy will not be considered.

TEXTBOOKS

This course does not use a textbook, but many of the articles we shall read can be found in the following anthology (henceforth 'Bernecker and Dretske (2000)'):

• Bernecker, S. and Dretske, F. (eds.), *Knowledge: Readings in Contemporary Epistemology* (OUP, 2000).

For useful introductory reading see the following textbooks and articles. Always read up on the topics discussed in class on the *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* and the *Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy*:

- Steup, M., *Epistemology in the Twentieth Centuty*, in: Moran, D (ed.), *The Routledge Companion to Twentieth Century Philosophy*, Routledge, New York: 2008: pp. 469-521. [very useful overview!!]
- Dancy, J., *Introduction to Contemporary Epistemology* (Blackwell, 1985).
- Everitt, N. and Fisher, A., Modern Epistemology (McGraw-Hill, 1994).

SCHEDULE OF TOPICS

N.B. Readings given for a particular week are the readings that apply to that week of classes. Students are expected to have completed *all* of the readings before the Monday class.

Readings in square brackets are optional. Most texts are available electronically on MyCourses.

Unit 1: Plato, Quine, and Rorty

Plato: Theaetetus

- Theaetetus, 142a-151d (Setting up the dialogue; optional).
- Theaetetus, 184b-187c (Transition; required).
- Theaetetus, 187c-201c (Insufficiency of true belief; optional).
- Theaetetus, 201c-210d (Justified true belief; required).
- Stanford Encyclopedia: https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/plato-theaetetus/
- IEP: https://www.iep.utm.edu/theatetu/

Quine: Epistemology Naturalized

- Quine, W. V. (1969). Epistemology Naturalized. In Ontological Relativity and Other Essays. New York: Columbia University Press. [required]
- Kim, Jaegwon (1988). What is "naturalized epistemology?". Philosophical Perspectives 2:381-405. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2214082 [required]
- Alvin Goldman, "The Sciences and Epistemology", in The Oxford Handbook of Epistemology (available through McGill) [optional]

Rorty: Truth & Relativism

- Excerpts from: Rorty, R. (1979). Philosophy and the Mirror of Nature. Princeton University Press. (Ch. 3, pp. 131-164). [required]
- Stanford Encyclopedia: https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/rorty/#2 [optional]
- Barry Allen, "What Was Epistemology?" + Rorty's Reply, Ch. 10 in R. Brandom, ed. (2000), Rorty and His Critics, MA and Oxford: Blackwell, pp. 220-241 [required]
- Michael Williams, "Rorty on Knowledge and Truth", Chapter 3 in e-book available through McGill: Richard Rorty, edited by Charles Guignon, and David R. Hiley, Cambridge University Press, 2003. ProQuest Ebook Central, https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/mcgill/detail.action?docID=217690 [required]

Unit 2: Scepticism, Closure, and Transmission

Scepticism:

- Descartes, Meditations on First Philosophy, Meditation 1, Sections 1-8.
- Moore, 'Proof of an External World'.
- [Unger, 'A Defense of Skepticism'.]

Closure and Transmission Failure:

Wright, 'Warrant for Nothing (and Foundations for Free)?'

Unit 3. The Semantics of 'Knowledge'-Ascriptions

Epistemic Contextualism:

■ Lewis, 'Elusive Knowledge'.

- [Cohen, 'How to be a Fallibilist'.]
- [Schiffer, 'Contextualist Solutions to Scepticism'.]

Subject-Sensitive Invariantism:

- Stanley, Knowledge and Practical Interests, ch. 5.
- DeRose, 'The Problem with Subject-Sensitive Invariantism'.
- [Hawthorne, Knowledge and Lotteries, ch. 4.]

Unit 4. Evidence and Justification

Coherentism and Foundationalism:

- Price, Perception. Relevant passages reprinted as 'The Given' in B&D 2000.
- Sellars, 'Does Empirical Knowledge Have a Foundation?', also in B&D 2000.
- [Chisholm, 'The Directly Evident'. Reprinted in: B&D 2000.]

Induction:

- Hume, A Treatise of Human Nature, Book 1, Part III, esp. §§11-14.
- Russell, The Problems of Philosophy, ch. 6, pp. 60-69.
- Goodman, Fact, Fiction and Forecast, pp. 72-83. Reprinted in B&D 2000.
- [Reichenbach, H., 'The Pragmatic Justification of Induction', reprinted in B&D 2000.]

Evidentialism:

- Feldman & Conee, 'Evidentialism'.
- Turri, 'On the Relationship between Propositional and Doxastic Justification'

E=K:

- Williamson, Knowledge and Its Limits, Oxford: OUP, 2000, ch. 9 'Evidence'.
- Goldman, 'Williamson on Knowledge and Evidence', pp. 85-91.
- Williamson, 'Reply to Goldman', pp. 308-312.

Unit 5. The Analysis of Knowledge

Is Knowledge Justified True Belief?

- Bernecker & Dretske, 'Justified True Belief', in: B&D 2000: 3-6.
- Ayer, 'Knowing as Having the Right to Be Sure', in: B&D 2000: 7-12.
- Gettier, 'Is Justified True Belief Knowledge?'.
- Feldman, 'An Alleged Defect in Gettier Counter-Examples'.

Causal and Reliabilist Theories:

- Goldman, 'A Causal Theory of Knowing'.
- Armstrong, Belief, Truth and Knowledge, pp. 162-83. Reprinted as 'The Thermometer Model of Knowledge', in B&D 2000.

Counterfactual Theories:

- Nozick, Philosophical Explanations, 167-78. Reprinted in B&D 2000.
- BonJour, 'Externalist Theories of Empirical Knowledge'.
- Williamson, T., Knowledge and Its Limits, ch. I.3, esp. pp 31-33

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY

McGill University values academic integrity. Therefore, all students must understand the meaning and consequences of cheating, plagiarism and other academic offences under the Code of Student Conduct and Disciplinary Procedures (see www.mcgill.ca/students/srr/honest/ for more information).

Submissions to this course will be automatically checked for plagiarism by means of Turnitin or equivalent software.

COPYRIGHT

© Instructor generated course materials (e.g., handouts, notes, summaries, exam questions, etc.) are protected by law and may not be copied or distributed in any form or in any medium without explicit permission of the instructor. Note that infringements of copyright can be subject to follow up by the University under the Code of Student Conduct and Disciplinary Procedures.

No audio or video recording of any kind is allowed in class without the explicit permission of the instructor.

In accord with McGill University's Charter of Students' Rights, students in this course have the right to submit in English or in French any written work that is to be graded. This does not apply to courses in which acquiring proficiency in a language is one of the objectives.

In the event of extraordinary circumstances beyond the University's control, the content and/or evaluation scheme in this course is subject to change.