| TO: | Senate |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | Professor Angela Campbell, Associate Provost (Equity \& Academic Policies) |
| SUBJECT: | Annual Report on the Policy on Harassment, Sexual Harassment and <br> Discrimination Prohibited by Law (2017-18) <br> DATE: |
| DOPCUMENT \#: D18-02 <br> ACTION $\boxtimes$ INFORMATION $\quad \square$ APPROVAL/DECISION <br> REQUIRED:  |  |

ISSUE

The 2017-18 Annual Report on the Policy on Harassment, Sexual Harassment and Discrimination Prohibited by Law is presented for information.

BACKGROUND The Policy on Harassment, Sexual Harassment and Discrimination Prohibited \& RATIONALE by Law ("the Policy") calls for the Provost to report annually to Senate on its
operation.

This report addresses activities, cases, and developments under or in connection with the Policy in 2017-18.

| PRIOR <br> CONSULTATION | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| SUSTAINABILITY <br> CONSIDERATIONS | The Policy provides for a sustainable framework for purposes of addressing <br> inquiries/complaints made by members of the McGill community. |
| IMPACT OF <br> DECISION AND <br> NEXT STEPS | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| MOTION OR | This item is presented for information. |
| RESOLUTION <br> FOR APPROVAL |  |
| APPENDICES |  <br> Discrimination Prohibited by Law |

CONSULTATION
SUSTAINABILITY CONSIDERATIONS

The Policy provides for a sustainable framework for purposes of addressing inquiries/complaints made by members of the McGill community.

MOTION OR
ELUTION Discrimination Prohibited by Law

## 2017-2018 ANNUAL REPORT POLICY ON HARASSMENT, SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND DISCRIMINATION PROHIBITED BY LAW

## 1. Introduction

McGill University's Policy on Harassment, Sexual Harassment \& Discrimination Prohibited by Law ("the Policy") applies to all members of the University Community. The Policy sets out McGill's commitment to establishing and maintaining a respectful and inclusive work and learning environment via measures focused on education, prevention and response to reports and complaints.

Over the past two years, steps have been taken to enhance the work undertaken by those who oversee the Policy's operation. Assessors, who are members of the University community appointed under the Policy (section 5.1) receive a minimum of 15 hours of training annually (see section 5 below), in addition to individual support and guidance received in the course of an investigation.

In 2016, the role of Senior Equity \& Inclusion Officer (SEIO) was created to establish a designated site for making inquiries and initiating complaints about harassment, sexual harassment and prohibitedground discrimination. The SEIO supports the Assessors in their investigative role and serves as a mediator where parties consent to informal dispute resolution. In 2017, Julie Lassonde was appointed as Interim SEIO. In August 2018, Sinead Hunt was appointed to this role. Julie Lassonde will remain available to provide mediation services to members of the University community who wish to attempt dispute-resolution outside of a formal process.

The SEIO's duties are now set out within the Policy (sections 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5).
The Policy came into force in April 2006 and is reviewed every three years by a working group (section 8.1). The Policy calls for the Provost to report annually to Senate on its application. The 2017-2018 report covers the period September 1st 2017 to August 31 st 2018.

## 2. Definitions

Senators, and all members of the University community, are encouraged to familiarize themselves with the meaning and scope of the Policy and with forms of misconduct that the Policy addresses:
2.7 Harassment means any vexatious behaviour by one Member of the University Community towards another Member of the University Community in the form of repeated hostile or unwanted conduct, verbal comments, actions or gestures, that affect the dignity or psychological or physical integrity of a Member of the University Community and that result in a harmful environment for such an individual. Within the employment relationship, a single serious incidence of such behaviour that has a lasting harmful effect on such an individual may also constitute Harassment.

### 2.11 Sexual Harassment means

i) any Conduct of a Sexual Nature by one Member of the University Community towards another Member of the University Community, where sexual activity:
(a) is made an explicit or implicit term or condition of an individual's employment or status in a course, program, or activity; or
(b) is used as a basis for an employment or educational decision affecting an individual;
or
ii) any Conduct of a Sexual Nature by one Member of the University Community towards another Member of the University Community, the effect of which is to impair that person's work or educational performance where it is known or ought to be known that the conduct is unwelcome.
2.6 Discrimination Prohibited by Law means any action, behaviour, or decision based on race, colour, sex (including gender identity), pregnancy, sexual orientation, civil status, age (except as provided by law), religion, political conviction, language, ethnic or national origin, social condition, a disability or the use of any means to palliate a disability which results in the exclusion or preference of an individual or group within the University community. This includes both the actions of individual members of the University and systemic institutional practices and policies of the University.

