

LING 665/765 Semantics 4/Seminar in Semantics

Winter 2016
CRN 6066
MW 11:35am–12:55pm, Penfield 117

Instructor: Bernhard Schwarz
E-mail: bernhard.schwarz@mcgill.ca
Phone: (514) 398-4353
Office: 1085 Dr. Penfield, room 113
Office hours: by appointment

Course content

This year's edition of Semantics 4/Seminar in Semantics will focus on presupposition, a phenomenon of at the interface of semantics and pragmatics. "Presupposition" refers to linguistically marked content that is understood as taken for granted by a speaker at the outset of a speech act (such as an assertion), and in some sense as not belonging to the main semantic content of that speech act. We will review classic and recent proposals on foundational issues in presupposition, including so-called presupposition projection and presupposition accommodation. We will also investigate the meaning contributions of particular presupposition triggers (i.e. expressions whose use gives rise to presuppositions) and we will study the role of presupposition in patterns of perceived unacceptability (such as polarity sensitivity effects and island effects)

In more detail, the list of topics below serves as a guide to the course. The plan is for us to work through the list in roughly the order shown here, possibly in two or more cycles.

1. Presupposition projection
e.g., Karttunen 1973; Heim 1983, 1990; Kadmon 2000; Fox 2008; George 2008; Schlenker 2008a,b; Lassiter 2012; Walker 2012; Fox 2012; Sauerland 2013; Tonhauser et al. 2013; Hirsch and Hackl 2014
2. Presupposition accommodation and the proviso problem
e.g., Geurts 1996; Kadmon 2000; Singh 2007; Van Rooij 2007; von Fintel 2008; Schlenker 2011; Katzir and Singh 2013; Tonhauser 2015
3. Presupposition triggers (hard and soft; truth value judgments, case studies)
e.g., von Fintel 2004; Abusch 2010; Abrusán 2011a,b; Abrusán 2013; Abrusán and Szendroi 2013; Büring and Križ 2013; von Fintel et al. 2014; Baglini and Francez 2015; Coppock and Beaver 2015; Romoli 2015; Schwarz 2015; Simons et al. 2015

4. Maximize presupposition
e.g., Heim 1991; Percus 2006; Sauerland 2008; Singh 2011
5. Presupposition and grammar
e.g., Abels 2004; Abrusán 2014; Crnič 2011, 2013, 2014; Guerzoni 2003; Krifka 1995; Lahiri 1998; Sudo 2012; Schwarz and Simonenko 2015

Requirements and evaluation

	LING 665	LING 765
many homework assignments:	40%	60%
class participation:	10%	20%
two short presentations:	10%	20%
term paper:	40%	–

Students registered for LING 765 will receive a final grade on the Pass/Fall scale.

University policies

Academic integrity

McGill University values academic integrity. Therefore, all students must understand the meaning and consequences of cheating, plagiarism and other academic offences under the code of student conduct and disciplinary procedures (see <http://www.mcgill.ca/integrity> for more information).

L'université McGill attache une haute importance à l'honnêteté académique. Il incombe par conséquent à tous les étudiants de comprendre ce que l'on entend par tricherie, plagiat et autres infractions académiques, ainsi que les conséquences que peuvent avoir de telles actions, selon le Code de conduite de l'étudiant et des procédures disciplinaires (pour de plus amples renseignements, veuillez consulter le site <http://www.mcgill.ca/integrity>).

Inclusiveness

As instructors of this course, we endeavor to provide an inclusive learning environment. However, if you experience barriers to learning in this course, do not hesitate to discuss them with us and [the Office for Students with Disabilities, \(514\) 398-6009](#).

References

- Abels, Klaus. 2004. Why surprise-predicates do not embed polar interrogatives. *Linguistische Arbeitsberichte* 81:203–222.

