

Contractual Obligations, Professor Rosalie Jukier  
Fall 2012—Reading and Class Schedule

Date	Topic	Readings
<b>1. Wednesday, September 5</b>	Introduction	No Readings
<b>2. Friday, September 7</b>	Contract and Traditional Contract Theory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- LL Fuller, “Human Interaction and the Law” (1969) 14 Am J Juris 1.</li> <li>- Stephen A Smith, <i>Contract Theory</i> (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004) at 56-60; 78-85.</li> <li>- Pierre-Gabriel Jobin with the collaboration of Nathalie Vézina, <i>Baudouin et Jobin : Les obligations</i>, 6th ed (Cowansville, Que: Yvon Blais, 2005) at paras. 48, 71-79.</li> </ul>
<b>3. Wednesday, September 12</b>	Contract Law and Contractual Behaviour	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- S Macaulay, “An Empirical View of Contract” (1985) Wis L Rev 465.</li> </ul>
<b>4. Friday, September 14</b>	Contracts Across Legal Traditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Joseph Dainow, “The Civil and the Common Law: Some Points of Comparison” (1966-67) 15 Am J Comp Law 419.</li> </ul>
<b>5. Wednesday, September 19</b>	Transnational Law and Transsystemic Legal Education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rosalie Jukier, “Where Law and Pedagogy Meet in the Transsystemic Contracts Classroom” (2005) McGill LJ at 789-801.</li> <li>- KP Berger, ed, <i>The Practice of Transnational Law</i> (Cornwall: Kluwer Law International, 2001).</li> <li>- J Naslin, “A Completely New Legal Experience” (1996) 24 Int’l Bus Law 8.</li> <li>- P Nouel, “‘Cartesian Pragmatism’: Looking for Common Principles in French and English Law” (1996) 24 Int’l Bus Law 22.</li> </ul>
<b>6. Friday, September 21</b>	Changing Conception of Contract Law	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Hugh Collins, <i>The Law of Contract</i> (London: LexisNexis UK, 2003) at 1-19.</li> <li>- D Kennedy, “Form and Substance in Private Law Adjudication” (1976) 89 Harv L Rev 1685, at 1728-37.</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- C Thibierge-Guelfucci, « Libres propos sur la transformation du droit des contrats » (1997) Rev trim dr civ 357.</li> <li>- Ian R Macneil, <i>The New Social Contract : An Inquiry into Modern Contractual Relations</i> (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1980) at 1-35.</li> <li>- John Borrows, “Negotiating Treaties and Land Claims: the Impact of Diversity within First Nations Property Interests” (1992) 12 Windsor YB Access Just 179.</li> <li>- JR Miller, <i>Compact, Contract, Covenant: Aboriginal Treaty-Making in Canada</i> (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2009).</li> </ul>
<b>7. Monday, September 24 (Room 102) (Make-Up)</b>	Standard Form and Adhesion Contracts as a Social Phenomenon	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- L Niglia, <i>The Transformation of Contract in Europe</i> (The Hague: Kluwer Law International, 2002) at 24-35.</li> <li>- <i>Dell Computer Corporation c Union des consommateurs</i>, 2007 SCC 34. Articles 1379, 1435 – 1437 CCQ</li> </ul>
<b>8. Wednesday, October 3</b>	Intent to Create Legal Relations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>John DR Leonard v Pepsico, inc</i>, United States District Court, Southern District of New York 88 F Supp 2d 116 (SDNY 1999), aff’d 210 F 3d 88 (2d Cir 2000).</li> <li>- <i>Carlill v Carbolic Smoke Ball Co</i>, [1893] 1 QB 256 (CA).</li> <li>- <i>Kleinwort Benson Ltd v Malaysia Mining Corp BHD</i>, [1989] 1 All ER 785 (CA).</li> </ul>