## 3. Promotion of Awareness

Promoting equity awareness is an important component of the Policy (section 4) and is a key mandate of McGill's Social Equity and Diversity Education (SEDE) office, which is part of the Office of the Provost and Vice-Principal (Academic). In 2017-2018, SEDE engaged in multiple activities relevant to the Policy's objective of harassment and discrimination prevention (see Appendix 1 and SEDE website http://www.mcgill.ca/equity diversity/). Additionally, McGill's Senior Employment Equity Advisor, Tynan Jarrett, offered various trainings to help promote and maintain an equitable environment by ensuring the full participation and advancement at all levels of employment of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented (Appendix 2).

Under the Policy, the SEIO's mandate also includes an awareness-raising component, which will be enlarged over the coming year. As of August 2018, two equity education advisors in the SEDE office will work directly under the guidance and supervision of the SEIO. Together they, along with colleagues in the Office for Sexual Violence Response, Support and Education, lead training for academic and administrative units on identifying, preventing, and responding to harassment, sexual harassment and discrimination prohibited by law.

## 4. Responding to Inquiries and Complaints

Complaints under the Policy typically follow an initial inquiry made with the SEIO. Once an individual decides that they wish to move beyond an inquiry to a complaint, they will file a report with the SEIO, at which point they will determine whether they wish to attempt an informal resolution/mediation or proceed via a formal resolution/investigation. In the latter case, investigations are conducted by one of McGill's Harassment Assessors, all of whom are appointed by Senate (see list of current Assessors in Appendix 3).

The tables set out below provide statistical information about inquiries and complaints arising under the Policy in the reference year.

### 4.1 Inquiries/Complaints

In 2017-2018, there were 78 inquiries/complaints (Table 1). This is a significant increase in the number of cases arising under the Policy as compared with years past; in the five years prior, the average number of cases per year was 33 . This increase could be the result of efforts within McGill to raise awareness about the Policy, as well as heightened social awareness about the ills of harassment and discrimination and a willingness to denounce and report misconduct.

Table 1 shows that, as in previous years, the largest number of inquiries and complaints concerned harassment ( 26 cases or $33 \%$ ). In this reference year, there were considerable increases in the
number of cases pertaining to discrimination (12 in 2017-18 compared to 3 in 2016-17), sexual harassment ( 11 in 2017-18 compared to 4 in 2016-17), and in cases that raised mixed/multiple forms of alleged misconduct ( 12 in 2017-18 compared to 2 in 2016-17). A considerable number of inquirers (17) raised general information questions or questions that fell outside the Policy's scope.

### 4.2 The Parties

The parties involved as inquirers/complainants and respondents are shown in Tables 2, 3 and 4. In 2017-2018, graduate students and academic staff members represented the greatest cohort of inquirers/complainants (23.5\%) and respondents (42\%) respectively. In the previous year, 20162017, the largest cohort of inquirers/complainants was undergraduate students (36\%) while graduate students represented 13\% of inquirers/complainants. In 2016-2017, academic staff were also the largest cohort of respondents (42\%).

2017-2018 saw an increase in the number of academic staff members against whom the Policy was invoked: 31 members of academic staff were named as respondents in 2017-2018 as compared to 23 in 2016-2017. That said, the proportion of academic staff members among the overall cohort of respondents remained steady ( $42 \%$ ). The number of undergraduate and graduate students named as respondents also increased, from 11 undergraduate students in 2016-2017 to 15 in 2017-2018 and from 6 graduate students in 2016-2017 to 9 in 2017-2018.

In terms of analyzing the parties in these cases (Table 3), for all categories except undergraduate students, the inquirers/complainants most frequently cited a respondent with the same status at the University. For example, graduate students most frequently named other graduate students as respondents. For undergraduate students, however, this did not hold true. Instead, undergraduate students most frequently cited an academic staff member as the respondent.

In 2017-2018 again saw a gender gap among inquirers/complainants ( 51 women, 19 men, 11 nonbinary or unknown) and respondents ( 28 women, 38 men, 7 non-binary or unknown). This gap appears to have widened in the reference year as compared with most recent years.