- Abrusán, Márta. 2011a. Predicting the presuppositions of soft triggers. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 34:491–535.
- Abrusán, Márta. 2011b. Presuppositional and negative islands: a semantic account. *Natural Language Semantics* 19:257–321.
- Abrusán, Márta. 2013. A note on quasi-presuppositions and focus. *Journal of Semantics* 30:257–265. URL <http://jos.oxfordjournals.org/content/30/2/257.abstract>.
- Abrusán, Marta. 2014. *Weak Island Semantics*. Oxford University Press.
- Abrusán, Marta, and Kriszta Szendroi. 2013. Experimenting with the king of france: Topics, verifiability and definite descriptions. *Semantics & Pragmatics* 6:1–43.
- Abusch, Dorit. 2010. Presupposition triggering from alternatives. *Journal of Semantics* 27:37–80.
- Baglini, Rebekah, and Itamar Francez. 2015. The implications of managing. *Journal of Semantics* URL <http://jos.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2015/06/22/jos.ffv007.abstract>.
- Büring, Daniel, and Manuel Križ. 2013. It's that, and that's it! Exhaustivity and homogeneity presuppositions in clefts (and definites). *Semantics & Pragmatics* 6:1–29.
- Coppock, Elizabeth, and David Beaver. 2015. Definiteness and determinacy. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 38:377–435. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10988-015-9178-8>.
- Crnič, Luka. 2011. Getting even. Doctoral Dissertation, MIT.
- Crnič, Luka. 2013. Focus particles and embedded exhaustification. *Journal of Semantics* 30:533–558.
- Crnič, Luka. 2014. Non-monotonicity in NPI licensing. *Natural Language Semantics* 22:169–217.
- von Fintel, Kai. 2004. Would you believe it? The king of France is back! Presuppositions and truth-value intuitions. In *Descriptions and Beyond*, ed. Marga Reimer and Anne Bezuidenhout. Oxford University Press.
- von Fintel, Kai. 2008. What is presupposition accommodation, again? *Philosophical Perspectives* 22:137–170.
- von Fintel, Kai, Danny Fox, and Sabine Iatridou. 2014. Definiteness as maximal informativeness. In *The Art and Craft of Semantics: A Festschrift for Irene Heim*, vol. 1, volume 1, 165–174. Cambridge, MA: MIT Working Papers in Linguistics (MITWPL).
- Fox, Danny. 2008. Two short notes on Schlenker's theory of presupposition projection. *Theoretical Linguistics* 34:237–252.
- Fox, Danny. 2012. Presupposition projection from quantificational sentences: trivalence, local accommodation, and presupposition strengthening. unpublished manuscript, HUJI & MIT. URL <http://web.mit.edu/linguistics/people/faculty/fox/Quantifier-Projection.pdf>.
- George, Benjamin R. 2008. A new predictive theory of presupposition projection. In *Semantics and Linguistic Theory*, 358–375.

