# LINGUISTICS 671: Syntax 3 Fall 2017 M,W 2:35pm-3:55pm 1085 Dr Penfield, 117

**Instructor** Lisa Travis

Office: 1085 Dr. Penfield (PE1085), Rm 318

Email: lisa.travis@mcgill.ca

Phone: 514-398-4226

Office hours: T 1:30pm-3:30pm (this may change), or by appt

#### COURSE OBJECTIVES:

#### •Data

To be able to organize data and see patterns; to know what questions to ask about data to fill in paradigms and to test hypotheses.

#### •Theory

To have the tools to understand most primary literature, to understand and manipulate certain formal mechanisms, to read critically, to construct definitions, restrictions, to understand how theories change, to be able to follow the consequences of such changes, to understand small technical issues as well as large picture issues.

## •Written work

To be able to present data clearly, to present the work of others critically but respectfully, to present arguments in a logical way, to follow a style sheet.

#### •Oral work

To be able to present clearly and logically, to be able to engage an audience, to answer questions, to lead a discussion.

•Practical emphasis is on development of argumentation and theory construction.

# REQUIREMENTS AND ASSESSMENT

Questions for the Web (14 at 2%each)	28%
Class participation	7%
Labwork (3 at 5% each)	15%
1 Problem Set	20%
(10% solution; 10% paper writing)	
1 Presentation	15%
1 Paper	15%

#### Textbook:

Introduction to Transformational Grammar, Kyle Johnson (manuscript)

#### Questions for the Web: Post on the Discussion Board for the class by 10am on the due date.

You will be expected to post at least one question relating to the the readings marked with an \*. These

should be thought-provoking questions (not simply questions of clarification) – something that could promote discussion.

## Labwork: Upload to myCourses by 10am on the due date.

There are three labs. You will be required to organize your thoughts around a dataset or an expository issue to be discussed in class. This will include a 1-2 page write up either describing a dataset, outlining a problem/generalization, or describing a theoretical problem.

# Problem Set: Upload to the Problem Set Paper to myCourses by 11:59 on the due date.

You will be given a set of data that poses certain theoretical problems. This problem has been chosen as it does not (to my knowledge) have a single account. You are encouraged to discuss these with your classmates. The write-up of your solution, however, must be done independently. It should follow the format of a paper (introduction, conclusion, section headings, page numbers, bibliography, etc.). You must use some journal as a guideline for formatting (Approximately 15pp).

# Presentation: Upload the handout to myCourses by 10am on the day of the presentation.

Each student will select a topic to present at the end of the course (the last two classes). The presentation will be about 20 minutes long and will include the presentation of an issue that has arisen in the class, an article that relates to the issue (this will include a handout), and some further thinking on this issue. (Possibilities will be mentioned as the course progresses).

## Paper: Upload to myCoursesby 11:59 on the due date.

The material and discussion included in the presentation will be written up as a paper (approximately 10-15pp). Due December 11th.

## Uploading to myCourses:

Please upload your documents (i) as one pdf file, (ii) labelled LASTNAME.assignment.

## Statement of Academic Integrity:

McGill University values academic integrity. Therefore, all students must understand the meaning and consequences of cheating, plagiarism and other academic offences under the Code of Student Conduct and Disciplinary Procedures.

(See www.mcgill.ca/students/srr/honest/ for more information).(approved by Senate on 29 January 2003)

L'université McGill attache une haute importance à l'honnêteté académique. Il incombe par conséquent tous les étudiants de comprendre ce que l'on entend par tricherie, plagiat et autres infractions académiques, ainsi que les conséquences que peuvent avoir de telles actions, selon le Code de conduite de l'étudiant et des procédures disciplinaires.

(Pour de plus amples renseignements, veuillez consulter le site www.mcgill.ca/students/srr/honest/).

#### Right to submit in English or French written work that is to be graded:

In accord with McGill University's Charter of Students' Rights, students in this course have the right to submit in English or in French any written work that is to be graded.

(approved by Senate on 21 January 2009 - see also the section in this document on Assignments and evaluation.)