### 4.3 Outcomes

An inquiry or a complaint may yield the following outcomes:
i. complainant makes inquiry and decides to take no further action under the Policy;
ii. informal resolution between the parties, including mediation;
iii. formal resolution following an investigation;
iv. withdrawal of a complaint.

Outcomes of inquiries/complaints are shown in Table 5. Of the total (78) inquiries/complaints, 58 cases ( $74.5 \%$ ) did not proceed beyond the inquiry stage. Of those cases that proceeded to a complaint: one (1\%) was withdrawn during an attempt at informal resolution, 10 (13\%) were resolved via mediation or an informal dispute resolution process, and the balance ( 9 cases, 11.5\%) proceeded to an investigation and formal resolution.

The spike in informally resolved/mediated outcomes merits comment. This year is the first that McGill retained the services of a formally trained mediator at arm's length from (i.e., on contract with) the University. Her work assists parties experiencing disputes to find points of shared interest so that they can work toward mutually agreed upon common goals and terms by which they will resolve their differences and move forward. Not all cases arising under the Policy will be suitable for mediation and not all parties wish to proceed informally. Hence, parties always retain the option to proceed formally via an investigation under the Policy. Yet, where mediation is a viable option, it is offered to the parties who often succeed in coming to a resolution.

### 4.4 Results of Formal Resolutions/Investigations

Table 6 provides information about the results of formal resolutions. It shows that of the 9 investigations led in the reference year, 2 were deemed founded and 7 unfounded. Table 6 further indicates whether disciplinary or other measures were imposed regardless of whether the complaint was founded or not. Such "other" measures are administrative in nature, intended to improve behavior and/or climate, for example: education and training, establishing a unit equity committee or assigning a mentor to a respondent.

## 5. Related Developments in 2017-2018

In 2017-2018, McGill's senior administration gave special consideration to the topics of campus sexual misconduct and sexual violence. A special investigator will take office in Fall 2018 and will work at arm's length from the University to receive and investigate all reports of sexual violence or sexual misconduct. In addition, Principal and Vice-Chancellor Suzanne Fortier tasked Provost and Vice-Principal (Academic) Christopher Manfredi with drafting the terms of reference for a Senate ad hoc committee to review the University's current approach to intimate relationships between members of the teaching staff and students. The ad hoc committee will conclude its work and make policy recommendations to Senate in December 2018.

In 2018 the Provost also developed Guidelines on Intimate Relationships between Teaching Staff and Students to clarify the interpretation and application of existing regulations and policies that will remain in place until the work of the ad hoc committee is complete. These Guidelines require annual reporting to Senate of aggregate data on complaints involving teaching staff-student relations, including number of complaints, number of complaints investigated, number of investigations resulting in disciplinary proceedings, and the range of disciplinary sanctions imposed.

## 6. Assessor Training and Support

As provided by Section 5.1.6 of the Policy, Assessors attend multiple training sessions throughout the year. In 2017-2018, sessions addressed, inter alia, the following topics:

- implicit bias: meaning and impact
- responding to disclosures of sexual misconduct and sexual violence
- mental health impacts of harassment
- identifying and avoiding conflicts of interest
- preserving confidentiality
- conducting effective investigations
- writing reports following an investigation
- mediation and informal dispute resolution: skills and principles
- communicating effectively with parties and witnesses
- resources for students within and beyond the Policy


## 7. Conclusion

I take this opportunity to thank all those who have served or are continuing to serve as Assessors under the Policy. The University community is most appreciative of the dedication that the Assessors bring to their important role, the integrity with which they fulfill their mission, and the time and energy they devote to ensuring the well-being of all members of the University community and the harmonious resolution of disputes.

I also acknowledge the hard work, insight, and dedication of our Interim and incoming Senior Equity \& Inclusion Officer Julie Lassonde and Sinead Hunt, respectively

