### Two Recent Examples of an Operational Approach to Food Security at the World Bank

Christopher Delgado Agriculture and Rural Development Dept. The World Bank

At the McGill Global Food Security Conference, Montreal, October 7, 2009

#### Outline

- Quick lessons from the Food Crisis of 2007-2009
- The Global Food Crisis Response Program (GFRP)—since May 2008
- The Global Agriculture and Food Security Program (GAFSP)—requested by the G20 Summit on September 25

#### Quick Lessons from the Food Crisis Since Late 2007

#### It's Not Over

- Real world grain prices are still 1/4 or more higher than in 2006
- In many places in Africa consumer grain prices this summer are as high as last summer b/c of currency depreciation and high transport costs
- Financial crisis aggravates price crisis
- Almost one-sixth of humanity, 1+ billion people, chronically malnourished (FAO)
- In Sub-Saharan Africa 1 in 3 people still do not have enough to eat

## The Poor are Vulnerable to Grain Price Volatility

- The poor in developing countries spend well over half their income on food staples, and have no choice but to respond to higher prices by reducing consumption even further
- Global grain price volatility has increased since 2002
- Even the mass of smallholder farmers are in trouble with volatility: few have the resources to take risks, and input prices in 2008 increased much more then output prices

## And Some Short-Run Gov't Responses are Better...

Effective in many cases with relatively small impacts on long-run options for improving food security

(given in increasing order of possible negative impact on long-run policy options)

- 1. Reduce Food Grain Taxes/Tariffs
- 2. School Feeding Programs
- 3. Cash Transfers to the Poor
- 4. Targeted Food Subsidies
- 5. Food for Work
- 6. Food Aid



Still good, but more difficult to avoid operational problems or negative Impact on incentives

## **But Many Responses Can Create**More Problems for Long-Run

## Effective in the short-run in many cases with relatively larger longer run impacts

(given in increasing order of likely negative impact on long-run policy objectives)

- 7. Build-up of Gov't Buffer Stocks for Distribution (governance and cost are issues, but has the fall-back situation in the exporting countries changed?)
- 8. Food Rationing (not sustainable over time)
- 9. Price Controls (bad other than in very short term special circumstances)
- 10. Export Restrictions/Taxes
- 11. Export Bans

(Not Good)

#### **Take-Home Messages**

- Strong reasons to think that increased volatility of global grain prices is here to stay for a while
- The impacts on the poor in developing countries are devastating and need immediate attention
- Risk management is vital at all levels in transition to longer term
- Investment in the productivity and sustainability of agriculture in developing countries is key for the longer term and good for everyone
- Also need focus on empowerment and access of the poor to inputs, services, and markets



## The Global Food Crisis Response Program (GFRP)

## Consensus of April 2008 on Immediate Needs

- Work with the UN and other stakeholders under the Secretary-General's High-Level Task Force for the Global Food Crisis (HLTF) established in Berne in late April 2008
- Partner on the UN Comprehensive Framework for Action launched at the UN General Assembly in Sept. 2008
- Priority to support fundraising by WFP for humanitarian assistance
- Need for rapid financing to countries to support policy changes
- Social protection
- Risk mitigation using financial tools as well as physical hedging
- Maintain productive capacity and transit to longer term food production viability

## The Global Food Crisis Response Program (GFRP)

- Approved May 29, 2008 (see Bank website "ImageBank")
- Umbrella for providing rapid Bank support for a comprehensive response to the crisis
- Provides balance between short run food stabilization and measures to ensure countries able to cope better in medium term, including longer term action and lending to enhance agricultural productivity
- Fast-tracking of up to \$1.2 billion originally of Bank resources: existing country envelopes, re-programmed funds, regional IDA funds where appropriate, a new \$200 million trust fund from IBRD surplus
- Greatly expedites procedures for rapid response approval by Bank Management and 5 day no objection period at Board, takes 3 Chairs out of the 24 to bring to the full Board, otherwise considered approved.