<b>9. Friday, October 5</b>	Exchange of Consents and Ambiguity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Articles 1378 – 1381, 1385 – 1386, 1388 – 1395 CCQ</li> <li>- Marcel Fontaine, « Offre et acceptation, approche dépassée du processus de formation des contrats », dans <i>Mélanges offerts à Pierre Van Ommeslaghe</i>, Bruxelles, Bruylant, 2000, 115.</li> <li>- <i>Raffles v Wichelhaus</i>, (1864) 159 ER 375.</li> <li>- <i>Terrasse Holdings v Saunders</i>, [1989] RL 265 (CA).</li> <li>- <i>Academy Chicago Publishers v Cheever</i> (1991) 144 Ill 2d 24, 578 NE 2d 981, 161 Ill Dec 335.</li> </ul>
<b>10. Wednesday, October 10</b>	Contradiction Between Offer and Acceptance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Arthur Taylor von Mehren, “The ‘Battle of the Forms’: A Comparative View” (1990) 38 Am J of Comp Law 2 at 265-</li> </ul>

		<p>78, 290-98.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>CUQ v Construction Simard Beaudry</i>, [1987] RJQ 2020 (CA).</li> <li>- UN Convention for the International Sale of Goods, Art. 19</li> <li>- Uniform Commercial Code, Art. 2-207 (old) and Arts. 2-206 &amp; 2-207 (new)</li> <li>- UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts, Arts. 2.1.11 and 2.1.22</li> <li>- Principles of European Contract Law, Arts. 2.208 and 2.209</li> <li>- Article 1393 CCQ</li> </ul>
<b>11. Friday, October 12</b>	Backing Out—Revocation and Protection of Reliance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Entores v Miles Far East Corporation</i>, [1955] 2 QB 327 (CA).</li> <li>- Article 1387 CCQ</li> <li>- <i>Errington v Errington and another</i>, [1952] 1 KB 290.</li> <li>- <i>Dawson v Helicopter Exploration Co</i>, [1955] SCR 868.</li> </ul>
<b>12. Monday, October 15 (Room 102) (Make-Up)</b>	Agreements to Agree	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Cere v Neely</i>, [1980] CS 1160.</li> <li>- <i>Walford v Miles</i> (1992) 2 AC 128.</li> <li>- <i>Empress Towers v Bank of Nova Scotia</i>, [1991] 73 DLR (4th) 400 (BCCA).</li> <li>- <i>Brewer v Chrysler Canada Ltd</i>, [1977] 3 WWR 69 (Alta SC).</li> <li>- Articles 1396 – 1397 CCQ</li> </ul>
<b>13. Wednesday, October 17</b>	Offer and Acceptance as a Surrogate Debate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain v Boots Cash Chemists, Ltd</i>, [1953] 1 QB 401 (CA).</li> <li>- <i>Thornton v Shoe Lane Parking Ltd</i>, [1971] 2 QB 163 (CA).</li> <li>- SAMPLE PROBLEM: Ifergan v Québec (Société des loteries).</li> </ul>
<b>14. Friday, October 19</b>	What Else Besides Consent?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- AWB Simpson, “The Doctrine of Consideration – Introduction”, in <i>A History of the Common Law of Contract: The Rise of the Action of Assumpsit</i> (1975), at 602-03.</li> </ul>
<b>15. Wednesday, October 24</b>	The Concept and Purpose of Consideration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>White (Executor) v William Bluett</i> (1853), 23 LJ Ex 36.</li> <li>- <i>Hamer v Sidway</i> (1891), 124 NY 538 (CA).</li> <li>- <i>Stott v Meritt Investment Corp</i> (1988), 63 OR (2d) 545 (CA).</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- LL Fuller, “Consideration and Form” (1941) 41 Colum L Rev 799.</li> </ul>
<b>16. Friday, October 26</b>	Contract Modifications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Harris v Watson</i> (1791), 170 ER 94 (HL).</li> <li>- <i>Gilbert Steel Ltd v University Construction Ltd</i> (1976) 12 OR (2d) 19 (CA).</li> </ul>