Table 1: Grounds of Inquiry/Complaint

| Grounds | $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 / 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 / 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 / 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 / 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 / 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 / 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 7 / 0 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discrimination | 12 <br> $(15.5 \%)$ | 3 <br> $(7 \%)$ | 6 <br> $(15 \%)$ | 3 <br> $(13 \%)$ | 2 <br> $(8 \%)$ | 1 <br> $(3 \%)$ | 5 <br> $(21 \%)$ | 5 <br> $(12 \%)$ | 6 <br> $(17 \%)$ | 1 <br> $(4 \%)$ | 6 <br> $(14 \%)$ |
| Harassment | 26 <br> $(33 \%)$ | 33 <br> $(79 \%)$ | 19 <br> $(49 \%)$ | 16 <br> $(70 \%)$ | 17 <br> $(71 \%)$ | 27 <br> $(73 \%)$ | 15 <br> $(62.5 \%)$ | 20 <br> $(46.5 \%)$ | 24 <br> $(61 \%)$ | 15 <br> $(58 \%)$ | 18 <br> $(41 \%)$ |
| Sexual <br> Harassment | 11 <br> $(14 \%)$ | 4 <br> $(10 \%)$ | 8 <br> $(21 \%)$ | 2 <br> $(9 \%)$ | 2 <br> $(8 \%)$ | 5 <br> $(13 \%)$ | 1 <br> $(4 \%)$ | 4 <br> $(9 \%)$ | 7 <br> $(19.5 \%)$ | 10 <br> $(38 \%)$ | 12 <br> $(27 \%)$ |
| Mixed | 12 <br> $(15.5 \%)$ | 2 <br> $(4 \%)$ | 6 <br> $(15 \%)$ | 2 <br> $(9 \%)$ | 1 <br> $(4 \%)$ | 4 <br> $(11 \%)$ | 3 <br> $(12.5 \%)$ | 14 <br> $(32.5 \%)$ | 2 <br> $(5.5 \%)$ | - | 8 <br> $(18 \%)$ |
| Other (usually: <br> general info or <br> outside scope) | 17 <br> $(22 \%)$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total | 78 | 42 | 39 | 23 | 24 | 37 | 24 | 43 | 39 | 26 | 44 |

Table 2: Status of the Parties

| 2a. Complainant/Inquirer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17/18 | 16/17 | 15/16 | 14/15 | 13/14 | 12/13 | 11/12 | 10/11 | 09/10 | 08/09 | 07/08 |
| Undergrad Student | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (22 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (36 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (13 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (18.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (11 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (38 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (27 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Graduate Student | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (23.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (13 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (22 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (25 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (41 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (33 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (22 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (11 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (23 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Academic <br> Staff | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (18.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (19 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (26 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (38 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (16 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (10 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2 \\ (8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Admin/ Support | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (26 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (23 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (26 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (13 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (27 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (49 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (33 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (35 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (27 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other/ <br> Unknown | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (15 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (16 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (13 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (13 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ (13.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (25 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 81 | 47 | 43 | 23 | 24 | 37 | 24 | 43 | 39 | 26 | 44 |


| 2b. Respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17/18 | 16/17 | 15/16 | 14/15 | 13/14 | 12/13 | 11/12 | 10/11 | 09/10 | 08/09 | 07/08 |
| Undergrad Student | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (20.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (20 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (11 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (4 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (4 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (8.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (10 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (5 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (19 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (30 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Graduate <br> Student | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (12 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (11 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (13 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (4 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (5 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (16.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (11 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (8 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (9 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Academic Staff | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (42 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (42 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (29 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (30 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (46 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (53 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (50 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (30 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (42 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (46 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (30 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Admin/ <br> Support | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (16 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (18 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (33 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (22 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (19 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (21 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (12.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (37 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (28 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (23 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (30 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Other/ <br> Unknown | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (24 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (30 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (27 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (12.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (16 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (14 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 73 | 55 | 45 | 23 | 26 | 38 | 24 | 43 | 36 | 26 | 44 |

Table 3: Party by Party (where known/disclosed by inquirers/complainants)

| Complainant/ Inquirer | Respondent | $\begin{gathered} 17 / \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 16 / \\ 17 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 / \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 / \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 / \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 / \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 11 / \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 / \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 09 / \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 08 / \\ 09 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 07 / \\ 08 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UG student | Undergrad student | 12 | 9 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
|  | Grad student | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
|  | Academic staff | 14 | 11 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
|  | Admin/Support | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | 3 | - |  | 1 | - | - | 3 |
|  | Other | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Grad student | Undergrad student | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
|  | Grad student | 9 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Academic staff | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
|  | Admin/Support | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Other | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Academic staff | Undergrad student | 1 | 2 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
|  | Grad student | - | 1 | - | 1 |  | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Academic staff | 10 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 4 | - | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Admin/Support | - | - | - | 1 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Other | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Admin/ <br> Support <br> Staff | Undergrad student | - | - | 1 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Grad student | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
|  | Academic staff | 1 | 1 | - | 3 |  | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
|  | Admin/Support | 11 | 7 | 8 | - | 2 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 10 | 6 | 9 |
|  | Other | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other | Undergrad student | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Grad student | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | Academic staff | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - |
|  | Admin/Support | - |  | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | Other | 1 |  | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - |
| Total |  | 73 | 55 | 45 | 23 | 25 | 38 | 24 | 43 | 39 | 26 | 44 |

