James Administration Building, Room 400

Pavillon James de l'administration, bureau 400

Tel.: (514) 398-1224 Fax: (514) 398-6283

 Date:
 Monday, September 12, 2016

 Doc. #:
 CGPS-MM.02_2016.09.12

 To:
 Members of the Council of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies (CGPS)

 From:
 Josephine Nalbantoglu, Chair of Council of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies (CGPS)

 Subject:
 New Thesis Examiner Report Forms

 Purpose:
 ☒ For Information
 ☒ For Review and Approval

Rationale:

The evaluation of a graduate student's thesis is a key step towards degree completion. It is essential that the criteria for evaluation on the thesis examiner report forms align with the expectations for Master's and doctoral theses, respectively. In particular, a PhD thesis must constitute original scholarship and must make a distinct contribution to knowledge. A Master's thesis, on the other hand, does not need to fulfill these requirements.

Effective as of September 2016, GPS has moved from a single thesis examiner report form to two forms (Master's; PhD internal/external). The forms reflect the respective evaluation criteria for Master's and doctoral thesis. The revised evaluation criteria were developed by GPS and in consultation with academics in the community.

Details:

In order to increase transparency and clarity with the thesis examination process, the examiner report forms are now available on the <u>GPS website</u>. Supervisors and students are encouraged to consult the criteria against which the thesis will be evaluated prior to writing and submitting the thesis. French versions of the forms are also available for examiners.

Appendices:

- A. Former thesis examiner form (Master's and doctoral) **Doctoral form shown but the one for Masters** is identical to this one.
- B. New Master's form
- C. New PhD form (internal and external examiners)

REPORT DUE DATE: August 03, 2016

McGILL UNIVERSITY Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies

DOCTORAL EXTERNAL REPORT

NAME OF STUDENT: DEGREE / UNIT: THESIS TITLE:

Use the following scale:

EXCELLENT, VERY GOOD, GOOD, SATISFACTORY, or UNSATISFACTORY (Choose one grade for each category)

| Criteria | Excellent Top 10% | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|--|----------------------|-----------|------|--------------|----------------|
| 1. Evidence of originality and creativity | | | | | |
| Resourcefulness, alertness to significance of findings | | | | | |
| 3. Diligence, care, technical skill in the research | | | | | |
| Usefulness of the results to other workers in the field; value as a contribution to knowledge | | | | | |
| 5. Grasp of subject, powers of criticism and general adequacy in review of previous work | | | | | |
| Quality of presentation (coherence, lucidity, grammar, style, freedom from typographical errors) | | | | | |

7. OVERALL JUDGEMENT (circle one)

PASSED or NOT PASSED

- 8. If the overall judgement is 'PASSED' please provide:
 - a. Comments explaining your evaluation of the thesis including recommendations for minor revisions to be included in the final thesis.
 - b. A list of questions to be asked at the oral examination.
 - c. Should this thesis be considered for a prestigious provincial or national award? (circle one) YES or NO
- 9. If the overall judgement is 'NOT PASSED', at least one of the criteria above must be graded unsatisfactory. (Note:the thesis does not proceed to the Oral Defence unless a revised thesis has been submitted for examination and has been passed).

Please provide:

- a. Comments explaining your evaluation of the thesis, including a detailed description of the shortcomings that have informed your decision that it does not meet the requirements of a passing thesis.
- b. An itemized list of the substantive issues that you expect the student to address for the written thesis to receive a passing grade upon re-examination and proceed to the oral defence. Please refer to appended instructions for examiners.

| In order not to jeopardize the student s anticipated graduation timeline (and potential associated costs), we must receive |
|--|
| your report no later than August 03, 2016. Please return your report to: Thesis Office by fax 514-398-6283, email or mail, |
| Thesis Office, McGill University, James Administration Bldg, Room 400, 845 Sherbrooke St. W. Montreal, QC Canada H3A |
| 2T5 Tel No. 514-398-5035 Ext. |

| DATE: S | SIGNED: |
|---------|---------|

Report Due Date: Name of Student: Degree/Unit: Thesis Title:

McGill University Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies Examiner Report – Master's Thesis

| , , | | | | criteria in your | written report. |
|--|------------------------|-----------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Is in line with disciplinary norms | | Verv | | | |
| | • | Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
| | | | | | |
| Is situated in a broader context and appropriately acknowledges the larger field of research (e.g., citations/ references) | | | | | |
| 3. Details methodology and methods | | | | | |
| l. Reports results clearly | | | | | |
| 5. Justifies analyses and conclusions | | | | | |
| 5. Discusses implications | | | | | |
| Is presented appropriately for disciplinary norms (grammar, style, coherence, cohesion) Complies with McGill's guidelines for thesis | | | | | |
| preparation | | | | | |
| Overall Recommendation: Select one. | lasta in | | | | Calast ONE |
| Recommend PASSED - The thesis is ready for final submission. | Select ONE | | | | |
| Report must include any recommendations for editorial changes that can be completed in th | | | e thesis (| i.e., stylistic or | |
| NOT PASSED – The thesis needs major revisions befor At least one of the Criteria for the Evaluation if the thesis is NOT PASSED. Report must include a detailed description of | of the Thesis | must be j | _ | · | |
| decision, including an itemized list of substan address in order for the thesis to be given a P | tive issues yo ASS. | u would e | xpect the | e student to | |
| If this is the first NOT PASSED assessment, the <u>resubmit</u> the thesis. | e student will | nave the | option to | revise and | |
| ATE: SIGNED: | | | | | |

Report Due Date:

McGill University Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies Examiner Report – Doctoral Thesis

| Name of Student: Degree/Unit: Thesis Title: | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|
| As a thesis examiner, you will complete this form and attac | h a written r | eport pro | oviding a d | letailed justificat | tion of your |
| evaluation. The deadline to send this form and your writte | n report to 0 | Graduate | and Posto | loctoral Studies | (email: |
| thesiscoordinator.gps@mcgill.ca) is A lat | e report has | serious a | cademic a | and financial con | sequences for |
| the student. Thank you for your valuable contribution to th | | | | | · |
| Evaluation of the Thesis: Complete the evaluation grid | | | | iteria in your wr | itten report. |
| Criteria for Evaluation of Thesis | Excellent Top 10% | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
| Makes an original contribution to knowledge | | | | | |
| 2. Advances knowledge in the field | | | | | |
| 3. Is in line with disciplinary norms for research | | | | | |
| 4. Is situated in a broader context and appropriately | | | | | |
| acknowledges the larger field of research (e.g., | | | | | |
| citations/ references) | | | | | |
| 5. Details methodology and methods | | | | | |
| 6. Reports results clearly | | | | | |
| 7. Justifies analyses and conclusions | | | | | |
| 8. Discusses implications | | | | | |
| 9. Is presented appropriately for disciplinary norms | | | | | |
| (grammar, style, coherence, cohesion) | | | | | |
| 10. Complies with McGill's guidelines for thesis | | | | | |
| <u>preparation</u> | | | | | |
| 2. Overall Recommendation: Select one. | | | | | |
| Recommend | | | | | Select ONE |
| PASSED - The thesis is ready to proceed to the Oral Defen Report must include any recommendations for minor | | +b | is /i o stu | listis or aditorial | |
| Report must include any recommendations for minor changes that can be completed in three weeks or less | | the thesi | is (i.e., sty | iistic or editorial | |
| Report must include questions to be asked of the car | | o Oral Do | fanca | | |
| NOT PASSED – The thesis needs major revisions before p | | | | | |
| At least one of the Criteria for the Evaluation of the T thesis is NOT PASSED. | • | | | factory if the | |
| Report must include a detailed description of the shortcomings that have informed your decision, | | | | | |
| including an itemized list of substantive issues you would expect the student to address in order for | | | | | |
| the thesis to be given a PASS and proceed to the Oral | • | | | | |
| If this is the first NOT PASSED assessment, the student will have the option to revise and resubmit the thesis. | | | | | |
| | | | | | l |

DATE: ______ SIGNED: _____