<b>17. Wednesday, October 31</b>	Consideration and Reliance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Central London Property Trust v High Trees House</i>, [1947] KB 130.</li> <li>- <i>Williams v Roffey Bros and Nicholas Ltd</i>, [1991] 1 QB 1 (CA).</li> <li>- <i>Greater Fredericton Airport Authority v NAV Canada</i>, 2008 NBCA 28.</li> <li>- <i>Waltons Stores (Interstate) Ltd v Maher</i> [1988] CLR 387 (HCA).</li> <li>- Restatement (Second) of Contracts § 89, 90</li> </ul>
<b>18. Friday, November 2</b>	The Civil Law Perspective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Articles 1371, 1385, 1410-1411, 1380-1381, 1824, 2630 CCQ</li> <li>- <i>In re Ross</i>, [1932] SCR 57.</li> </ul>
<b>19. Monday, November 5 (Room 102) (Make-Up)</b>	Public Policy and Community Values	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Articles 9, 1411 CCQ</li> <li>- <i>Brasserie Labatt Ltd v Villa</i>, [1995] RJQ 73 (CA).</li> <li>- <i>Cameron v Canadian Factors Corp</i>, [1971] SCR 148.</li> <li>- <i>X v B</i>, [2009] RJQ 445.</li> <li>- SAMPLE PROBLEM: X v A, B, C</li> </ul>
<b>20. Wednesday, November 7</b>	Intersection between Human Rights and Contract Law	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Syndicat Northcrest v Amselem</i>, [2004] 2 SCR 551.</li> <li>- <i>Bruker v Marcovitz</i>, 2007 SCC 54.</li> <li>- Comité des droits de l’homme, <i>Constantations du Comité des droits de l’homme au titre du paragraphe 4, de l’article 5 du Protocole facultatif se rapportant au Pacte International relatif aux droits civils et politiques</i>, Doc. Off. CCPR, 75<sup>e</sup> session, Communication NU 854/1999 (2002).</li> </ul>
The Obligational Content of a Contract		
<b>21. Friday, November 9</b>	Interpretation of Contracts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Patrick Ottinger, “Principles of Contractual Interpretation”, (2000) 60 La L Rev 765.</li> <li>- <i>Eli Lilly &amp; Co v Novopharm Ltd</i>, [1998] 2 SCR 129.</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Riopel c Agence de revenu du Canada</i>, 2011 QCCA 954 (presently on appeal to SCC, to be heard November 2012).</li> </ul>
<b>22. Wednesday, November 21</b>	Incorporation of Terms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Articles 1425-1432, 2863-2864 CCQ</li> <li>- <i>McCutcheon v David MacBrayne, Ltd</i>, [1964] 1 All ER 430 (HL).</li> <li>- <i>British Crane Hire Corporation Ltd v Ipswich Plant Hire Ltd</i>, [1975] QB 303 (CA).</li> <li>- SAMPLE PROBLEM: <i>Thomas Witter Ltd v TBP Industries Ltd</i></li> </ul>
<b>23. Friday, November 23</b>	Implied Obligations Generally	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Ouellet v 3092-3122 Québec Inc</i>, [2000] RJQ 1889 (CQ).</li> <li>- <i>A (M) v Stations de la Vallée de St-Sauveur Inc</i>, 2010 QCCA 1509.</li> </ul>
<b>24. Wednesday, November 28</b>	Implied Obligations and the Obligation of Good Faith	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Articles 6, 7, 1375, 1434 CCQ</li> <li>- <i>BCN v Soucisse</i>, [1981] 2 SCR 339.</li> <li>- <i>Houle v CNB</i>, [1990] 3 RCS 122.</li> <li>- <i>Provigo distribution v Supermarché ARG</i>, [1998] RJQ 47 (CA).</li> </ul>
<b>25. Friday, November 30</b>	Implied Obligations and the Obligation of Good Faith (cont'd)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>McKinlay Motors Ltd v Honda Canada Inc</i>, [1989] 46 BLR 62 (Nfld SC).</li> <li>- <i>Gateway Realty v Arton Holdings</i> (1991), 106 NSR (2d) 180.</li> <li>- <i>RBC Dominion Securities v Merrill Lynch Canada</i>, 2008 SCC 54.</li> <li>- <i>Martel v Canada</i>, [2000] 2 SCR 860.</li> <li>- <i>Transamerica Life Canada Inc c ING Canada Inc</i>, [2004] 68 OR 457 (CA).</li> </ul>
<b>26. TBA (During Exam Period)</b>	Review	