Table 4: Gender of Complainants/Inquirer and Respondents

|  | 17/18 |  | 16/17 |  | 15/16 |  | 14/15 |  | 13/14 |  | 12/13 |  | 11/12 |  | 10/11 |  | 09/10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | R | C | R | C | R | C | R | C | R | C | R | C | R | C | R | C | R |
| Female | 51 | 28 | 24 | 11 | 25 | 18 | 14 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 25 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 30 | 9 | 30 | 24 |
| Male | 19 | 38 | 22 | 38 | 18 | 20 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 23 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 28 | 9 | 11 |
| Nonbinary/ Unknown | 11 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | - | 6 | - | 4 |
| Total | 81 | 73 | 47 | 55 | 43 | 45 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 35 | 38 | 24 | 24 | 43 | 43 | 39 | 39 |

Table 5: Outcomes
(NB - Total = 78 files - see Table 1)

| 5a. Inquiry Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17/18 | 16/17 | 15/16 | 14/15 | 13/14 | 12/13 | 11/12 | 10/11 | 09/10 | 08/09 | 07/08 |
| Discrimination | 11 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| Harassment | 16 | 22 | 16 | 11 | 12 | 16 | 10 | 15 | 14 | 9 | 12 |
| Sexual harassment | 12 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| Mixed | 6 | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 7 | - | - | 4 |
| Other (general information) | 13 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ (74.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (62 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (72 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (70 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (67 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (60 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (71 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (70 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (51 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (58 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ (61 \%) \end{gathered}$ |


| 5b. Withdrawn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17/18 | 16/17 | 15/16 | 14/15 | 13/14 | 12/13 | 11/12 | 10/11 | 09/10 | 08/09 | 07/08 |
| Discrimination | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Harassment | - | 2 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Sexual harassment | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 2 |
| Mixed | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (14 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (16 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { (11\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (15 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (9 \%) \end{gathered}$ |


| 5c. Informal Resolution (Including Mediated Agreements) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17/18 | 16/17 | 15/16 | 14/15 | 13/14 | 12/13 | 11/12 | 10/11 | 09/10 | 08/09 | 07/08 |
| Discrimination | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 |
| Harassment | 8 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 5 | - | 2 |
| Sexual harassment | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Mixed | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (13 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (13 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (28 \%) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (18 \%) \end{gathered}$ |


| 5d. Formal Resolution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17/18 | 16/17 | 15/16 | 14/15 | 13/14 | 12/13 | 11/12 | 10/11 | 09/10 | 08/09 | 07/08 |
| Discrimination | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Harassment | 2 | 9 | 1 | 3 | - | 7 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| Sexual harassment | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Mixed | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | - | 2 |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (11.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (31 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (10 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (17 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (25 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (24 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (16.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (12 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (14 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (27 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (12 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 6. Outcomes: Formal Resolution

|  | 6a. Total Formally Resolved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17/18 | 16/17 | 15/16 | 14/15 | 13/14 | 12/13 | 11/12 | 10/11 | 09/10 | 08/09 | 07/08 |
| Founded | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Not Founded | 7 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 |


|  | 6b. Discipline in cases where Harassment, Sexual Harassment or Discrimination Found |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17/18 | 16/17 | 15/16 | 14/15 | 13/14 | 12/13 | 11/12 | 10/11 | 09/10 | 08/09 | 07/08 |
| Yes | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| No | 1 | - | 3 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Sent to Disciplinary Officer for further investigation | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


|  | 6c. Other Measures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17/18 | 16/17 | 15/16 | 14/15 | 13/14 | 12/13 | 11/12 | 10/11 | 09/10 | 08/09 | 07/08 |
| Founded | 2 | 4 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Not <br> Founded | 6 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 2 |

## Appendix 1: SEDE Equity Training 2017-2018

## 1. Made-to-Measure Trainings

Designed for specific units or job functions; delivered on the request of a unit, or when a unit or job function is identified as a strategic priority. Made-to-measure sessions this year yielded a number of significant partnerships, with individual faculty members consulting with SEDE about integrating equity considerations into their course design and curriculum.

