FMED 503: Communicating Qualitative Health Research for Diverse Audiences (3 Credit)

Instructor:	Dr. Kathleen (Kate) Rice, Assistant Professor, Department of Family Medicine
Dates: Time: Location:	Jan 5 th 2022-April 16 th 2022 Wednesdays 13:30-16:30 5858 Chemin de la Cote-des-Neiges
Office Hours:	TBD
Contact Details:	kathleen.rice@mcgill.ca

Course Description:

This 3-credit course focuses on communicating qualitative health research for diverse audiences. Students will be invited to think critically about the relationship between discipline-specific conventions of scholarly writing and communication, and the forms of knowledge that are sustained and (re)produced through research dissemination practices. The course will begin by examining some of the personal, epistemological, and pragmatic challenges inherent in producing and disseminating qualitative research for a clinical and policy-oriented audience. This foundation is intended to guide student thinking throughout the duration of the course.

Because qualitative research methods originate in the social sciences and humanities and are most established and developed in those domains, writing qualitative research for clinical and policy-oriented audiences entails successfully bridging disciplinary conventions that are often incommensurate. To better understand how researchers effectively achieve this feat, the second segment of the course will be devoted to reading and analyzing the work of scholars who have published their research in both clinician/policy-oriented and health-oriented social science and medical humanities journals. In so doing, participants will reflect on what is produced and obscured through their efforts to produce peer-reviewed research that meets the standards of scholarly rigour across disciplines. The primary objective is not to critique the topics and content of the studies that we will read (although we will certainly do this), but rather to reflect on how the authors strategically "package" their research according to their target audience. Additionally, we will read/listen to and analyze examples of forms of dissemination besides peer-reviewed articles, including Commentary, Editorial, podcast, graphic medicine, ethnographic monograph, and arts-based approaches. Students will be invited to think critically about how these various forms of communication shape both how research findings are framed, and who they reach. The final portion of the course will be focusing on developing, framing, and disseminating students' own research for three different audiences: 1) clinical and/or policymaking audiences; 2) social science/global health/medical humanities audiences 3) popular audiences.

As an advanced graduate seminar, the course is structured around seminar-style discussion. While the professor may lecture for a portion of each session on an ad hoc basis, students are expected to come to class having prepared to fully participate.

Learning outcomes:

By the end of the course students will have assembled toolkit of strategies to guide their own writing, alongside a deeper understanding of the practical, ethical, and conceptual challenges that qualitative writing for diverse audiences entails.

Required Texts:

The Logic of Care: Health and the Problem of Patient Choice by Annemarie Mol. Lissa: a story about medical promise, friendship, and revolution, by Sherine Hamdy & Coleman Nye (writers), Sarula Bao & Caroline Brewer (art), Marc Parenteau (lettering).

All other course materials will be provided through MyCourses.

Assignments and evaluation:

Evaluation will be based on two assignments, class participation, and the facilitation of class discussion. There is no exam. Assignments are due at the *beginning* of the class on the due date. Late assignments will be penalized by 10% per day unless an extension has been granted by the instructor or in the case of an emergency.

Evaluation Measure	Grade %
Final Assignment (written and oral component)	30%
Podcast	20%
Facilitation of discussion (X2):	30% (15% + 15%)
Class attendance and participation	20%

Final Assignment

Part 1 (15%): In-Class Research Presentation (approx. 20mins)

You have two options for this assignment. If you have your own qualitative data, you are strongly encouraged to use it. If you do not have your own data, you must choose a peer-reviewed study (journal article, book chapter, or book) that is relevant to a healthcare audience (primary care, public health, global health etc.) but is written for a social science or humanities-oriented audience. If you choose this latter option, check with the professor to ensure that your chosen publication is suitable.

- Briefly summarize the research, and explain its relevance to healthcare
- Explain two potential venues for dissemination of that research; at least one venue must be a clinical or policy-oriented journal
- Explain the publication requirements and disciplinary conventions of those venue (you may want to peruse a few examples of qualitative publications from your chosen journal(s) to get a sense of what they publish), reflect on the challenges that meeting those standards would entail for your chosen research study, and explain what you will need to emphasize and (potentially) obscure in order to successfully publish or disseminate there. You may choose an alternative method of dissemination (e.g. graphic medicine, arts-based method) if you wish.

Final two weeks of Class: Sign-up sheet will circulate in class on Week 1.

Part 2: (15%): Write-Up and Reflection (8-10 pages, double-spaced)

Part 2 entails writing up the content of your presentation. If space permits, you are welcome to go more in-depth in the written component.

Due Date: Final class

Facilitation of Discussion (30%):

Each student is responsible for leading discussion on at least one course reading (sign-up sheet will circulate in class on Week 1. Total number of assigned readings will depend on course enrollment). As the facilitator, you should explain what you see as the main points/contribution/purpose of the reading and bring several questions to stimulate discussion. These questions can focus on both content and writing. If your reading is part of a pair (as in, two articles by the same author(s), published in social science journals and biomedical journals respectively), each presenter will provide their summary and then be invited to pose questions that relate to both readings. If you like, you may work with a partner on this.