Contractual Obligations, Professor Rosalie Jukier  
Winter 2013—Reading and Class Schedule

Date	Topic	Readings
<b>A. Introduction</b>		
<b>1. Tuesday, January 8</b>	Social Control of Contracts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Jacques Ghestin, “L’utile et le juste dans les contrats”, Archives de philosophie du droit, t 26, Sirey, 1981.</li> <li>- PS Atiyah, “Contract and Fair Exchange” (1985) 35 UTLJ 1.</li> <li>- <i>Lloyds Bundy Bank Ltd v Bundy</i>, [1975] QB 326 (CA).</li> </ul>
<b>B. Traditional Tools</b>		
<i>i. Procedural Fairness Tools</i>		
<b>2. Thursday, January 10</b>	Social Control of Contracts (cont’d) &  Capacity	See previous readings  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>CL c ML</i>, [2006] QCCS 2673.</li> <li>- <i>Fyckes v Chisholm</i>, [1911] 19 OWR 977, 3 OWN 21.</li> </ul>
<b>3. Monday, January 14*</b>  <b>Make up class 12 – 1:30 Room 101</b>	Fear & Duress	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>JJ Joubert Ltd v Lapiere</i>, [1972] CS 476.</li> <li>- <i>Atlas Express Ltd v Kafco Ltd</i>, [1989] QB 833.</li> <li>- <i>Greater Fredericton Airport Authority Inc v Nav Canada</i>, 2008 NBCA 28.</li> </ul>
<b>4. Tuesday, January 15</b>	Undue Influence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Barclays Bank Plc v O’Brien</i>, [1994] 1 AC 180.</li> <li>- <i>Royal Bank of Scotland v Etridge</i> (No. 2), [2001] UKHL 44.</li> <li>- <i>Byrne c Trust Prêt et Revenu</i>, [1999] RRA 967.</li> </ul>
<b>5. Tuesday, January 22</b>	Misrepresentation & Fraud	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Tremblay v Les Pétroles Inc</i>, [1961] BR 856 (CA).</li> <li>- <i>Creighton v Grynspan</i>, [1987] RJQ 527 (CA).</li> <li>- <i>Esso Petroleum Co Ltd v Mardon</i>, [1976] QB 80 (CA).</li> <li>- <i>VK Mason Construction v Bank of NS</i>, [1985] 1 SCR 271.</li> </ul>
<b>6. Thursday, January 24</b>	Duty to Disclose	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Bail c Banque de Montreal</i>, [1992] 2 RCS 554.</li> <li>- AT Kronman, “Mistake, Disclosure, Information and the Law of Contracts” (1978) 7 JLS 1.</li> <li>- Muriel Fabre-Magnan, “Duties of Disclosure and French Contract Law: Contribution to an Economic Analysis” in Jack Beatson &amp; Daniel</li> </ul>

		<p>Friedmann, eds, <i>Good Faith and Fault in Contract Law</i> (Oxford: Clarendon Press; New York: Oxford University Press, 1995) at 99.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- SAMPLE PROBLEM: Bob v Al</li> </ul>
<b>7. Tuesday, January 29</b>	Mistake & Error	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Sherwood v Walker</i> (1887), 33 NW 919 (Mich SC).</li> <li>- <i>Bell v Lever Brothers Ltd</i>, [1932] AC 161 (HL).</li> <li>- Peter MacFarlane, “Case Note: Great Peace Shipping Ltd v Tsavloris Salvage (International) Ltd; The Great Peace [2002] 4 All ER 689” (2003) 7 J South Pac Law.</li> <li>- <i>Huot v Ouellette</i>, [1981] CS 872.</li> <li>- <i>Lepage c Allard</i>, [2004] RDI 358.</li> </ul>
<b>8. Thursday, January 31</b>	Mistake & Error (cont’d) &  Interaction Between Error and Good Faith	<p>See previous readings</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Confédération des Caisses Populaires et d’économie Desjardins du Québec c Services Informatiques Decisionone</i>, [2004] RJQ 69 (CA).</li> <li>- SAMPLE PROBLEM: Contant c Dubé</li> </ul>
<b><i>ii. Substantive Fairness Tools</i></b>		