| Participants | Topic(s) Covered | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frosh Coordinators (2 groups) | Anti-Oppression | May 2017 |
| SSMU Executives | Equity and Employment Equity | May 2017 |
| Community Organizations training | Equity | May 2017 |
| Floor Fellows | Anti-Racism | August 2017 |
| Community Engagement Day participants | Equity 101 | September 2017 |
| Social Work (Guest lecture) | Equity and Social Policy | September 2017 |
| Model UN (SSUNS) | Anti-Oppression and Equity | October 2017 |
| Law Admissions Review | Equity | October 2017 |
| Occupational Therapy | Equity in Health | November 2017 |
| Education (Guest lecture) | Equity in Health | November 2017 |
| Faculty of Medicine | Practical Approaches to Diverse Sexuality and Genders | February and March 2018 |
| LLC (Language, Literature, and Culture) | Equity | February, March, and April 2018 |
| Engineering Undergraduate Society's Equity Committee | Understanding Intersectionality | March 2018 |
| Nursing graduate Students | Trans Health | March 2018 |
| Social Work Fieldwork Practitioners | Equity and Microaggressions | March 2018 |
| Alternative Spring Break reflection | Anti-oppression, solidarity model of community engagement, how to build on experiences to continue work | March 2018 |
| Nursing (Guest lecture) | Equity in Health | April 2018 |
| The Writing Centre | Gender-Neutral Pronouns and Inclusive Language | April 2018 |
| Leadership Development Program | Equity and Inclusion | April 2018 |

## 2. Safer Spaces Workshop Series

(Regular trainings for staff, faculty, and student leaders, offered in partnership with Teaching and Learning Services, First People's House, Office for Students with Disabilities, and the Office of the Dean of Students). A Safer Spaces suite consists of 6 targeted workshops, after completion of which participants receive a certificate demonstrating their commitment to allyship.

Pre- and post-evaluations demonstrate that participants consistently rate themselves as having gained significant awareness and skills during workshops, including skills for intervening when witnessing discrimination on campus, knowledge of the resources available to support indigenous people on campus, and an increased ability to intervene in instances where they witness discrimination happening on campus.

| Topic(s) Covered | Date |
| :--- | :--- |
| Understanding Discrimination | September 18, 2017 |
| Understanding Discrimination | September 27, 2017 |
| Race and Cultural Diversity | November 15 2017 |
| Disability, Access, and Universal Design | October 17, 2017 |
| About Sexual Assault: How to Respond to and Support Disclosures | November 3, 2017 |
| Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity | November 21, 2017 |
| Indigenous Perspectives | November 30, 2017 |
| Understanding Discrimination | January 25, 2018 |
| About Sexual Assault: How to Respond to and Support Disclosures | February 1,2018 |
| Indigenous Perspectives | February 16, 2018 |
| Disability, Access, and Universal Design | February 20,2018 |
| Race and Cultural Diversity | March 14, 2018 |
| Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity | March 16, 2018 |

## 3. Consultations, Events and Special Projects

| Partner | Topic(s) Covered <br> COCO - "D'iversité <br> D'Abord) <br> Rez project Community organizations training | May 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rez Project | Equity | July 2017 |
| L.E.X. training | Equity | August 2017 |
| Biology Graduate Student <br> Association | Equity | September <br> 2017 |
| Healthy McGill | Equity | November <br> 2017 |
| Rez Project | Equity | November <br> 2017 |
| Macdonald Campus | Film screening and facilitated discussion <br> for Indigenous film: "The Road Forward" | December <br> 2017 |
| Student Services: Student <br> Wellness Action Plan | Equity | March 2018 |


| Francofête | Gender inclusive writing and language <br> workshop and panel discussion | March 2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IGSF's "The Arts of Trans, <br> Gender Diverse and Two- <br> Spirit Lives" | Trans in the Academy Dinner and Art <br> Workshop |  |
| School of Social Work | Equity <br> Commemorative Ceremony paying <br> homage to victims of Islamic Cultural <br> Centre attack | January 2018 |
| Black History Month | Resilience | February 2018 |
| Occupational Therapy | Ongoing discussions about curriculum <br> enhancements and resources for <br> classroom discussions. | February-April <br> 2018 |
| Gender Equity <br> Subcommittee of the <br> Faculty of Engineering | Café Collab Year End Showcase <br> issues, women in STEMM | April 10, 2018 |