Conformément à la Charte des droits de l'étudiant de l'Université McGill, chaque étudiant a le droit de soumettre en français ou en anglais tout travail écrit devant être noté (sauf dans le cas des cours dont l'un des objets est la maîtrise d'une langue).

Copyright: ©Instructor generated course materials (e.g., handouts, notes, summaries, exam questions, etc.) are protected by law and may not be copied or distributed in any form or in any medium without explicit permission of the instructor. Note that infringements of copyright can be subject to follow up by the University under the Code of Student Conduct and Disciplinary Procedures.

**Universal Design**: As the instructor of this course I endeavour to provide an inclusive learning environment. However, if you experience barriers to learning in this course, do not hesitate to discuss them with me and the Office for Students with Disabilities, 514-398-6009.

**Extraordinary circumstances**: In the event of extraordinary circumstances beyond the University's control, the content and/or evaluation scheme in this course is subject to change.

**CLASS SCHEDULE**: (tentative – subject to adjustment)

	Week 1	
W Sept 6	Introduction to the course	
	Week 2	
M Sept 11	MISSED CLASS	
W Sept 13	Plato's Problem	Johnson (Ch 1,2)
	Phrase Structure	*New York Times Big Bang
	Categories	
	Week 3	
M Sept 18	X'-theory	Johnson (Ch 2)
	Theta-Criterion, Projection Principle	*Wasow (1977)
	Word Order, Lexical Entries	S: Marantz (1997)
W Sept 20	Probing categories	*Davis & Matthewson (1999)
	Week 4	
M Sept 25	Labwork	Kaqchikel
		(describe data problem: 5%)
W Sept 27	Argument/Case	Johnson (Ch 3: 1-6)
	A-movement	*Koopman & Sportiche (1991: to 2.3)
	VP-internal subjects	S: McCloskey (1997)
		S: Sportiche (1988)
		S: Bobaljik (2003)
		PROBLEM SET given out
MOID	Week 5	Mil
M Oct 2	Labwork	Malagasy word order
W. O. J. A.		(describe data problem: 5%)
W Oct 4	Extended Projection Principle	Johnson (Ch 3: 7-8)
	Control, Raising	* Hornstein (1999)
	Paper Writing Tips	S:Polinsky&Potsdam (2002)
	Week 6	
M Oct 9	THANKSGIVING	
W Oct 11	Head movement	Johnson (Ch 4: 1-4)
		*Baker (1993)
		S: Matushansky (2006)
		S: Lema & Otero (1989)
		S: Harley (2004)

	Week 7	
M Oct 16	More Head movement	S: Pollock (1989)
W Oct 18	More Phrase Structure	*Kayne (1994)
	Week 8	
M Oct 23	More Phrase Structure (cont.)	Kayne (1994) (cont.)
W Oct 25	DP structure	Johnson (Ch 5, to 253) S: Ritter (1987, 1988)
	Week 9	
M Oct 30	LCA in DPs	*Cinque (2005)
W Nov 1	Cinque Adverbs	PROBLEM SET DUE
	Week 10	
M Nov 6	Complements; Double Objects	*Larson (1988: to 3.3)
W Nov 8	VP structure	*Hale and Keyser (1993)
	Week 11	
M Nov 13	LF	Huang (1994: to 155)
W Nov 15	LF and reconstruction	*Fox (1999)
	Week 12	
M Nov 20	LAB WORK	Collins (1991)
		(reorganize findings: 5%)
W Nov 22	Minimalist Program	*van Urk & Richards (2015)
	Week 13	
M Nov 27	TBA	TBA
W Nov 29	TBA	TBA
	Week 13	
M Dec 4	PRESENTATIONS	
W Dec 6	PRESENTATIONS	
Th Dec 7	PRESENTATIONS	

Some choices for Week 13

• Binding: Reinhart & Reuland (1993)

- A vs. A' movement: Saito (1992)
- Types of and restrictions on movement: Preminger (2017)