Podcast (20%):

For this assignment, you will prepare a 20–40-minute **podcast episode** about a healthcare issue of your choice. It can be either informative, argumentative, or both.

Due Date: Week 9

Class Attendance and Participation (20%)

As an advanced graduate seminar, attendance and active participation is mandatory. I am aware of the wide range of experience and expertise in the classroom (this is a strength!); you are expected to "grow" as a scholar over the duration of the course but will be evaluated fairly. In turn, I expect all students to do their part to foster an inclusive and supportive learning environment.

As a component of class participation, each student must submit four reading reflections (1-2 pages, double-spaced; you may choose which weeks to Reflect on). These will be graded on a pass/fail basis and are due at the beginning of class.

Course Outline

Date	Торіс	Readings
1	Setting the Stage	Greenhalgh, T., Annandale, E., Ashcroft, R., Barlow, J., Black, N., Bleakley, A., & Checkland, K. (2016). An open letter to The BMJ editors on qualitative research. <i>Bmj</i> , <i>352</i> (i563).
2	Debates & Challenges	Albert, M., Paradis, E., & Kuper, A. (2015). Interdisciplinary promises versus practices in medicine: The decoupled experiences of social sciences and humanities scholars. <i>Social Science & Medicine</i> , 126, 17- 25.

		 Kontos, P., & Grigorovich, A. (2018). "Sleight of Hand" or" Selling Our Soul"? Surviving and Thriving as Critical Qualitative Health Researchers in a Positivist World. <i>Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative</i> <i>Social Research</i> (Vol. 19, No. 2). Rotteau, L., Albert, M., Bhattacharyya, O., Berta, W., & Webster, F. (2020). When all else fails: The (mis) use of qualitative research in the evaluation of complex interventions. <i>Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice</i>.
3	Potential Paths: Writing for Scholarly Audiences Part I	 Hunt, L. M., Kreiner, M., & Brody, H. (2012). The changing of chronic illness management in primary care: a qualitative study of underlying influences and unintended outcomes. <i>The Annals of Family Medicine</i>, <i>10</i> (5), 452-460. Kreiner, M. J., & Hunt, L. M. (2014). The pursuit of preventive care for chronic illness: turning healthy people into chronic patients. <i>Sociology of health & illness</i>, 36 (6), 870-884. Clark, A. M., & Thompson, D. R. (2016). Five tips for writing qualitative research in high-impact journals: Moving from# BMJnoQual. <i>International Journal of Qualitative Methods</i>, 15 (1): 1-3.
4	Writing "Culture:" Potentials and Pitfalls	 Kleinman, A., & Benson, P. (2006). Anthropology in the clinic: the problem of cultural competency and how to fix it. <i>PLoS medicine</i>, <i>3</i>(10), e294. Olsen, L. D. (2020). "We'd Rather Be Relevant than Theoretically Accurate": The Translation and Commodification of Social Scientific Knowledge for Clinical Practice. <i>Social Problems</i>, 0: 1-17. Gershon, I. (2006). When culture is not a system: why Samoan cultural brokers cannot do their job. <i>Ethnos</i>, <i>71</i>(4), 533-558.
5	Potential Paths: Writing for Scholarly Audiences Part II	 Buchbinder, M., Ojo, E., Knio, L., & Brassfield, E. R. (2018). Caregivers' Experiences with Medical Aid-In-Dying in Vermont: A Qualitative Study. <i>Journal of pain and symptom management</i>, 56(6), 936-943. Buchbinder, M. (2018). Choreographing Death: A Social Phenomenology of Medical Aid-in-dying in the United States. <i>Medical anthropology quarterly</i>, 32(4), 481-497.