<b>9. Tuesday, February 5</b>	Unconscionability & Lesion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Toker v Westerman</i>, [1970], 274 A 2d 78 (NJDC).</li> <li>- <i>Harry v Kreutziger</i>, [1979], 9 BCLR 166 (CA).</li> <li>- Augusto C Lima, “When Harry Met Kreutziger: A Look Into Unconscionability Through the Lens of Culture” (April 19, 2008). CLEA 2008 Meetings Paper. (OPTIONAL—on webCT)</li> <li>- <i>Hunter Engineering Co v Syncrude Canada Ltd</i>, [1989] 1 SCR 426 (excerpt).</li> <li>- <i>Richard v Time</i>, 2012 SCC 8.</li> <li>- <i>Gareau Auto v BC Impériale de Commerce</i>, [1989] RJQ 1091 (CA).</li> <li>- <i>Yoskovitch v Tabor</i>, [1995] RJQ 1397.</li> </ul>
<b>10. Thursday, February 7</b>	Abusive Clauses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Allendale Mutual Insurance v Hydro Québec</i>, [2002] RJQ 84.</li> <li>- <i>Québec (Procureur général) c Kechichian</i>, [2000] JQ no 2049 (CA).</li> <li>- SAMPLE PROBLEM: Parent-Constantin c 9013-1996 Québec inc (Voyages Symone Brouty)</li> </ul>
<b><i>iii. Particularly Problematic Clauses</i></b>		
<b>11. Tuesday, February 12</b>	Exclusion Clauses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Tilden Rent-A-Car Co v Clendenning</i>, [1978] 18 OR (2d) 601 (CA).</li> <li>- <i>Hunter Engineering Co v Syncrude Canada</i> (supra).</li> <li>- Richard F Devlin, “Return of the Undead: Fundamental Breach Disinterred” (2007) 86:1 Can Bar Rev 1 (OPTIONAL—on webCT).</li> <li>- <i>Tercon Contractors Ltd v British Columbia (Transportation and</i></li> </ul>

		<i>Highways</i> ), [2010] 1 SCR 69.
<b>12. Thursday, February 14</b>	Arbitration Clauses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Dell Computer Corp v Union des consommateurs</i>, [2007] 2 SCR 801 (supra volume 1).</li> <li>- Geneviève Saumier, “Consumer Arbitration in the Evolving Canadian Landscape” (2009) 113:4 Penn St L Rev 1203.</li> <li>- <i>Seidel v TELUS Communications Inc</i>, [2011] 1 SCR 531.</li> <li>- <i>Comb v PayPal, Inc</i> (2002) 218 F Supp 2d 1165.</li> </ul>
<b>C. Changed Circumstances (Frustration, Force Majeure, Imprévision)</b>		
<b>13. Tuesday, February 19</b>	Futility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Krell v Henry</i>, [1903] KB 740 (CA).</li> <li>- <i>Amalgamated Investment and Property v John Walker &amp; Sons Ltd</i>, [1976] 3 All ER 509 (CA).</li> </ul>
<b>14. Thursday, February 21</b>	Impossibility/ Force Majeure &  Impracticability/ Hardship	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>HR Sainsbury Ltd v Street</i>, [1970] 3 All ER 1126.</li> <li>- <i>Otis Elevator Co Ltd v A Viglione &amp; Bros Inc, Mtl</i>, 500-09-000316-786 (CA).</li> <li>- <i>Canada Starch Company Ltd v Gill &amp; Duffus (Canada) Ltd</i> 6 Dec 1983, 500-05-001746-823, CS-JE 84-88, aff’d CA-JE 90-1617.</li> <li>- SAMPLE PROBLEM: Newfoundland (Attorney General) v Churchill Falls (Labrador) Corp.</li> <li>- <i>Alcoa v Essex Group</i>, (1980) 499 F Supp 53 (Penn SC).</li> <li>- WF Ebke &amp; BM Steinhauer, “Doctrine of Good Faith in German Contract Law” in Jack Beatson &amp; Daniel Friedmann, eds, <i>Good Faith and Fault in Contract Law</i> (Oxford: Clarendon Press; New York: Oxford University Press, 1995) 171 at 171-72; 180-90.</li> </ul>
<b>D. Breach and Remedies</b>		
<b>15. Friday, February 22</b>  <b>Make up class 12:30 – 2:00</b> <b>Moot Court</b>	Breach: Intensity of Obligations/ Termination	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Cehave NV v Bremeer Handelgesellschaft mbH</i>, [1975] 3 All ER 739.</li> <li>- Pierre-Gabriel Jobin with the collaboration of Nathalie Vézina, <i>Baudouin et Jobin : Les obligations</i>, 6th ed (Cowansville, Que: Yvon Blais, 2005) at paras. 33-39.</li> <li>- <i>A (M) v Stations de la Vallée de St-Sauveur Inc</i>, 2010 QCCA 1509.</li> </ul>