S = suggested further reading Yellow highlight = something to be passed in

# References

- Bobaljik, J. (2003). Floating quantifiers: Handle with care. In Cheng, L. L.-S. and Sybesma, R., editors, *The Second Glot International State-of-the-Article Book*, pages 107–148. Mouton de Gruyter.
- Cinque, G. (2005). Deriving Greenberg's Universal 20 and its exceptions. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 36(3):315–332.
- Collins, C. (1991). Why and how come. In Cheng, L. L.-S. and Demirdache, H., editors, *More papers on wh-movement*, volume 15, pages 31–45. MIT Working Papers in Linguistics.
- David, H. and Matthewson, L. (1999). On the functional determination of lexical categories. *Révue Québecoise de Linguistique*, 27.
- Fox, D. (1999). Reconstruction, binding theory, and the interpretation of chains. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 30(2):157–196.
- Hale, K. and Keyser, S. J. (1993). On argument structure and the lexical expression of syntactic relations. In Hale, K. and Keyser, S. J., editors, *The view from building 20*, pages 53–110. MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Harley, H. (2004). Merge, conflation, and head movement: The First Sister Principle revisited. In Moulton, K. and Wolf, M., editors, *Proceedings of the North East Linguistic Society 34*, volume 1, pages 239–254, University of Massachusetts at Amherst. Graduate Linguistic Student Association.
- Hornstein, N. (1999). Movement and control. Linguistic Inquiry, 30(1):69–96.
- Huang, C.-T. J. (1994). Logical Form. In Webelhuth, G., editor, Government and Binding Theory and the Minimalist Program, pages 127–173. Blackwell, Oxford.
- Kayne, R. S. (1994). The Antisymmetry of Syntax. MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Koopman, H. and Sportiche, D. (1991). The position of subjects. Lingua, 85:211–258.
- Larson, R. (1988). On the double object construction. Linguistic Inquiry, 19(3):335–392.
- Lema, J. and Rivero, M. L. (1989). Long head movement: Ecp vs. hmc. In Carter, J., Déchaine, R.-M., Philip, B., and Sherer, T., editors, *Proceedings of the North East Linguistic Society*, pages 333–347.
- Marantz, A. (1997). No escape from syntax: Don't try morphological analysis in the privacy of your own lexicon. In Dimitriadis, A., Siegel, L., Surek-Clark, C., and Williams, A., editors, *University of Pennsylvania Working Papers in Linguistics vol.* 4.2, pages 201–225. University of Pennsylvania.

- Matushansky, O. (2006). Head movement in linguistic theory. Linguistic Inquiry, 37(1):69–109.
- McCloskey, J. (1997). Subjecthood and subject positions. In Haegeman, L., editor, *Elements of grammar*, pages 197–235. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Polinsky, M. and Potsdam, E. (2002). Backward control. Linguistic Inquiry, 33(2):245–282.
- Pollock, J.-Y. (1989). Verb movement, UG and the structure of IP. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 20(3):365–424.
- Preminger, O. (2017). What the PCC tells us about "abstract" agreement, head movement, and locality. unpublished manuscript, University of Maryland.
- Reinhart, T. and Reuland, E. (1993). Reflexivity. Linguistic Inquiry, 24(4):657–720.
- Ritter, E. (1987). NSO orders in Modern Hebrew. In McDonough, J. and Plunkett, B., editors, *North Eastern Linguistics Society*, pages 521–537. Graduate Students Linguistics Association.
- Ritter, E. (1988). A head-movement approach to construct state Noun Phrases. *Linguistics*, 26:909–929.
- Saito, M. (1992). Long distance scrambling in Japanese. *Journal of East Asian Linguistics*, 1(1):69–118.
- Sportiche, D. (1988). A theory of floating quantifiers and its corollaries for constituent structure. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 19(3):425–449.
- Van Urk, C. and Richards, N. (2015). Two components of long-distance extraction: successive cyclicity in Dinka. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 46(1):113–155.
- Wasow, T. (1977). Transformations and the lexicon. In Culicover, P., Wasow, T., and Akmajian, A., editors, *Formal Syntax*, pages 327–360. Academic Press, New York, New York.