		Mykhalovskiy, E., Eakin, J., Beagan, B., Beausoleil, N., Gibson, B. E., Macdonald, M. E., & Rock, M. J. (2018). Beyond bare bones: critical, theoretically engaged qualitative research in public health. <i>Canadian Journal of</i> <i>Public Health</i> , 109(5), 613-621.
6	Podcast	Brown, J. (2020, May 4). Narratives of Loss: Baptiste Brossard talks Alzheimer's Disease & Social Dimensions of Ageing (No. 57) [audio podcast episode]. In <i>The</i> <i>Familiar Strange</i> . ANU & The American Anthropological Association. https://thefamiliarstrange.com/2020/05/04/narratives-of- loss-brossard/
		Agbeko, R. (2020, Jan 15). Brain tumour MRIs - children and parents' views (No. 38) [audio podcast episode]. In <i>ADC Podcast</i> . BMJ Group. https://soundcloud.com/bmjpodcasts/brain-tumour-mris- children-and-parents-views?in=bmjpodcasts/sets/adc- podcast
		Van Ness, J. (2020, Jan 1). What Does Inequality Have to Do with HIV? With Dr. Celeste Watkins-Hayes Professor of Sociology and African American Studies (No. 142) [audio podcast episode]. In <i>Getting Curious with Jonathan</i> <i>Van Ness</i> . Earwolf. https://www.jonathanvanness.com/gettingcurious/episode/ 29bb05b5/what-does-inequality-have-to-do-with-hiv-with- dr-celeste-watkins-hayes-professor-of-sociology-and- african-american-studies
7	Potential Paths: Writing for Scholarly Audiences Part III	Reza-Paul, S., Lazarus, L., Doshi, M., Hafeez Ur Rahman, S., Ramaiah, M., Maiya, R., & Lorway, R. (2016). Prioritizing risk in preparation for a demonstration project: a mixed methods feasibility study of oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) among female sex workers in South India. <i>PloS one</i> , <i>11</i> (11), e0166889: 1-13.
		Lazarus, L., Reza-Paul, S., Rahman, S. H. U., Ramaiah, M., Venugopal, M. S., Venukumar, K. T., & Lorway, R. (2020). Beyond remedicalisation: a community-led PrEP demonstration project among sex workers in India. <i>Culture, Health & Sexuality</i> , 1-15.
		Lazarus, L., Reza-Paul, S., Hafeez Ur Rahman, S., & Lorway, R. (2020). In Search of 'Success': The Politics of Care and Responsibility in a PrEP Demonstration Project. <i>Medical Anthropology</i> , 1-13.

8	Graphic Medicine	Boynton, P. (2018). Using comics to change lives. <i>The Lancet</i> , <i>391</i> (10115), 19-20.
		Hamdy, S., & Nye, C. (2017). <i>Lissa: a story about medical promise, friendship, and revolution</i> . University of Toronto Press.
9	Arts	Carver, R. (1989). What the doctor said. <i>A New Path to the Waterfall: Poems</i> . The Atlantic Monthly Press.
	Podcast Assignment Due	Kole, J. (2014). The second sentence. <i>Annals of internal medicine</i> , <i>160</i> (4), 288.
		Tran, K. (Spring 2018). Adult ADHD Screening Questionnaire. <i>Intima: A Journal of Narrative Medicine</i> . 1- 4.
		McLoed, H. (2018). Rare World: Investigating Social Support in Rare Disease and Common Chronic Illness Communities. <i>Sciencemag Dance your PhD</i> . https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Dxo2Dhd14OM&featu re=youtu.be
		Domingos, J. (2017). Exercise in Parkinson disease. Sciencemag Dance your PhD. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gkeYR8H1Zqw&featu re=youtu.be
		Pestalozzi, B. C. (2002). Looking at the dying patient: the Ferdinand Hodler paintings of Valentine Gode-Darel. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , <i>20</i> (7), 1948-1950.
	Stefaniuk T, Ferrier M, Freedman A. Representations of Pain: An interactive online installation. https://representationsofpain.com/	
10	Communication for Popular Media and Policy	Farmer, P. (2021, Feb 8). <i>Ebola, Covid-19 And the Elusive</i> <i>Quest for Global Health Equity</i> (Interview by Madhukar Pai for Forbes). https://www.forbes.com/sites/madhukarpai/2021/02/08/ebo la-covid-19-and-the-elusive-quest-for-global-health- equity/?sh=7665155d578e
		Farmer, P. (2019). Ebola, the Spanish flu, and the memory of disease. <i>Critical Inquiry</i> , <i>46</i> (1), 56-70.
		Richardson, E. T., Barrie, M. B., Nutt, C. T., Kelly, J. D., Frankfurter, R., Fallah, M. P., & Farmer, P. E. (2017). The

		Ebola suspect's dilemma. <i>The Lancet Global Health</i> , <i>5</i> (3), e254-e256.
		Donmoyer, R. (2012). Can qualitative researchers answer policymakers' What-Works Question?. <i>Qualitative Inquiry</i> , <i>18</i> (8), 662-673.
11	Medical Ethnography for Diverse Audiences	Mol, A. (2008). <i>The logic of care: Health and the problem of patient choice</i> . London: Routledge.
		Mol, A. (2009). Living with diabetes: care beyond choice and control. <i>The Lancet</i> , <i>373</i> (9677), 1756-1757
12	Synthesis and Wrap-Up	Final Presentations
13	Synthesis and Wrap-Up	Final Presentations

McGill Policy Statements

McGill University values academic integrity. Therefore, all students must understand the meaning and consequences of cheating, plagiarism and other academic offences under the Code of Student Conduct and Disciplinary Procedures (see www.mcgill.ca/students/srr/honest/ for more information).

In accord with McGill University's Charter of Students' Rights, students in this course have the right to submit in English or in French any written work that is to be graded.

Accessibility Policy Statement

All students are entitled to disability-related accommodations, as required. If you know that you require accommodations in order to fully participate in the seminar, please inform the professor of your needs as early as possible.