- Geurts, Bart. 1996. Local satisfaction guaranteed: A presupposition theory and its problems. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 19:259–294.
- Guerzoni, Elena. 2003. Why even ask?: on the pragmatics of questions and the semantics of answers. Doctoral Dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Heim, Irene. 1983. On the projection problem for presuppositions. In *Proceedings of the Second West Coast Conference in Linguistics*, ed. D. Flickinger M. Barlow and M. Wescoat, 249–260.
- Heim, Irene. 1990. Presupposition projection. In *Reader for the Nijmegen workshop on presupposition, lexical meaning, and discourse processes*. University of Nijmegen.
- Heim, Irene. 1991. Artikel und Definitheit. In *Semantik: ein internationales Handbuch der Zeitgenössischen Forschung*, ed. Arnim von Stechow and Dieter Wunderlich, 487–535. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Hirsch, Aron, and Martin Hackl. 2014. Incremental presupposition evaluation in disjunction. In *Proceedings of the 44th Meeting of the Northeast Linguistics Society (NELS 44)*, ed. Jyoti Iyer and Leland Kusmer.
- Kadmon, Nirit. 2000. *Formal Pragmatics*. London: Blackwell Publishers.
- Karttunen, Lauri. 1973. Presuppositions of compound sentences. *Linguistic Inquiry* 169–193.
- Katzir, Roni, and Raj Singh. 2013. A note on presupposition accommodation. *Semantics & Pragmatics* 6:1–16.
- Krifka, Manfred. 1995. The semantics and pragmatics of polarity items. *Linguistic Analysis* 25:209–257.
- Lahiri, Utpal. 1998. Focus and negative polarity in Hindi. *Natural Language Semantics* 6:57–123.
- Lassiter, Daniel. 2012. Presuppositions, provisos, and probability. *Semantics & Pragmatics* 5:1–37.
- Percus, Orin. 2006. Antipresuppositions. In *Theoretical and Empirical Studies of Reference and Anaphora: Toward the Establishment of Generative Grammar as an Empirical Science*, ed. Ayumi Uyema, Report of the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B), Project No. 15320052, 52–73. Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.
- Romoli, Jacopo. 2015. The presuppositions of soft triggers are obligatory scalar implicatures. *Journal of Semantics* 32:173–219. URL <http://jos.oxfordjournals.org/content/32/2/173.abstract>.
- Sauerland, Uli. 2008. Implicated presuppositions. In *The Discourse Potential of Underspecified Structures*, ed. Anita Steube, Language, Context, and Cognition, 581–600. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Sauerland, Uli. 2013. Presuppositions and the alternative tier. In *Semantics and Linguistic Theory* 23, 156–173.
- Schlenker, Philippe. 2008a. Be articulate: A pragmatic theory of presupposition projection. *Theoretical Linguistics* 34:157–212.

- Schlenker, Philippe. 2008b. Presupposition projection: Explanatory strategies. *Theoretical Linguistics* 34:287–316.
- Schlenker, Philippe. 2011. The proviso problem: a note. *Natural language semantics* 19:395–422.
- Schwarz, Bernhard, and Alexandra Simonenko. 2015. Two pragmatic accounts of factive islands. abstract for 11th Syntax and Semantics Conference in Paris (CSSP), October 8-10, 2015, Université Paris Diderot. URL <https://sites.google.com/site/bernhardschwarz2003/>.
- Schwarz, Florian. 2015. False but slow: Evaluating statements with non-referring definites. *Journal of Semantics* URL <http://jos.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2015/01/08/jos.ffu019.abstract>.
- Simons, Mandy, David Beaver, Craige Roberts, and Judith Tonhauser. 2015. The best question: Explaining the projection behavior of factives. unpublished manuscript. URL <http://www.cmu.edu/dietrich/philosophy/docs/simons/BestQuestion-Resubmission-FormattedForPosting-6-8-15.pdf>.
- Singh, Raj. 2007. Formal alternatives as a solution to the proviso problem. In *Semantics and Linguistic Theory* 17, 264–281.
- Singh, Raj. 2011. Maximize presupposition! and local contexts. *Natural Language Semantics* 19:149–168.
- Sudo, Yasutada. 2012. On the semantics of phi features on pronouns. Doctoral Dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Tonhauser, Judith. 2015. Are ‘informative presuppositions’ presuppositions? *Language and Linguistics Compass* 9:77–101. URL <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/lnc3.12119/abstract>.
- Tonhauser, Judith, David Beaver, Craige Roberts, and Mandy Simons. 2013. Toward a taxonomy of projective content. *Language* 89:66–109.
- Van Rooij, Robert. 2007. Strengthening conditional presuppositions. *Journal of Semantics* 24:289–304.
- Walker, Andreas. 2012. Focus, uniqueness and soft presupposition triggers. In *Amsterdam Colloquium 2011, LNCS 7218*, ed. Maria Aloni et al., 460–469. Berlin: Springer.