## 4. Indigenous Awareness Workshops

| Date | Class/Target <br> Group | Workshop |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| January 29, 2018 | Faculty of <br> Education | Discussion about respectful communication <br> within a classroom and scenarios of difficult <br> situations involving cultural sensitivity and <br> steps forward when addressing those <br> situations as a classroom educator |
| February 1, 2018 | Faculty of <br> Education | Incorporating traditional knowledge in the <br> classroom. Scenarios regarding awareness <br> of cultural protocols in event and lesson <br> planning as well as handling difficult <br> situations to create safe spaces for learning. |
| February 8, 2018 | Faculty of <br> Medicine | Student mentors training prior to engaging <br> in E-mentorship program with youth in <br> indigenous communities |
| March 23 and April 6, <br> 2018 (full day <br> workshops) | Faculty of Law <br> Administrative <br> Staff | Blanket exercise, Perspectives workshop <br> tailored toward workplace scenarios |

## 5. Café Collab Workshops

| Participants | Topic(s) Covered | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OSD | How Can Student Services Be More Accessible? <br> (Media-Making Workshop) | October 5, 2017 |
| OSD | What Makes a Service Accessible? | October 24, 2017 |
| Women in <br> Physics | Women in Physics (Media workshop) | November 2, 2017 |
| Student <br> Services | Making Student Services More Accessible <br> (Community Dinner Discussion) | November 7, 2017 |


| Student <br> Services | Precarious While Prestigious - Students in <br> financially precarious situations at McGill (Art- <br> Making Café) | November 14, 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Women in <br> Physics and <br> other <br> University <br> stakeholders | Equity in Physics: Building an Intersectional <br> Approach (Community Dinner discussion) | November 27, 2017 |
| SSAO | Precarious While Prestigious - Students in <br> financially precarious situations at McGill (Dinner <br> discussion) | January 30, 2018 |
| Music <br> Students | Music students and equity - Community Art- <br> Making Cafe | February 8, 2018 |
| Newcomers <br> to Canada | Calling Canada Home - Supporting newcomers to <br> Canada (Art-making Café) | February 12, 2018 |
| McGill <br> parents and <br> caregivers | Caregivers Create - Supporting parents and <br> caregivers at McGill (Storytelling Café) | February 15, 2018 |
| Architecture <br> students | Architecture and equity (Art-Making café) | February 20, 2018 |
| International <br> students | Supporting International Students (Community <br> Visioning) | February 27, 2018 |
| McGill <br> parents and <br> caregivers <br> and those <br> who serve <br> them | Caregivers Create - Supporting parents and <br> caregivers at McGill (Lunch discussion) | March 1, 2018 |
| Newcomers <br> to Canada | Calling Canada Home - Supporting newcomers to <br> McGill (Community Dinner discussion) | March 13, 2018 |
| Faculty of <br> Architecture | Architecture and equity (Community Dinner <br> Discussion) | Mupporting International Students at McGill <br> (Community Dinner discussion) |
| March 14, 2018 |  |  |
| Faculty of <br> Music | Equity in Music (Community Dinner Discussion) | March 19, 2018 |
| Macdonald <br> Campus <br> students | Supporting Students and equity work at <br> Macdonald Campus | April 3, 2018 |
|  | March |  |

## Appendix 2: Employment Equity Trainings and Presentations 2017-2018

Training and presentations on running equitable and effective search processes; topics covered include understanding obligations and objectives under Quebec legislation and McGill's employment equity policy; active and strategic recruitment techniques to establish a diverse and excellent applicant pool; and strategies and tools for enhancing equity in the review process.

This training is based on peer-reviewed literature and in made-to-measure sessions is supplemented with information particular to the field in which the search is being conducted.

