

**McGILL UNIVERSITY**

**GRADUATE STUDIES IN SOCIOLOGY -LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION 1 of 2**

**N.B.** For letters of reference requested from residents of the Province of Quebec: "You should be aware that under Quebec access legislation, the candidate has the right to request access to your evaluation, whether favourable or unfavourable. The legislation also specifies that if this request is made, your name must also be made available to the candidate." For letters requested from non-residents of Quebec: "You should be aware that under Quebec access legislation, the candidate has the right of access to your evaluation, whether favourable or unfavourable. The University will not, however, disclose to the candidate your identity or anything which might lead to the discovery of your identity."

**For the applicant:** Lines 1, 2, 3, 4 should be filled out by the candidate before giving the form, along with a copy of the "Statement of Academic Program", to the evaluator.

- 1 Candidate's Name:
- 2 Candidate's Current University:
- 3 Name of Evaluator:
- 4 Evaluator's Position:

\*\*\*\*\*

**For the referee:** Please return this form to the student in a sealed envelope or directly to the Graduate Program Coordinator, Department of Sociology, McGill University, 855 Sherbrooke St. W., Montreal, Québec, H3A 2T7 Canada by the department deadline of **January 15.**

5. I have known this candidate since (month/year) \_\_\_ as his/her (e.g. professor, employer): and I feel that I know the candidate's academic performance and potential:

6. In comparison with other students whom I have known at a similar stage of their studies, I rate this applicant as follows:

	Outstanding Top 2%	Next 8%	Above Average Next 20%	Below Average Next 20%	Average Lower 50%	Insufficient Information
Background preparation						
Originality						
Research ability & potential						
Industriousness						
Judgement						
Verbal & written communication						
Overall academic ability						

Please describe the comparison group you have used:

IN VIEW OF THE ABOVE, WOULD YOU ACCEPT THE CANDIDATE AS A GRADUATE STUDENT?

7. Have you received a copy of the "Statement of Academic Program" from the candidate?

Yes  No

8. Please elaborate on your assessment of the student's capabilities, commenting on the strengths and weaknesses of the candidate's performance, his or her potential for independent research, and your evaluation of the "Statement of Academic Program", if you have received a copy from this student. Please add any other pertinent information, which may assist in the committee's deliberations.

Name of Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_

Department & Institution: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**GRADUATE STUDIES IN SOCIOLOGY -LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION 2 of 2**

**N.B.** For letters of reference requested from residents of the Province of Quebec: "You should be aware that under Quebec access legislation, the candidate has the right to request access to your evaluation, whether favourable or unfavourable. The legislation also specifies that if this request is made, your name must also be made available to the candidate." **For letters requested from non-residents of Quebec:** "You should be aware that under Quebec access legislation, the candidate has the right of access to your evaluation, whether favourable or unfavourable. The University will not, however, disclose to the candidate your identity or anything which might lead to the discovery of your identity."

**For the applicant:** Lines 1, 2, 3, 4 should be filled out by the candidate before giving the form, along with a copy of the "Statement of Academic Program", to the evaluator.

- 1 Candidate's Name:
- 2 Candidate's Current University:
- 3 Name of Evaluator:
- 4 Evaluator's Position:

\*\*\*\*\*

**For the referee:** Please return this form to the student in a sealed envelope or directly to the Graduate Program Coordinator, Department of Sociology, McGill University, 855 Sherbrooke St. W., Montreal, Québec, H3A 2T7 Canada by the department deadline of **January 15**.

5. I have known this candidate since (month/year) as his/her (e.g. professor, employer): and I feel that I know the candidate's academic performance and potential:

6. In comparison with other students whom I have known at a similar stage of their studies, I rate this applicant as follows:

	Outstanding Top 2%	Next 8%	Above Average Next 20%	Below Average Next 20%	Average Lower 50%	Insufficient Information
Background preparation						
Originality						
Research ability & potential						
Industriousness						
Judgement						
Verbal & written communication						
Overall academic ability						

Please describe the comparison group you have used:

IN VIEW OF THE ABOVE, WOULD YOU ACCEPT THE CANDIDATE AS A GRADUATE STUDENT?

7. Have you received a copy of the "Statement of Academic Program" from the candidate?

8. Please elaborate on your assessment of the student's capabilities, commenting on the strengths and weaknesses of the candidate's performance, his or her potential for independent research, and your evaluation of the "Statement of Academic Program", if you have received a copy from this student. Please add any other pertinent information, which may assist in the committee's deliberations.

Name of Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_

Department & Institution: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_