#### The GFRP Business Model

#### GFRP seeks to:

- Minimize threat of rapid unforeseen increases in food and agricultural input prices to poor people
- Help clients avoid short term responses that are counterproductive in the longer term (e.g. export bans, price regulation, etc.) by offering other assistance
- Then move from mitigation of harm to prevention of crises and adaptation to changed circumstances

#### **Some GFRP Partners**

- WB has actively participated in the UN High Level Task Force on the Global Food Crisis (staff and funding) since the Task Force was founded
- CSOs support GFRP operations in 16 countries in Africa, Central and South Asia
- CSO contributions to GFRP range from agricultural input distribution to school feeding
- Local CSOs critical to improving targeting, efficiency, and monitoring of interventions.
- UN agencies involved with GFRP projects in 8 countries
- External Trust Funds (Australia, Russia, EU, Korea)

#### **Menu of GFRP Components**

- Component 1: Budget support and market stabilization (49%)
  - Examples: Improved use of market-based instruments to manage food prices, tax and trade policies, and fiscal space for social protection and short term supply response
- Component 2: Social protection actions to ensure food access and minimize the nutritional impact of the crisis on the poor and vulnerable (13%)
  - Examples: Cash transfer program (CCTs, food stamps), school feeding, targeted food supplements

#### Menu (continued)

- Component 3: Enhancing domestic food production & marketing response (38%)
  - Examples: Seed and fertilizer supply and market development, Rehabilitation of small-scale irrigation, Strengthening access to finance and risk management tools

#### **Funding Mobilized to Date**

- \$1.2 billion in Board-approved projects in 35 countries since May 29, 2008
- \$800 million of this has been disbursed (67%)
- Additional pipeline of \$200 million in external trust funds directed to 17 countries
- Headroom for fast-tracking raised to \$2 billion in April 2009
- GFRP is presently a \$1.4 billion effort targeted to 44 separate countries, and growing with substantial headroom
- Roughly \$0.5 billion to date is fully additional to the countries concerned

# The Global Agriculture and Food Security Program (GAFSP) Requested by the G20



#### What Is GAFSP?

- A proposed donor funding mechanism to improve incomes and food security of poor people in developing countries through investment in country-led proposals that have been through a rigorous peer-review process.
- A response to the calls from L'Aquila and Pittsburgh
  - develop a multilateral trust fund to scale-up agricultural assistance to low income countries
    - ensure country ownership
    - rapid disbursement of funds,
    - respecting the aid effectiveness principles agreed in Accra,
    - facilitate the participation of private foundations, businesses, NGOs
    - complement and reinforce other existing efforts to tackle food insecurity.

#### **How it Will Add Value**

- Additional resources to scale-up agric. assistance to lowincome countries
- <u>Rapid availability</u> of additional funds by not waiting for individual IFI or bilateral replenishment cycles
- <u>Country ownership</u> and in-country processes by limiting parallel planning and prioritizing processes
- <u>Complementary and reinforcing</u> to ongoing development partner effort – by filling developing financing gaps in country led programs
- Strengthen <u>public-private sector links</u> by add a window for direct private sector financing

#### What Will It Finance?

To improve the income and food security of poor people in developing countries the focus will be on:

- Raising agricultural productivity
- Linking farmers to markets and value addition
- Reducing risk and vulnerability
- Facilitating Non-farm rural livelihoods
- Increased public and private capacity

#### Who Will be Eligible?

## Public sector window

- Low income countries
- Regional entities (e.g. ECOWAS)

#### With:

- Clear agriculture strategies
- Conducive policy environment
- Own budget commitments

## Private sector window

 Private sector firms in eligible countries

#### With:

- Aligned business activities
- Reasonable financial health
- Equity investment exit options

## Technical **Assistance**

 Specialized technical agencies (centers of excellence)

#### With:

- Proposals aligned to requests
- High quality & cost effective services

#### **How Will It Be Managed?**

- Contributing Donor Committee will make decisions on:
  - Allocation of financing across the three windows
  - Country programs to be finance (based on country requests)
  - International financial institutions to support design and program implementation
- World Bank would provide administrative banking services for the pooled account
- IFIs would facilitate country execution using their standard procedures; IFC would manage private window

#### **Country-led and Transparent**