| Participants (n=number) | Topic(s) Covered | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Linguistics Department <br> Academic Search Committee <br> Presentation and Q and A (15) | Equitable Hiring Practices | September |
| Faculty of Engineering <br> Academic Search Committee <br> Training (12) | Equitable Hiring Practices | September <br> 2017 |
| Cross Faculty Employment <br> Equity Session - Downtown <br> Campus (10) | Equitable Hiring Practices | September |
| Human Resources Advisors <br> and Direct Services Meeting <br> (35) | Employment Equity policy, new employment <br> equity survey | September <br> 2017 |
| Cross Faculty Employment <br> Equity Session - Downtown <br> Campus (12) | Equitable Hiring Practices | October 2017 |
| Sauvé Scholars (8) | Employment Equity; policy and practice | October 2017 |
| Art History and <br> Communication Studies <br> Academic Search Committee <br> Training (12) | Equitable Hiring Practices | November 2017 |
| Department of Psychology <br> Academic Search Committee <br> Training (6) | Equitable Hiring Practices | November 2017 |
| Department of Physics <br> Academic Search Committee <br> Training (8) | Equitable Hiring Practices | November 2017 |
| Department of English <br> Academic Search Committee <br> Training (18) | Equitable Hiring Practices | November 2017 |
| Department of Geography <br> (15) | Implicit Bias in the Review Process | December 2017 |
| Human Resources Direct <br> Services Representatives (15) | HR's role in Employment Equity | December 2017 |
| Faculty of Science Academic <br> Search Committee Training <br> (25) | Equitable Hiring Practices |  |


| Faculty of Music Academic <br> Search Committee Training <br> (8) | Equitable Hiring Practices | December 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Implicit Bias in Emergency <br> Medicine Faculty of Medicine <br> (12) | Understanding and Addressing Implicit Bias in <br> practice | January 2018 |
| Faculty of Education <br> Academic Search Committee <br> Training (8) | Equitable Hiring Practices | January 2018 |
| Faculty of Education <br> Academic Search Committee <br> Training (7) | Equitable Hiring Practices | January 2018 |
| Faculty of Dentistry Academic <br> Search Committee Training <br> (6) | Equitable Hiring Practices | January 2018 |
| Harassment Assessors (10) | Implicit Bias in Intake and Investigation | February 2018 |
| CRC Internal Review <br> Committee Training (10) | Implicit Bias and Equity in the Review <br> Process; Employment Equity policy context <br> and review | February 2018 |
| CRC Internal Review <br> Committee Training (7) | Implicit Bias and Equity in the Review <br> Process; Employment Equity policy context <br> and review | February 2018 |
| MForum (30) | Equity and Implicit Bias in Hiring and <br> Promotion | March 2018 |
| Department of Anatomy and <br> Cell Biology Academic Search <br> Committee Training (7) | Equitable Hiring Practices | March 2018 |
| Win4Science Plenary (60) | Gender Equity in STEM: Creating Institutional <br> Change at McGill | May 2018 |
| Human Resources Advisors <br> and Direct Services Meeting <br> (30) | Employment Equity pilot project on Support <br> and Admin staffing practices | May 2018 |
| Human Resources and Hiring <br> Managers (20) | Implementing an Employment Equity pilot <br> project | July 2018 |

## Appendix 3: 2017-2018 Harassment Assessors

## Policy on Harassment, Sexual Harassment and Discrimination Prohibited by Law

5.1.1 The Provost shall consult with the university-level student associations, staff associations and unions on the appointment of at least ten (10) Assessors from the University community. The appointment of the Assessors shall be approved by Senate. The Assessors shall report directly to the Provost.
5.1.2 The Assessors shall be employees or appointees of the University. Half shall be chosen from the members of academic staff and half from the members of administrative and support staff (excluding staff in academic administrative appointments). At least one of the Assessors shall be from Macdonald Campus.
5.1.2.1 The Provost shall endeavour to have a diverse group of Assessors reflective of the diversity of the University Community.
5.1.3 The Assessors shall have staggered terms of three years each, normally commencing on September 1st.

## Assessors

1. Luis Agellon, Faculty of Agricultural \& Environmental Sciences, 2016-2019
2. Anne-Marie Durocher, Office of the VP-Research \& Innovation, 2016-2019 (Coordinating Assessor)
3. Eamon Duffy, Libraries, 2016-2018
4. Anne-Marie Huynh, University Advancement, 2017-2020
5. Sara Laimon, Schulich School of Music, 2018-2021
6. Cindy Mancuso, Student Services, 2018-2021
7. John Poliquin, Human Resources, 2016-2019
8. Inès Scharnweber, Faculty of Arts, 2017-2020
9. Petra Rohrbach, Faculty Agricultural \& Environmental Sciences, 2017-2020
10. Brian Rubineau, Faculty of Management, 2017-2020
11. Romesh Vadivel, Enrolment Services, 2016-2018
12. Tracy Webb, Faculty of Science, 2017-2020