<b>16. Tuesday, February 26</b>	Specific Performance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Warner Bros Pictures v Nelson</i>, [1937] 1 KB 209.</li> <li>- <i>Co-operative Insurance Society Ltd v Argyll Stores (Holdings) Ltd</i>, [1998] AC 1, [1997] 2 WLR 898 (HL).</li> <li>- <i>Construction Belcourt Ltée v Golden Griddle Pancake House Ltd</i>, [1988] RJQ 716 (CS).</li> </ul>

**READING WEEK**

<b>17. Tuesday, March 12</b>	Specific Performance (cont'd)	See previous readings
<b>18. Thursday, March 14</b>	Remoteness of Damage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Hadley v Baxendale</i>, [1854] 9 Exch 341.</li> <li>- <i>Victoria Laundry v Newman Industries Ltd</i>, [1949] 2 KB 528.</li> <li>- <i>Koufos v C Czarnikow (The Heron II)</i>, [1969] 1 AC 350.</li> <li>- <i>Transfield Shipping v Mercator (The Achileas)</i>, [2008] UKHL 48.</li> <li>- <i>Ciment Québec inc c Stellaire Construction</i>, [2002] JE 1106.</li> </ul>
<b>19. Tuesday, March 19</b>	Mitigation &  Cost of Cure vs. Diminution in Value	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Payzu, Limited v Saunders</i>, [1919] 2 KB 581.</li> <li>- JL Maute, "Peevyhouse v Garland Coal &amp; Mining Co Revisited: The Ballad of Willie and Lucille" (1995) 89 NW L Rev 1341.</li> <li>- <i>Ruxley Electronics v Forsyth</i>, [1995] 3 All ER 268.</li> </ul>
<b>20. Thursday, March 21</b>	Cost of Cure vs. Diminution in Value (cont'd)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- SAMPLE PROBLEM: <i>Tabcorp Holdings Ltd v Bowen Investments Pty Ltd</i></li> </ul>
<b>21. Tuesday, March 26</b>	Non-Pecuniary Damages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Jarvis v Swan Tours</i>, [1973] QB 233.</li> <li>- <i>Fidler v Sun Life du Canada, compagnie d'assurance-vie</i>, [2006] CSC 30.</li> </ul>
<b>22. Thursday, March 28</b>	Punitive Damages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Honda Canada Inc v Keays</i>, [2008] SCC 39.</li> <li>- <i>Brault &amp; Martineau Inc c Riendeau</i>, [2010] QCCA 366.</li> <li>- <i>Richard v Time</i>, 2012 SCC 8.</li> </ul>
<b>23. Tuesday, April 2</b>	Disgorgement &  Penalty Clauses &  Wrapping Up Remedies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Attorney-General v Blake</i>, [2000] UKHL 45.</li> <li>- <i>HF Clarke Ltd v Thermidaire Corporation Ltd</i>, [1976] 1 SCR 319.</li> <li>- CJ Goetz &amp; RE Scott, "Liquidated Damages, Penalties, and the Just Compensation Principle: Some Notes on an Enforcement Model and a Theory of Efficient Breach" (1977) 77 Colum L Rev 554 at 554-58, 567-68, 572-76.</li> <li>- <i>151276 Canada inc c Verville</i>, [1994] RJQ 2950 (CS).</li> </ul>
<b>E. Privity/ Relativity of Contract</b>		
<b>24. Thursday, April 4</b>	Contracts & Third Parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Beswick v Beswick</i>, [1966] 3 All ER 1 (CA).</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Beswick v Beswick</i>, [1968] AC 58 (HL).</li> <li>- <i>New Zealand Shipping Co Ltd v AM Satterthwaite &amp; Co Ltd</i>, [1975] AC 154.</li> <li>- <i>London Drugs Inc v Kuehne &amp; Nagel International Ltd</i>, [1992] 3 SCR 299.</li> <li>- Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999 Chapter c 31</li> </ul>
<b>F. Wrapping Up</b>		
<b>25. Tuesday, April 9</b>	Concluding Thoughts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- IR McNeil, “Wither Contracts” (1969) 21 J Leg Ed 403.</li> <li>- Robert Lloyd, “Making Contracts Relevant: Thirteen Lessons for the First-Year Contracts Course” 36 Ariz St LJ 257.</li> </ul>
<b>26. Thursday, April 11 or TBD</b>	Review	